



Land Use Committee Report

City of Newton

In City Council

Tuesday, January 31, 2017

Present: Councilors Laredo (Chair), Schwartz, Crossley, Lipof, Lennon, Cote, Harney, Auchincloss

Also Present: Councilors Albright, Yates, Leary, Norton, Brousal-Glaser, Baker

City Staff: Director of Planning and Development Barney Heath, Chief Planner Alexandra Ananth, Deputy City Solicitor Ouida Young, Associate City Solicitor Robert Waddick

Planning Board Members: Peter Doeringer, Sonia Parisa, Jonathan Yeo

- #180-16 (2)** MARK NEWTONVILLE, LLC petition for a change of zone to Mixed Use 4 for land located at 22 Washington Terrace, 16-18 Washington Terrace, 10-12 Washington Terrace, 6-8 Washington Terrace, 875 Washington Street, 869 Washington Street, 867 Washington Street, 861-865 Washington Street, 857-859 Washington Street, 845-855 Washington Street, 245-261 Walnut Street (a/k/a 835-843 Washington Street), 241 Walnut Street, 22 Bailey Place, 14-18 Bailey Place, and an unnumbered lot on Bailey Place, also identified as Section 21, Block 29, Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 19A, 20, 21, 22, and 23, Ward 2, currently zoned Business 1, Business 2, and Public Use, further described as follows:
Beginning at a point on the northerly line of Washington Street, running thence;
Along the northerly line of Washington Street, south 89 degrees - 04 minutes - 40 seconds west, a distance of 433.14 feet to a point; thence
Along the easterly line of Washington Terrace north 02 degrees - 03 minutes - 34 seconds west, a distance of 278.34 feet to a point, thence, the following four (4) courses along the existing zone lines of MR-1 and MR-3:
North 86 degrees - 11 minutes - 41 seconds west, a distance of 15.07 feet to a point; thence
North 01 degrees - 52 minutes - 35 seconds west, a distance of 3.01 feet to a point; thence
South 86 degrees - 11 minutes - 41 seconds east, a distance of 85.43 feet to a point; thence
North 88 degrees - 31 minutes - 34 seconds east, a distance of 370.56 feet to a point on the westerly line of Walnut Street; thence
Along the westerly line of Walnut Street, south 04 degrees - 12 minutes - 48 seconds east, a distance of 261.82 feet to a point of curvature; thence
Along a curve to the right having a radius of 17.00 feet, a central angle of 93 degrees - 17 minutes - 28 seconds, an arc length of 27.68 feet, a chord bearing of south 42 degrees - 25 minutes - 56 seconds west, a chord length of 24.72 feet to the point and place of beginning.
Containing 123,765 square feet, or 2.84 acres, more or less.

Action: Land Use Held 8-0

#179-16 MARK NEWTONVILLE, LLC petition for SPECIAL PERMIT/SITE PLAN APPROVAL to construct a mixed use development in excess of 20,000 square feet consisting of three interconnected buildings with building heights not exceeding 60 feet and five stories, total gross floor area not exceeding 235,000 square feet incorporating not more than 163 residential units, not exceeding 45,000 square feet of commercial space, not exceeding 2,500 square feet of community space, not less than 350 onsite parking stalls outside at grade or within a below-grade garage, and related site improvements; to authorize uses including retail of more than 5,000 square feet, personal service of more than 5,000 square feet, restaurants over 50 seats, standalone ATMs, health club establishments at or above ground floor, animal service, and street level office; to allow FAR of not more than 1.90, lot area per dwelling unit of approximately 775 square feet, reduction of the overall non-residential parking requirement by 1/3, 1.25 parking stalls per residential unit, and free standing signs; to grant waivers of not more than 97 parking stalls and of the height setback and facade transparency and entrance requirements; to grant waivers of certain parking facility design standards including dimensional requirements for parking stalls, parking stall setback requirements, entrance and exit driveways, interior landscaping, interior planting area, tree plantings, bumper overhang, lighting of one foot candle, curbing and surfacing, wheel stops, guard rails, bollards, and maneuvering space for end stalls; and to grant waivers as to number, size, location, and height of signs and number of required loading bays, at 22 Washington Terrace, 16-18 Washington Terrace, 10-12 Washington Terrace, 6-8 Washington Terrace, 875 Washington Street, 869 Washington Street, 867 Washington Street, 861-865 Washington Street, 857-859 Washington Street, 845-855 Washington Street, 245-261 Walnut Street (a/k/a 835-843 Washington Street), 241 Walnut Street, 22 Bailey Place, 14-18 Bailey Place, and an unnumbered lot on Bailey Place, also identified as Section 21, Block 29, Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 19A, 20, 21, 22, and 23, containing approximately 2.84 acres of land in a proposed Mixed Use 4 District. Ref.: Sections 4.2.2.A.2; 4.2.2.B.1; 4.2.3; 4.2.5.A.2; 4.2.5.A.3; 4.2.5.A.4; 4.2.5.A.4.c; 4.2.5.A.6; 4.2.5.A.6a; 4.2.5.A.6.b; 4.4.1; 5.1.4.A; 5.1.4.C; 5.1.8.A; 5.1.8.A.2; 5.1.8.B; 5.1.8.B.1; 5.1.8.B.2; 5.1.8.B.6; 5.1.9.B; 5.1.9.B.1; 5.1.9.B.2; 5.1.9.B.3; 5.1.9.B.4; 5.1.10; 5.1.10.A.1; 5.1.10.B.3; 5.1.10.B.5; 5.1.12; 5.1.13; 5.2.13; 5.2.13.A; 7.3; and 7.4 of Chapter 30 of the City of Newton Revised Zoning Ordinances, 2015.

Action: Land Use Held 8-0

Public Hearing Opened on June 7, 2016 and continued to July 12, September 13, October 6, 2016, November 1, 2016, November 29, December 15, 2016, January 12, 2017 and January 31, 2017.

Note: The Chair read the item into the record, and reviewed protocol for public comment. He presented the Committee's intent to continue the review of the draft Council Order for the proposal after a report from members of the Planning Board relating to the recommendation to rezone the Orr Block.

Jonathan Yeo presented an overview of findings that the Planning Board reviewed in order to vote 4-2 in favor of the rezoning of the site of the proposed development. He noted that members of the Planning Board conducted their own Public Hearing and discussed the rezoning at length in addition to being present for many of the Land Use Committee's hearings. The four majority members believe strongly that the MU4 zone is best tool for this area. Mr. Yeo noted that MU4 was designed for application in the village center to promote pedestrian activity and liveliness. They believe that up to five stories is appropriate on the property frontage along Washington Street and believe that the MU4 zone will produce a better project than the BU1/BU2 zones could. The Planning Board majority members believe that the increased density will encourage the creation of smaller units; which will not be detrimental to the school system. They believe that the open space and pedestrian walkways will encourage an active streetscape. Mr. Yeo added that he lives close to the development and is personally excited to see it take form.

Peter Doeringer spoke on behalf of the minority in voting against the rezoning at the Orr Block. He noted that while they believe with the project benefits, their review of the Comprehensive Plan did not indicate consistency with the neighborhood character. They felt that there could be no consensus related to the height and density of the proposed structure. While they have seen merit on both sides of the argument, they could not find that the rezoning was beneficial when compared with the opposition from the neighborhood. They supported the 4 story design that they felt would adequately protect abutters from impacts of the development.

Councilors voiced concern about the feedback from the minority report being seemingly based on this specific project as opposed to rezoning at the site and the value of the opportunities the rezoning could bring. It was noted that while the minority members of the Planning Board were opposed to the height, they were not opposed to the density. Councilors asked what measures were used when reviewing the rezoning at the site.

Mr. Yeo noted that the role of the Planning Board was to evaluate the appropriateness of rezoning of the site. Mr. Doeringer stated that the determination to vote against the rezoning was made in the context of comparing what is currently in place with the proposed development and that based on what is currently in place; the proposed height & density are not appropriate. He noted that the project was discontinuous with respect to the surrounding area and that the four story proposal would have been less massive and welcomed. Mr. Yeo noted that under the Council's authority, a better project will be made.

Committee members noted that the development is difficult to contextualize because there is no current design plan for Newtonville. Ms. Ananth confirmed that a similar project could be built by special permit in the BU zone excluding the number of units and height.

Public Comment

Peter Sachs, 20 Hunter Street, Architect in the City; believes that the architect was considerate and thoughtful when designing the project. He noted that the corner of Walnut and Washington Street at five stories is reminiscent of masonic building across the street. He was impressed of the varying heights and setbacks and believes that the development looks like a neighborhood and has a compelling design. He was also impressed with the amount of open space behind the building and believes that the proposal relates directly to the size of Washington Street.

Robert Smith, 40 Foster Street, noted that the 3-D massing model when properly manipulated, shows what the property would look like from the perspective of the neighborhood. He requested that Committee members review the 3-D model from the neighborhood view.

Ann Cohen, 50 Court Street, noted that no member of the public took public transportation to get to the hearing. Ms. Cohen has concerns about the size of the building and the impacts from Austin Street. She does not believe that MU4 is appropriate for Newtonville and believes that Newtonville should be developed slowly.

Tamara Bliss, 9 Lewis Street, Noted that senior citizens in the City need accessible housing. She and her husband would like to stay in Newton, but cannot stay in a multi-story home. She urged people to consider those who cannot stay in their homes forever.

Kenneth Roberts, 252 Cabot Street, Vice President for Newtonville Camera, stated that while he has not heard a lot of testimony from younger generations, but that those who have spoken have been supportive of the development. He believes that the Council should consider the

long term future that will impact future generations. He noted that the building is attractive and will be beneficial in Newtonville.

Darryl Settles, 52 Hood Street , Is supportive of the project and Kenneth Roberts' comments. He believes that the development is appropriate for the location. He added that more housing options are necessary for young professionals and the elderly population.

Dan Elias, 61 Washington Park, Director of the New Arts Center, Requested that the Council Order reflect that the 2000 sq. ft. of community space is restricted to no cost or nominal fee. He asked that a point of contact also be provided for the organization from the developer.

Lorraine Stanick, 411 Newtonville Avenue, Is opposed to allowing five stories at the site. She noted that those who speak at the public hearing are not always Newtonville residents. She does not think that now is the time to consider rezoning and that the Council should wait to see the impacts of rezoning the City.

Peter Bruce, 11 Clafin Place, noted that the number of affordable units at the site will increase by 4 vs. 121 market rate units that will be added. He stated that an increase in the number of proposed affordable units would be a bigger community benefit to the City as the units would count towards the City's SHI.

Kathleen Kouril Grieser, 258 Mill Street, agrees with Mr. Bruce's comments. She added that workforce housing is not valuable for the City. Because housing costs more in services than it yields in taxes, she believes that rezoning to MU4 is fiscally irresponsible. Ms. Kouril Grieser has concerns that the City is not asking for additional affordable housing units and is endangering the 40B immunity. She added that the petitioner is externalizing his expenses and should be made to develop under current zoning.

Deputy City Solicitor Ouida Young confirmed that the City has no interested land on the site. The discontinuance of private way Bailey Place will be done by the recording of an A&R plan.

Bonnie Foz, 16 Page Road, noted that there are petitions with over 9000 signatures opposed to the development.

Matt Dunn representing Patrick Slattery, 221-227 Walnut Street, noted that Mr. Slattery will be the most affected abutter. He stated that a shadow will be cast over Mr. Slattery's home during the entire month of December as identified by their independent shadow study. The construction, underground garage and proximity of the development will be detrimental to Mr.

Slattery's property. He requested that the Committee review his letters submitted on 01/12/17 and 01/31/17 that are posted on the City's website.

Helen Nayar, 75 Grove Hill Avenue, reiterated that if only 15% of the units are affordable units, the development will not count on the SHI. She urged Councilors to deny the rezoning and noted that the area is not transit oriented and the development too massive. She has concerns about the impacts of traffic in the neighborhood and added that the MBTA has taken action to reduce their commuter rail schedule that services Newtonville. She noted that Newtonville residents do not want urban design.

John Vasilakis, 75 Grove Hill Avenue, Urged Councilors to vote against the rezoning. He noted that Newtonville is not transit oriented and the City will be undergoing rezoning. He has concerns about the negative traffic and tax impacts on residents.

Susan Flint, 543 Centre Street, believes that the proposed development is exactly what Newtonville needs. She believes that it will help the City progress.

James Pacheco, 48 Circuit Avenue, noted that the balloon demonstration by Neighbors for a Better Newtonville that showed the height of 5 stories was insightful and alarming. He is opposed to the rezoning to MU4. He believes that the impacts of light on the neighborhood will be detrimental.

Debra Pierce, 128 Westland Avenue, has lived in the City for over 30 years and has seen the City evolve. She believes that different upgrades over time have been beneficial to the City and is optimistic about the benefits that the project offers.

Marcia Johnson, 39 Bemis Street, Former Ward 2 Alderman/Chair of ZAP, noted that the current City zoning is antiquated and that the tools used need updating. She believes that zoning reform will take time and the Council should utilize rezoning to MU4 in the interim to revitalize and upgrade the City.

Ann Duvall, 33 Madison Avenue, does not believe that rezoning should be used as a tool. She believes that there should be a real review process when considering rezoning to MU4. And does not believe that the development should be compared to the Masonic building.

Joyce Huber, 27 Whitney Road, noted that the Newtonville Area Council is divided but that she is supportive of the development. She believes that the proposal is a good, visually appealing development and has significant benefits like the community space.

Review of the Draft Council Order

Councilors reviewed the findings for as specified in the draft Council Order dated January 27, 2017 and attached. Chief Planner Alexandra Ananth noted that the findings for the special permit are based on the rezoning to MU4 at the site.

1. Committee members asked if there a reason for the finding to be made in the negative. Ms. Ananth noted that she would review if it should be made in the affirmative based on the zone change. Councilors agreed that it is important to include the importance of the strong corner massing that integrates the North and South side of the City and the intersection's relationship to the village center. Committee members agreed that the Order should find that the development proposal is consistent with the City's Comprehensive Plan and does not damage qualities of the neighborhood. It was also requested that the language be drafted sensitive to the subjectivity of shadows on abutting properties. A Committee member suggested that the shadow studies be referenced.
2. Councilors determined that the references to housing studies should not be limited to one report. Councilors asked that the Order reference that the development's sustainability features will contribute to lower operating costs.
3. When discussing the affordable units and the residents' opportunity for upward mobility, Councilors requested that language be changed to reflect that residents could have the potential to move into units at higher AMI levels (pending availability) if they begin to generate too much income for the level they are in. The City's Housing Planner, Nathan Robinson confirmed that the DHCD performs annual audits based on paystubs, asset accounts and previous year IRS returns. He noted that these audits are done at least 90 days prior to lease renewal.
4. It was noted that the maximum allowable local preference is 70%. The City has to submit a demonstration of need and while DHCD could require a lower percentage, it is unlikely based on the City's housing statistics. It was confirmed that a development on Court Street was recently approved at 70%.
5. Councilors suggested the addition of the finding that Newtonville is underserved for retail and that the development will increase options.

8. Committee members asked that the finding related to traffic be an affirmative finding and reference that studies were completed by a Traffic Consultant and a Peer Reviewer.

9. Committee members asked that there be no reference to the petitioner's memorandum and that the finding related to sustainability should reference industry standards for sustainability.

10. Removed.

11. The petitioner's representative, Steve Allen, noted that all units are "Route 1" units. This means that someone requiring the use of a wheelchair could access every space in the development. 5% of units are "Route 2A", meaning that someone requiring the use of a wheelchair could live in the unit due to special features in the unit. Committee members asked that these details are captured in the order.

12. Committee members asked that Planning, the petitioner and Law Department review uses at the site and make clearer parameters for what should be located at the site. Committee members did not believe ground level office space should be allowed at the site and requested that Planning provide recommendations.

Councilors requested that the site be changed from Village Center to Commercial Village Center throughout the document and that subjective descriptions be eliminated to the extent possible. The Committee held the public hearing and discussion to be continued on February 7, 2017 and adjourned at 10:05 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Marc C. Laredo, Chair

CITY OF NEWTON
LAW DEPARTMENT
INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

DATE: January 27, 2017
TO: Marc Laredo, Chairman, Land Use Committee
All Members, Land Use Committee
FROM: Ouida C.M. Young, Deputy City Solicitor
RE: #179-16 Draft Order
Washington Place Project



Attached for your review is a lengthy draft Order for the special permit/site plan approvals being requested by the developer of the Washington Place Project. This draft is still being worked on, as you will see from the highlighted provisions, although I hope that we are reaching a final draft at least in terms of the conditions. However, the Land Use Committee has not yet reviewed the draft Findings and I expect a number of revisions to that part of the draft Order.

Alexandra Ananth has done a remarkable job in pulling this draft Order and the attached Schedules together, and Bob Waddick from this office has also worked with Nathan Robinson of the Planning and Development Department with regard to the affordable housing conditions. Nevertheless, there will certainly be more edits.

Accordingly, please feel free to forward any comments or edits you might have on the current draft to me or Alexandra. We will be continuing to work on the draft Order on Monday.

Thank you.

DRAFT

DRAFT
 #179-16 _____
 1-27-17 draft

CITY OF NEWTON
 IN CITY COUNCIL
 February _____, 2017

ORDERED:

That the Council, finding that the public convenience and welfare will be substantially served by its action, that the use of the Site will be in harmony with the conditions, safeguards, and limitations set forth in the Zoning Ordinance, and that said action will be without substantial detriment to the public good, and without substantially derogating from the intent or purpose of the Zoning Ordinance, grants approval of the following SPECIAL PERMIT/SITE PLAN APPROVAL for a mixed use development consisting of three interconnected buildings which incorporates 160 residential units (approximately 180,000 square feet), not exceeding 45,000 square feet of net rentable retail sales, service establishment, restaurant, health club, and/or medical office space, not less than 2,000 square feet of office/community space, and not less than 350 on-site parking stalls outside at grade within the Project Site or within a below-grade garage, all in accordance with the recommendation of the Land Use Committee and the reasons given by the Committee therefore, through its Chairman, Councilor Marc C. Laredo.

Procedural Background

The proposed development (the "Project") for Washington Place (the "Site") was submitted by Mark Newtonville, LLC (the "Petitioner") and reflects efforts to diversify Newton's housing stock, provide affordable housing choices, encourage pedestrian-oriented development with a mix of residential and business uses, and enhance the quality of life in Newtonville and Newton's village commercial centers, all in accordance with Newton's *Comprehensive Plan*.

The special permit/site plan application for the Project was filed with the City Clerk on May 9, 2016. Notice of the public hearing was published on May 24, 2016 and May 31, 2016 in *The Boston Globe*, on June 1, 2016 in the *Newton Tab*, and re-noticed and re-published on November 18, 2016, November 25, 2016, December 28, 2016, and January 4, 2016 in *The Boston Globe* and on November 23, 2016 and December 28, 2016 in the *Newton Tab*, and mailed to all parties in interest in compliance with the Newton Zoning Ordinance and M.G.L. c. 40A, Section 11. The Land Use Committee ("LUC") of the City Council opened the public hearing on June 7, 2016 and continued the public hearing on July 12, 2016, September 13, 2016, October 6, 2016, November 1, 2016, December 5, 2016, January 12, 2017, and January 31, 2017 [insert any future dates]. Over the course of the hearings presentations were made by the Petitioner and its Project team including its attorney, Stephen J. Buchbinder of Schlesinger and Buchbinder, LLP; Damien Chaviano of Mark Development, LLC; its architects, David Chilinski and Steven Allen of Prellwitz Chilinski Associates, Inc.; its landscape architect, Shauna Gillies-

Smith of Ground, Inc.; its fiscal consultant, John Connery of Connery Associates; its transportation consultant, Randy Hart of Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. ("VHB"); its sustainability consultant, Lauren Baumann, of New Ecology; and its lighting consultant, Carrie Hawley of HLB Lighting. Presentations were also made by City staff including Alexandra Ananth, Chief Planner of Current Planning for the Planning and Development Department, and the City's transportation consultant and peer reviewer, Michael Santos of Howard Stein Hudson.

The LUC received extensive oral and written testimony from the public and written reports from the City's professional consultants and various City boards, commissions, and departments, including the Planning and Development Department, the Department of Public Works, the Planning and Development Board, the Urban Design Commission and the Newtonville Area Council. During the review process, supplemental materials and testimony have been submitted in response to requests by the Council and the public. All testimony, written reports, and supplemental materials prepared by the Petitioner and its consultants, and the City and its staff, consultants, boards, and commissions, as well as public testimony and supplemental materials submitted by the public, are included in the record of the Council's proceedings, and provide factual and technical background for the Findings and Conditions set forth within the body of this order.

Following a final presentation by the Petitioner and City staff, as well as public testimony, the public hearing was closed on January 31, 2017. On January 31, 2017, the LUC voted to recommend approval of the Project to the Council as follows: [Check final dates]

Finding that all applicable provisions of the Zoning Ordinance have been complied with and taking into consideration the testimony and evidence provided by all interested parties, the Council GRANTS approval of this Special Permit/Site Plan Approval based on the following findings, as recommended by the LUC of the Council:

With regard to how the Project furthers the purposes of the MU-4 District and meets the requirements of §7.3.3.C.1.-5., for those uses or dimensional waivers requiring special permits:

1. The Council finds pursuant to the requirements of §4.2.1.C.1.-5. that the proposed use is not inconsistent with the purposes of the MU-4 district or the Newton *Comprehensive Plan*, including the Mixed Use Centers Element adopted in November 2011, in that:
 - a. The Project will allow the development of buildings and uses appropriate to the Newtonville village center as described in the *Comprehensive Plan*.
 - b. The Project and streetscape improvements associated with the Project will help to connect the businesses and residences on Washington Street and Walnut Street to that portion of Newtonville village south of the Massachusetts Turnpike.
 - c. The Project will allow sufficient density and intensity of uses through the

mixture of housing and commercial uses to promote a lively pedestrian environment and streetscape throughout the day and week.

- d. The Project will provide 160 mixed income rental housing units with proximity to a variety of services and public transit, increasing the quantity and diversity of housing resources in the City.
 - e. The Project is located so as to encourage both the use of public transportation and walking within the Newtonville area.
 - f. The petitioner submitted neighborhood views and a 3D massing model, and the Council finds that the Project's architectural characteristics and massing are respectful to the surrounding neighborhood village context including the adjacent Newtonville Historic District, and "should not damage the valued qualities of that which exists in the vicinity,"¹ or cause adverse impacts from shadows or the blocking of views.
2. The Council finds that the proposed residential units fill a need for smaller, accessible, energy efficient residential units as demonstrated in the City's Housing Needs Analysis and Strategic Recommendations Report dated June 2016. Furthermore, the Council finds that the petitioner has taken additional steps to alleviate the increasing costs of housing in Newton by providing two income tiers of affordability as follows:
- a. The first tier of affordability per Newton's Inclusionary Zoning Ordinance, will set aside 15% of the total residential units as Inclusionary Units affordable to households earning up to 80% of Area Median Income ("AMI") as designated by the US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), adjusted to family size (the "Inclusionary Units"). The project will consist of 12 units for households earning at or below 50% of AMI and 12 units for households earning at or below 80% of AMI. This results in a blended rate of no more than 65% of AMI.
 - b. The second tier of affordability provides an additional 10% of the total residential units affordable to households earning between 80% and 120% AMI (the "Middle Income Units"). The Inclusionary Units and the Middle Income Units will sometimes be referred to hereinafter as the "Deed Restricted Units."
3. By including both tiers of affordability, the Council finds that there will be an opportunity for upward mobility within the project, as residents will be afforded the option to transition from one tier to the next, where they would have otherwise been required to relocate or pay market rate rents if their income exceeded the cap on the Inclusionary Units.

¹ Newton Comprehensive Plan, November 2007, Section 2, Excellence in Place-Making, page 2-7.

4. The Council finds that to the extent allowed by the Massachusetts Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD), the Petitioner will set aside 70% of the Deed Restricted Units as local preference units.
5. The Council finds that the Site is an appropriate location for the proposed mixed-use building as the Project site plan maximizes the benefits of its Newtonville village location by expanding needed housing choices, diversifying commercial options in the village, connecting the Site to the village through intersection and streetscape improvements, and maximizing the number of parking stalls available on-site to the greatest extent consistent with appropriate site planning.
6. The Council finds that the use as developed and operated will not adversely affect the neighborhood as the Project will enhance the long term economic stability and vitality of the village, and will create an attractive public gathering space.
7. The Council finds that through improvements to the intersection of Walnut and Washington Streets for vehicles and pedestrians as well as the consolidation of site driveways at the Project Site, there will be no nuisance or serious hazard to vehicles or pedestrians.
8. The Petitioner conducted studies which conclude that the traffic generated by this Project are accommodated by improvements that the Petitioner will make at their sole cost and expense at the intersection of Washington and Walnut Street, and the Project with improvements will not negatively impact traffic at this intersection. The City contracted with Howard Stein Hudson (HSH) to perform a critical review of the petitioner's Traffic Impact and Access Study. In general, HSH agrees with the analysis performed by the petitioner and finds that the project will have minimal impact on surrounding roadways. Furthermore, HSH believes the proposed improvements at the intersection of Washington and Walnut Streets are sufficient to mitigate the impact of the project on surrounding roadways.
9. The Council finds that the site planning, building design, construction, maintenance, and long-term operation of the Project will contribute significantly to the efficient use and conservation of natural resources and energy. The Project is located in a village center close to multiple transit options and with the benefits of a walkable village center. In accordance with the memorandum from New Ecology dated November 22, 2016, attached hereto as Schedule J, careful attention will be paid to design and construct a high R-value, durable, environmentally sensitive building. Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing systems will be chosen and sized to meet the minimal heating and cooling loads and to ensure occupant comfort. The building shall achieve LEED Gold for Neighborhood Development certification, and proper commissioning, optimization and education for building management and tenants shall be ensured to operate the building at the designed level of performance.
10. The Council finds that the proposed redevelopment will expedite the environmental

cleanup of the site in accordance with state regulations and a Soil Management Plan will be prepared and submitted to the City by a licensed site professional that will document soil cleanup.

11. The Council finds that 24 Inclusionary Units, 16 Middle Income Units and 120 market rate units will be adaptable to allow for full accessibility as may be needed, which will add to the diversity of Newton's housing stock, thereby increasing housing choices and opportunities in the City.

With regard to the special permit to allow retail sales over 5,000 square feet, restaurants with more than 50 seats, personal service over 5,000 square feet, standalone ATMs, health club above the ground floor, animal services, and street-level office uses pursuant to §4.4.1.:

12. The Council finds that the Site is an appropriate location for retail sales over 5,000 square feet, restaurants with more than 50 seats, personal service over 5,000 square feet, standalone ATMs, health club above the ground floor, animal services, and street-level office uses, as the proposed uses are appropriate for the commercial center of the village and will encourage an active, pedestrian-oriented streetscape throughout the day and week, fill a demonstrated need for the uses within the vicinity, and are consistent with the purposes of the MU-4 district and the *Comprehensive Plan*.

With regard to the special permit to allow a building in excess of 20,000 square feet of gross floor area, pursuant to §4.2.2.B.1.:

13. The Council finds that the size of the interconnected buildings are not inconsistent with the MU-4 district or the Mixed Use Centers Element of the *Comprehensive Plan*, which identifies Mixed-Use Centers as "complexes of substantial size, perhaps a quarter-million square feet of floor area or more"² incorporating both residential and commercial uses. This element that was added to the *Comprehensive Plan* in November 2011 states that "The future livability of the City can be further enhanced through the creation of a number of well-located and well-designed new mixed-use centers."³ The proposed site plan and buildings are compatible with the neighborhood context, improve the pedestrian environment, create beneficial open space, and the proposed mix of uses will enhance the commercial and civic vitality of the vicinity.

With regard to the special permit to allow a building height of 60 feet and 5 stories, pursuant to §4.2.5.A.2.:

14. The Council finds that the building height of 60 feet and 5 stories will not adversely affect the neighborhood as the proposed structure is compatible in visual scale to its village surroundings, does not adversely affect its surroundings by creating shadows or blocking views of surrounding properties, is appropriately located on the site so as to

² Newton Comprehensive Plan, 3A. Mixed-Use Centers, November 2011, page 3A-1.

³ Newton Comprehensive Plan, 3A. Mixed-Use Centers, November 2011, page 3A-1

concentrate the height and mass on Washington Street and scale downward as the building approached its residential abutters, and advances the purposes of the MU-4 district. The varied 4-5 story height with the tower emphasizing the corner is in keeping with existing landmarks in Newtonville including the Masonic Hall, and the height and number of stories is allowable by special permit in the MU-4 district.

With regard to the special permit to allow floor area ratio ("FAR") of not more than 1.90 pursuant to §4.2.3.:

15. The Council finds that the proposed FAR of not more than 1.90 is not inconsistent with the MU-4 district or the *Comprehensive Plan*. The proposed FAR is less than the maximum permitted by special permit in either the MU-4 district (2.5) or the Business 2 district (2.0).

With regard to the special permit to waive the lot area per dwelling unit requirement, pursuant to §4.2.5.A.3.:

16. The Council finds that the proposed density of approximately 775 square feet of lot area per unit creates a beneficial living environment for the residents, does not adversely affect the traffic on Washington Street or Walnut Street, increases housing choices and opportunities in the City, and better achieves the purposes of the MU-4 district.

With regard to the special permit to waive the front setback requirement, pursuant to §4.2.5.A.4.:

17. The Council finds that the waivers from the front setback requirement are appropriate in order to provide an improved streetscape that supports pedestrian vitality and encourages the purposes of the MU-4 district.

With regard to the special permit to waive entrance requirements, pursuant to §4.2.5.A.6.:

18. The Council finds that a waiver of the requirement for an entrance every 50 feet on the building frontage facing Walnut Street, Washington Street, and Washington Terrace better enables appropriate use of the Site, supports pedestrian vitality, and achieves the purposes of the MU-4 district.

With regard to special permits for the number of parking stalls and various requirements for the design of parking facilities, pursuant to §5.1.4.A.; §5.1.4.C.; §5.1.8.A.; §5.1.8.B.1.2.; §5.1.9.B.; §5.1.10.; §5.1.12.; and §5.1.13.:

19. The Council finds that exceptions to the parking requirements, including a waiver for not more than 97 required parking stalls, to locate parking within a setback, and within five feet of a residential structure, to waive the dimensional requirements for some parking stalls, to waive the screening requirements for parking lots, to waive the interior landscaping requirements, and to waive the off-street loading requirements, are in the public interest and that such exceptions are in the interest or protection of

environmental features for the following reasons:

- a) The Project will provide 243 parking stalls in the underground garage and 109 parking stalls above ground. Six handicap stalls will be provided in the outdoor parking lot and 7 additional handicap stalls will be provided in the underground garage. The Petitioner is proposing 1.25 parking stalls per residential unit and a 1/3 reduction of the commercial parking requirement in addition to a waiver not to exceed 97 stalls. The small (< 900 square feet) average size of the units will likely attract tenants who have only one automobile per unit and use public transit, making a parking waiver appropriate at this site. Covered bicycle parking will also be provided in the below-grade garage to encourage bike use. The Petitioner intends to have car-sharing services provided on-site and has submitted a robust Transportation Demand Management Plan and Transportation Demand Management Subsidy Program hereto attached as Schedules E and F.
- b) The parking for the market rate residential units will be charged separately and in addition to the rent so as to encourage reduced parking demand. The rent for the Inclusionary Units will include one parking stall at no additional charge for those households with a car.
- c) The commercial and residential uses are complementary and will allow for shared usage of the garage at different times. Accordingly, the Council concludes that the number of parking stalls required by the Zoning Ordinance would be more than necessary to meet the realistic parking demand.
- d) The location of parking within property boundary setbacks and within five feet of a residential structure makes for the most efficient layout of the parking lot and helps to maximize the number of stalls that will be available.
- e) The allowance for reduced size of parking stalls will not create a nuisance or hazard to vehicles because the stalls will be well marked as intended for use by smaller vehicles, uniform in size to the extent possible, and larger stalls will be available. A turning template demonstrated that maneuvering aisles and parking stalls are sufficient.
- f) The Petitioner will dedicate at least two stalls to Zipcar or other similar services, install charging stations for electric vehicles both in the surface lot and in the garage, and have at least 30 at-grade bike parking stalls and covered bike storage for at least 160 bicycles in the garage below.
- g) The Council finds that the waiver for some parking lot interior landscape screening helps maximize the number of parking stalls that will be available to the public, and that a sufficient number of trees will be added to the Site in more perimeter locations so as to improve the streetscape, perimeter screening, and

public plaza area.

- h) The waiver for off-street loading facilities is justified as turning templates illustrate the building can be serviced by larger vehicles.

With regard to the special permit to waive of the number, size, location, and height of signs pursuant to § 5.2.13

20. The Council finds that the nature of the use of the premises, the architecture of the buildings, and the project's location at the intersection of Washington Street and Walnut Street, justifies exceptions to the limitations imposed by §5.2 on the number, size, location, and height of signs. The Petitioner will submit a Comprehensive Sign Package for all signage to the Urban Design Commission for review.

With regard to the site plan approval criteria under §7.4.5.B.1.-8.:

21. The Council finds that the Project has been designed to ensure the safety of vehicular and pedestrian movement within the Site and in relation to adjacent streets, properties, and improvements for the following reasons:
- a) Access driveways have been designed with pedestrian safety and vehicular sight lines in mind including stop signs, open viewing, low planters, and wide sidewalks.
22. The Council finds that the methods for disposal of waste water and regulating surface water drainage are adequate for the following reasons:
- a) The City Engineering Division has reviewed submitted plans and raised no major concerns with respect to this Project. The Engineering Division will review any plans submitted for building permits for compliance with City of Newton Engineering Division design standards prior to the issuance of any building permits.
- b) The Petitioner will be making a \$782,880 contribution to the City of Newton for municipal sewer Inflow and Infiltration improvements.
23. The Council finds that provisions for on street and off-street loading facilities are sufficient to service the buildings.
24. The Council finds that parking is sufficiently screened from abutting properties by landscaping and fencing.
25. The Council finds that the Project avoids unnecessary topographical changes, and that the installation of the building foundation with its underground garage should not negatively impact abutters. Additionally, proposed landscaping will enhance the Site and streetscape.

26. The Council finds that all utilities on site will be undergrounded.
27. The Council finds that the proposed site design and massing is appropriate in the context of the neighborhood and will serve to connect the Site to the village by interconnected buildings with an active pedestrian streetscape designed to invite the public into the site and with pedestrian improvement designed to be consistent with the Walnut Street streetscape south of the Massachusetts Turnpike. The Petitioner has incorporated a number of building treatments and design elements that enhance the appearance of the structure, including articulating the façade to proportion building elements to a human scale and setting the fifth story back from the street in certain locations. The height of the structure is in keeping with surrounding iconic village buildings including the Masonic Hall, and the tower element is appropriate at this intersection.
28. The Council finds that many of the existing buildings on site are not original or have been significantly altered so that they are not considered historical resources.
29. Add finding on residential density bonus. 4.2.5.A.3 proposed density creates a beneficial living environment for the residents, doesn't adversely affect the traffic on roads in the vicinity, and between achieves the purposes of this district than strict compliance with the lot area dimensional requirement of 4.2.3.
30. Add finding on public benefit from 2,000 sq. ft. community space.
31. In light of the findings set forth above and the following conditions imposed by this Council Order, the City Council finds that the public convenience and welfare of the City will be served, and the criteria of §4.2.1.C. 1.-5.; §4.2.2. B.1.; §4.2.5.A; §4.2.5. A. 1.-4. and 6; §5.1.4. A; §5.1.4. C.; §5.1.8.A.; §5.1.8. B. 1., and 2.; §5.1.9. B.; §5.1.10.; §5.1.12.; §5.1.13.; and §7.4.5. B. 1.-8. for granting special permits/site plan approval will be satisfied.

PETITION NUMBER: #179-16

PETITIONER: Mark Newtonville, LLC , its successors and assigns

LOCATION: 22 Washington Terrace, 16-18 Washington Terrace, 10-12 Washington Terrace, 6-8 Washington Terrace, 875 Washington Street, 869 Washington Street, 867 Washington Street, 861-865 Washington Street, 857-859 Washington Street, 845-855 Washington Street, 245-261 Walnut Street (a/k/a 835-843 Washington Street), 241 Walnut Street, 22 Bailey Place, 14-18 Bailey Place, and an unnumbered lot on Bailey Place, also identified as Section 21, Block 29, Lots 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19,

19A, 20, 21, 22, and 23, Ward 2, currently zoned Business 1, Business 2, and Public Use (the Project Site)

OWNER: Mark Newtonville, LLC, Mark Lolich, LLC, and Sunoco, LLC, their successors and assigns

ADDRESS OF OWNER: 57 River Street, Suite 106, Wellesley, MA 02481

TO BE USED FOR: A mixed use development in excess of 20,000 square feet consisting of three interconnected buildings with building heights of not more than 60 feet and five stories, total gross floor area not exceeding 235,000 square feet incorporating 160 residential units, not exceeding 45,000 square feet of commercial space, not less than 2,000 square feet of community space, not less than 350 on-site parking stalls outside at grade or within a below-grade garage, and related site improvements; to authorize uses including retail of more than 5,000 square feet, personal service of more than 5,000 square feet, restaurants over 50 seats, standalone ATMs, health club establishments at or above ground floor, animal service, and street level office

CONSTRUCTION: Masonry structure over a structural steel and concrete base

EXPLANATORY NOTES: Special permits, site plan approval, and parking waivers for the Project, all as more specifically set forth in Schedule A, attached hereto, and as further referenced in the Findings.

ZONING: MU-4

Approved subject to the following Conditions.

General Conditions

1. All buildings, parking areas, driveways, walkways, landscaping, and other site features associated with this Special Permit/Site Plan Approval shall be located and constructed consistent with the plans identified in Schedule B, and which are hereby incorporated by reference.

2. The Petitioner shall merge the existing parcels to one new lot either prior to the issuance of the first building permit (other than a demolition permit) or within thirty (30) days from the issuance of such permit. Proof of recording a plan with the Middlesex South District Registry of Deeds shall be submitted to the Department of Planning and Development, the Inspectional Services Department, and the City Solicitor's office.
3. This Special Permit/Site Plan Approval shall be deemed to have been (i) vested, for the purposes of utilizing the benefits of the change of zone authorized by Council Order #180(2)-16, upon the submission to the City of an application for a building permit (other than a demolition permit) for all or any portion of the Project; and (ii) vested and exercised, with respect to the entire Project, once construction under this Special Permit/Site Plan Approval has begun for any portion of the Project.
4. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Petitioner shall submit stamped and signed engineered plans for the reconstruction of the intersection at Walnut and Washington Streets as described on Schedule G attached hereto, for review and approval by the Director of Planning and Development and the Commissioner of Public Works in consultation with the Director of Transportation, and the City Engineer, for continuity with the Newtonville Streetscape Project south of the Massachusetts Turnpike and consistency with the City's Complete Streets Policy. These improvements will be completed by the Petitioner at its sole cost and expense.
5. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Petitioner shall submit stamped and signed engineered streetscape design plans for the improvements to Walnut and Washington Streets adjacent to the project site, for review and approval by the Director of Planning and Development and the Commissioner of Public Works in consultation with the Director of Transportation, and the City Engineer, for continuity with the Newtonville Streetscape Project south of the Massachusetts Turnpike and consistency with the City's Complete Streets Policy.
6. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Petitioner shall submit stamped and signed engineered streetscape design plans for the improvements on the east side of Walnut Street for review and approval by the Director of Planning and Development and the Commissioner of Public Works in consultation with the Director of Transportation, and the City Engineer, for continuity with the Newtonville Streetscape Project south of the Massachusetts Turnpike and consistency with the City's Complete Streets Policy.
7. Prior to the issuance of any final certificates of occupancy, and at the Petitioner's sole cost and expense, the Petitioner shall complete the reconstruction of the intersection of Washington Street and Walnut Street as described on Schedule G attached hereto. The City Engineer shall also inspect and approve the improvements upon completion. Law to consider, including length of time for construction of intersection and of project, as is distinction between temporary/final c of o. Need to consider this point for conditions 8 &

9 as well. Is it possible to allow only a limited amount of square footage or number of units to get a temporary c of o prior to completion of intersection improvements?

8. Prior to the issuance of any final certificates of occupancy, and at the Petitioner's sole cost and expense, the Petitioner shall design and construct bike facilities on both sides of Walnut Street from Newtonville Avenue north to Foster Street. The design of the bike facilities shall be reviewed and approved by the Director of Planning and Development and the Commissioner of Public Works in consultation with the Director of Transportation, and the City Engineer, for consistency with the Newtonville Streetscape Project and consistency with the City's Complete Streets Policy.
9. Prior to the issuance of any final certificate of occupancy, the petitioner shall, complete all offsite improvements as described in Schedule D attached hereto, the estimated cost of which is approximately \$700,000.
10. Prior to the issuance of any certificates of occupancy, temporary or final, for the residential portion of the site, the petitioner shall pay an amount of \$782,880 to the City, which sum represents an 8:1 ratio for municipal sewer Inflow and Infiltration improvements in accordance with a memorandum from Associate City Engineer John Daghlian dated November 29, 2016, attached hereto as Schedule C.
11. Prior to the issuance of any certificates of occupancy, temporary or final, for the residential portion of the site, the Petitioner shall fund a Transportation Demand Management Subsidy Program (the "TDM Subsidy Program") in the amount of \$300,000. The details of the TDM Subsidy Program are set forth in Schedule E. The Transportation Demand Management Plan is attached as Schedule F.
12. In accordance with the City's Inclusionary Zoning Ordinance (§5.11.4), and as proposed in the Preliminary Washington Place Inclusionary Housing Plan (IHP) dated January 18, 2017 as described as Schedule H attached hereto, the Project shall include a total of 15% Deed Restricted units for low- and moderate-income households (Inclusionary Units totaling 24 units) that shall be made available to households earning up to 80% of Area Median Income (AMI), as designated by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, adjusted for household size. As proposed in the Preliminary IHP, twelve of these units shall be made available to households earning up to 80% AMI, and twelve of these units shall be made available to households earning up to 50% AMI. Monthly housing costs (inclusive of heat, hot water, electricity, water and sewer, and one parking space) shall not exceed 30% of the applicable monthly income limit for that unit. The maximum number of the Inclusionary Units shall be designated as Local Preference units, as permitted and defined by the Massachusetts Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD).
13. In addition to the Inclusionary Units, and as proposed in the Preliminary (IHP), the Project shall further include 10% Deed Restricted units for Middle Income Households (Middle Income Units, totaling 16 units) that shall be made available to households earning

between 80% and 120% of AMI, as designated by HUD, adjusted for household size. As proposed in the IHP, eight Middle Income units shall be made available to households earning up to XXX% AMI, and eight of the Middle Income units shall be made available to households earning up to XXX% AMI. Monthly housing costs for the Middle Income units should be calculated in the same manner as the monthly housing costs for the Inclusionary Units detailed in Condition 12, with the necessary adjustments for the applicable income limit and bedroom count.

14. To the extent permitted by applicable regulations of DHCD, the Inclusionary Units shall be eligible for inclusion on the State's Subsidized Housing Inventory (SHI) as Local Action Units through DHCD's Local Initiative Program. Prior to the issuance of any building permits for the construction of the project, the Petitioner, City, and DHCD will enter into a Regulatory Agreement and Declaration of Restrictive Covenants, in a form approved by the Law Department, that will establish the affordability restriction for the Inclusionary Units in perpetuity.
15. The Middle Income Units will be subject to an Affordable Housing Use Restriction, in a form approved by the Law Department, which shall be substantially similar in form and intent to the Regulatory Agreement and Declaration of Restrictive Covenants that governs the Inclusionary Units. The restriction shall be in perpetuity and shall be executed between the Petitioner and the City prior to the issuance of any building permits for the construction of the project.
16. Prior to the issuance of any building permits, the Petitioner shall provide a final Inclusionary Housing Plan and Affirmative Marketing and Resident Selection Plan for review by the Director of Planning and Development. The Inclusionary Housing Plan and Affirmative Marketing and Resident Selection Plan must meet the requirements of DHCD's guidelines for Affirmative Fair Housing Marketing and Resident Selection and be consistent with §5.11.9. of the Newton Zoning Ordinance. The Inclusionary Housing Plan and Affirmative Marketing and Resident selection plan should include both the Inclusionary Units and the Middle Income Units and treat the units the same with respect to Affirmative Marketing and advancing Fair Housing in Newton. In accordance with DHCD's current guidelines, the units will be Affirmatively Marketed and leased through a lottery.
17. The project shall include at least six three bedroom units. At least one of these units will be restricted as an Inclusionary Unit (made available to households earning up to 80% of AMI, as designated by HUD), at least one unit will be restricted as a Middle Income Unit (made available to households earning between 80% and 120% of AMI, as designated by HUD), and the remainder may be made available at market rates.
18. At the Petitioner's sole expense, the Petitioner shall locate all utility service lines on site and along the Project's frontage on Washington Street and Walnut Street underground,

Comment [NR1]: Intent here is to distinguish between Demo permit and New Construction.

Comment [NR2]: Intent here is to distinguish between Demo permit and New Construction.

subject to necessary approvals from utility companies. Move up or down to construction section?

19. In accordance with the memorandum from New Ecology dated November 22, 2016, attached hereto as Schedule I, careful attention will be paid to design and construct a high R-value, durable, environmentally sensitive building. Mechanical, Electrical and Plumbing systems will be chosen and sized to meet the minimal heating and cooling loads and to ensure occupant comfort. The building shall achieve LEED Gold certification, and proper commissioning, optimization and education for building management and tenants shall be ensured to operate the building at the designed level of performance.

Conditions Related To Construction

20. All construction activity shall be limited to 7AM-6PM Monday through Friday and 8AM-5PM on Saturdays excluding holidays, unless extended or restricted by the Commissioner of Inspectional Services or the Commissioner of Public Works. Interior work may occur at other times when the building is fully enclosed.
21. The Petitioner shall communicate regular construction updates to the Newtonville Area Council and all immediate abutters during construction as appropriate. Talk offline with petitioner and NAC. During construction, the Petitioner shall maintain a Website to facilitate regular construction updates.....
22. The Petitioner shall comply in all material respects with the final Construction Management Plan to be submitted for review and approval to the Commissioner of Inspectional Services, in consultation with the Director of Planning and Development, the Fire Department, the Commissioner of Public Works, and the City Engineer. The Final Construction Management Plan shall include, but not be limited to, the following provisions:
- a. 24-hour contact information for the general contractor of the Project. This contact information shall be provided to the Commissioner of Inspectional Services and to the Newton Police Department, and shall be posted on the job site.
 - b. The proposed schedule of the Project, including the general phasing of the construction activities.
 - c. Site plan(s) showing the proposed location of contractor and subcontractor parking, on-site material storage area(s), on-site staging areas(s) for delivery vehicles, and location of any security fencing.
 - d. Proposed truck route(s) that minimize travel on local streets.
 - e. Proposed methods for dust control including but not limited to: covering trucks for transportation of excavated material; minimizing storage of debris on-site by using

dumpsters and regularly emptying them; using tarps to cover piles of bulk building materials and soil; and locating a truck washing station to clean muddy wheels on all truck and construction vehicles before exiting the Site.

- f. Proposed methods of noise control, in accordance with the City of Newton's Ordinance. Staging activities should be conducted in a manner that will minimize off-site impacts of noise. Noise producing staging activities should be located as far as practical from noise sensitive locations.
 - g. A plan for rodent control during construction.
23. The Petitioner shall be responsible for securing and paying police details that may be necessary for traffic control throughout the construction process as required by the Police Chief.
24. The Petitioner shall be responsible for repairing any damage to public ways and property caused by any construction vehicles. All repair work shall be done prior to the issuance of a final Certificate of Occupancy, unless the Commissioner of Public Works determines that the damage to the public way is so extensive that it limits the use of the public way. In such case the repair work must be initiated within one month of the Commissioner making such determination and shall be conducted consistent with City Construction Standards, and shall be completed within an appropriate time frame, as determined by the Commissioner.

Conditions Precedent To The Issuance Of Any Building Permits

25. No building permit shall be issued pursuant to this Special Permit/Site Plan approval until the Petitioner has: Think about critical path, construction phasing and prior conditions that need to be added here.
- a. Recorded a certified copy of this Council order with the Registry of Deeds for the Southern District of Middlesex County.
 - b. Filed a copy of such recorded Council order with the City Clerk, the Department of Inspectional Services, and the Department of Planning and Development.
 - c. Obtained a written statement from the Department of Planning and Development that confirms the final building permit plans and façade elevations are consistent with plans approved in Condition #1.
 - d. Obtained a written statement from the Department of Planning and Development and the Commissioner of Public Works that confirms that final plans for the reconstruction of the intersection at Walnut and Washington Streets, the improvements to Walnut and Washington Streets adjacent to the project site, and the improvements to the east side of Walnut Street including bike facilities, are

consistent with the Newtonville Streetscape Project and the City's Complete Streets Policy.

- e. Submitted a final Inclusionary Housing Plan for review and approval by the Director of Planning and Development that is certified as compliant by the Director of Planning and Development with the information required to be included in such Plan pursuant to §5.11.9. of the Zoning Ordinance.
- f. Submitted final engineering, utility, and drainage plans, and an Operations and Maintenance plan for Stormwater Management, for review and approval by the City Engineer. A statement certifying such approval shall have been filed with the City Clerk, the Commissioner of Inspectional Services, and the Director of Planning and Development.
- g. Submitted a final Construction Management Plan (CMP) for review and approval by the Commissioner of Inspectional Services in consultation with the Director of Planning and Development, the Fire Department, the Commissioner of Public Works, the City Engineer, and the Director of Transportation.
- h. Submitted sample building façade materials and colors for review and approval by the Director of Planning and Development.
- i. Submitted a LEED Checklist to the Director of Planning and Development for review and approval, indicating which points the Project intends to realize in order to achieve LEED Gold certification.
- j. Submitted to the Law Department copies of fully executed Regulatory Agreements and Affordable Housing Restriction for all Deed Restricted Units, as described in Condition 14 and Condition 15.

Conditions Precedent To The Issuance Of Any Occupancy Permits

26. No occupancy permit for the use covered by this Special Permit/Site Plan approval shall be issued until the Petitioner has:
 - a. Filed with the City Clerk, the Department of Inspectional Services, and the Department of Planning and Development a statement by a registered architect and civil engineer certifying compliance with Condition #1.
 - b. Submitted to the Department of Inspectional Services, the Department of Planning and Development, and the Engineering Division final as-built survey plans in digital format.
 - c. Completed the payment to the City for municipal sewer Inflow and Infiltration improvements.
 - d. Completed all off-site improvements as described in Schedule D.

- e. Funded a Transportation Demand Management program with \$300,000 as described in Schedule E along with any and all TDM obligations and requirements.
- f. Filed with the Department of Inspectional Services and the Department of Planning and Development a statement by the City Engineer certifying that all engineering details for the project site have been constructed to standards of the City of Newton Public Works Department.
- g. Filed with the Department of Inspectional Services and the Department of Planning and Development a statement by the City Engineer certifying that all engineering details including the reconstruction of the intersection at Washington and Walnuts Streets, the Walnut and Washington Street Streetscape improvements adjacent to the project site, the improvements to the east side of Walnut Street including bike facilities, have been constructed to standards of the City of Newton Public Works Department.
- h. Submitted to the Department of Planning and Development and the Health Department a final environmental report from a Licensed Site Professional documenting that the Site is can be used for residential apartments.
- i. Filed evidence of LEED Gold certification.
- j. Filed with the Department of Inspectional Services a statement by the Director of Planning and Development approving final location, number, and type of plant materials, final landscape features, fencing, and parking areas.
- k. Provided evidence satisfactory to the Law Department that the Regulatory Agreements for all Deed Restricted Units have been recorded at the Southern Middlesex District Registry of Deeds or Southern Middlesex Land Court, as appropriate.
- l. Deed Restricted units shall be constructed and occupied coincident with market rate units, such that no more than three market rate units may receive occupancy permits until the corresponding affordable unit has received its occupancy permit.
- m. The Commissioner of Inspectional Services may issue one or more certificates of temporary occupancy for all or portions of the building constructed subject to this special permit prior to installation of landscaping or exterior hardscape improvements required in Condition 24 c. and d., provided the Petitioner shall first have filed with the Director of Planning and Development a bond, letter of credit, cash, or other security in the form satisfactory to the Director of Planning and Development in an amount not less than 135% of the value of the aforementioned remaining site improvements to ensure their completion.

Comment [NR3]: We will want the Reg. Agreement and Affordable Use restriction to be executed before building permits. I suggest striking this.

Ongoing Conditions To Be In Effect For So Long As This Special Permit/Site Plan Approval Is Exercised


27. The cost of residential tenant parking shall be charged separately from residential tenant rents so as to minimize car ownership by residential tenants, provided, however, that one parking stall shall be provided for the household of each Inclusionary Unit which needs a parking stall without charge to the tenant of such unit. Consistent with the intent of the TDM and the goal of reducing automobile traffic, households occupying an Inclusionary Unit that do not require a parking space shall be allowed to forego the parking space; households that forego the parking space shall be entitled to a coinciding reduction in their monthly rent, equal to the monthly rent of the parking space.
28. Should the City adopt a Transportation Demand Management Ordinance within three (3) years of the issuance of final certificates of occupancy for the Project, the Petitioner shall meet with the Director of Planning and Development and the Director of Transportation to discuss Project compliance with the requirements of the Ordinance.
- 29.
30. Explain further to not conflict with TDM incentives.
31. All landscaping associated with this Special Permit/Site Plan Approval shall be maintained in good condition. Any plant material that becomes diseased or dies shall be replaced on an annual basis with similar material.
32. The Petitioner shall be responsible at its sole cost for trash and recycling disposal for the Project. The trash and recycling disposal shall be scheduled at such times to minimize any disruption of the on-site parking.
33. A Comprehensive Sign Package including all tenant signage shall be submitted for review by the Urban Design Committee.
34. Managed or valet parking is permitted pursuant to a professionally-prepared Parking Management Plan, which shall be maintained on file and available for review upon request by the Director of Planning and Development or the Director of the Transportation Division of Public Works. Valet parking must be located within the Project site.
35. No changes to the Project shall be permitted, except as otherwise set forth in this Special Permit/Site Plan Approval, unless they are consistent with the Special Permit Plan Set. Consistency determinations shall be subject to review and approval by the Commissioner of Inspectional Services but shall not require approval of the Council. When making a request for a consistency determination, the Petitioner shall submit updated construction sequencing plans and a memorandum for the Commissioner of Inspectional Services demonstrating that such changes (i) do not constitute a reallocation or reconfiguration of square footage among uses in the Project or otherwise allowed in the MU-4 district such that no increase in the parking waiver approved hereunder is required; (ii) do not require additional zoning relief (other than the categories of relief granted and/or modified

pursuant to the Special Permit/Site Plan Approval; and (iii) maintain the same percentage of useable open space as shown on the Special Permit Plan Set. If the Commissioner of Inspectional Services grants any consistency ruling pursuant to this Condition, he shall provide a copy to the Land Use Committee of the Council. The Land Use Committee shall not be required to vote or to approve the consistency request. Law to review.

36. At its sole expense and within 12 months of the issuance of final certificates of occupancy, the Petitioner shall complete a post occupancy traffic study to document and assess (i) the actual traffic characteristics, volumes and operating conditions of the Project including safety and crash results; (ii) to evaluate the success and refine the elements of the TDM Measures including parking utilization and residential and commercial tenant mode shares; and (iii) assess traffic volumes and operating conditions at the intersection of Walnut and Washington Streets. Traffic counts shall be performed under average-month conditions while public schools are in regular session. The results shall be submitted to the City prior to the end of the calendar year in which the study is completed. If the results indicate the actual measured traffic volumes associated with the Project as constructed and occupied exceed the trip estimates presented in the Traffic Impact Assessment by more than 10 percent of the projected trip generation for the then occupied uses as measured at the two primary driveways serving the Project or the intersection of Walnut and Washington Streets; then the Petitioner shall correct the problem by implementing including but not limited to the following measures:
- a. Appropriately adjust the traffic signal timing, phasing and coordination for the Walnut and Washington Streets intersection; Who does this and for how long?
 - b. Implement refinements to on-site traffic flow and parking management;
 - c. Expand or modify the elements of the Transportation Demand Management Plan measures in order to increase use of public transportation and/or other alternatives to automobile travel, subject to review of the Director of Planning and Development in consultation with the City's Director of Transportation.
37. At least 2,000 square feet of accessible community space as shown on Plan A1.13, Second Floor, shall be made available for lease to a local non-profit organization engaged in the arts through community education. The Owner shall lease such space to the non-profit organization for a minimal fee plus the cost of utilities actually consumed for the such of such space. The terms of the lease shall require the non-profit lessee to provide programming for the use of such space and also require the non-profit lessee to allow other local non-profits or community groups to use such space at least one evening a week and/or at such other times as are convenient for the non-profit lessee. The Owner shall consult with the City's Cultural Affairs office to help find the original local non-profit organization, and any replacement lessee should the original local non-profit be unable to continue the lease at any time in the future while this special permit is being exercised.

Petition #179-16
Page 20 of 20

38. The Petitioner and its predecessors agree to not lease commercial space in the Project to any banks. This condition does not prohibit ATM machines. Work on details of this condition.



SCHEDULE A

Relief Sought

Dimensional Standards

1. development of more than 20,000 square feet pursuant to Section 4.2.2.B.1
2. lot area less than 1,000 square feet per unit pursuant to Section 4.2.5.A.3
3. building height of 60' pursuant to Sections 2.2.3 and 4.2.5.A.2
4. 5 story building pursuant to Section 4.2.3 and 4.2.5.A.2
5. floor area ratio of not more than 1.90 pursuant to Section 4.2.3

Design Standards

6. waiver of the height setback requirement of Section 4.2.5.A.4.c for buildings greater than 40' pursuant to Section 4.2.5.A.4
7. waiver of the transparency and entrance requirements of Section 4.2.5.A.6.a-c

Uses

8. retail sales use of over 5,000 square feet pursuant to Section 4.4.1
9. restaurant of over 50 seats pursuant to Section 4.4.1
10. personal service use of over 5,000 square feet pursuant to Section 4.4.1
11. standalone ATM pursuant to Section 4.4.1
12. health club at or above ground floor pursuant to Section 4.4.1
13. animal services pursuant to Section 4.4.1

Parking Facility

14. 1.25 parking stalls per residential unit pursuant to Section 5.1.4.A
15. reduction of the overall parking requirement by 1/3 pursuant to Section 5.1.4.C

16. waiver of 97 parking stalls pursuant to Section 5.1.13
17. waiver of the parking stall dimensions required by Sections 5.1.8.B.1 and 2
18. waiver of parking stall setback requirements pursuant to Section 5.1.8.A
19. waiver of dimensional requirements for entrance and exit driveways pursuant to Section 5.1.8.D
20. waiver of end stall maneuvering space requirements of Section 5.1.8.B.6
21. waiver of the 5% interior landscaping requirement of Section 5.1.9.B.1
22. waiver of the interior planting area requirements of Section 5.1.9.B.2
23. waiver of the tree requirements of Section 5.1.9.B.3
24. waiver of the bumper overhang requirements of Section 5.1.9.B.4
25. waiver of the 1-foot candle lighting requirements of Section 5.1.10.A.1
26. waiver of the curbing, wheel stop, guard rail or bollard requirements of Section 5.1.10.B.5
27. waiver of the number of off-street loading facilities required pursuant to Section 5.1.12
28. waiver of the number, size, location and height of signs pursuant to Section 5.2.13

SCHEDULE B

Plans

DRAFT

SCHEDULE C

CITY OF NEWTON
Department of Public Works
ENGINEERING DIVISION

MEMORANDUM

To: Alderman Mark Laredo, Land Use Committee Chairman

From: John Daghlian, Associate City Engineer

Re: Special Permit – Washington Place

Date: November 29, 2016

CC: James McGonagle, Commissioner DPW
Ted Jerdee, Director of Utilities
Lou Taverna, PE City Engineer
Nadia Khan, Committee Clerk
Alexandria Ananth, Chief Planner
Michael Gleba, Sr. Planner

In reference to the above application, Engineering & Utilities Divisions had a meeting with the applicant's consultants in regards to I & I for the proposed development.

Washington Place will use exceptionally water-efficient fixtures and appliances all of which will be EPA WaterSense or Energy Star rated appliances (dish washers, washing machine); and based on actual flow rates presented the follow flow rates were agreed to the following:

WASHINGTON PLACE						
29-Nov-16						
Infiltration & Inflow Breakdown						
Type of Use	Square Footage	Per 1,000	Flow Rate Gal/Day	# of Seats	# Bedrooms	Total Daily Flow
Commerical	31,550	31.55	50			1,578
Restaurant	7,625	7.63	50	177		381
Office Space	2,030	2.03	75			152
Residential	-		61		226	13,786
Gallons per day =						15,897
Requested Contribution calculation						
$\$8.40/\text{Gallon}(\text{treatment \& transmission cost}) \times 8 \times 17,094 \text{ gallons} =$						\$1,068,278
Additionally with the reduction of daily from based on actual meter reading totalling 4,247 gpd						
Adjusted Contribution:						
	Treatment Transmission Cost	Reduction Rate	Flow Rate Reduced Gal/Day	Adjusted Contribution		
	\$ 8.40	8	-4,247	\$ (285,398.40)		
			\$(285,398.40)			
Total Assessment:	\$1,068,278					
Less	\$285,398					
Net Contribution	\$782,880					

If you have any questions please call me.

SCHEDULE D**\$700,000 of Improvements**

The Petitioner has agreed to fund and construct certain offsite improvements, over and above the Washington Street/Walnut Street intersection improvements set forth in Schedule G, which offsite improvements have an estimated cost of approximately \$700,000. The Petitioner will construct the following improvements even if the cost of the same exceeds \$700,000.

1. Provide bike facilities on both sides of Walnut Street that extend from Foster Street across the bridge to Newtonville Avenue.
2. Install a bike sharing station at a location to be agreed upon by the Petitioner and the Director of Transportation.
3. Provide streetscape improvements on the east side of Walnut Street (pavers, lighting, trees) (consistent with the similar improvements on the west side of Walnut Street adjacent to the site) from Washington Street up to and including the frontage of the Bram Building.
4. Bury the utility lines on the south side of Washington Street from opposite Washington Terrace to Walnut Street.

Alternatively, at the option of the City, the Petitioner will pay the sum of \$700,000 to the City, and the City then would be responsible for the construction of the above listed improvements.

SCHEDULE E**Transportation Demand Management Subsidy Program (the "TDM Subsidy Program")**

Transportation reimbursement to include the following (the figures are estimates):

- 1) Commuter Rail Passes (\$200 / month)
- 2) Subway – T Passes (\$85/month)
- 3) Bus Passes (\$130 - \$170/month)
- 4) Bike Share Passes (TBD)
- 5) Ride Sharing: Zip-Car, BRIDJ, LYFT, etc. (TBD)

The Developer has created a Transportation Subsidy Program within the TDM to discourage car usage by providing a transportation subsidy for those choosing to commute to and from the site by alternative modes of transportation. The subsidy will reimburse residents up to 90% of the monthly cost *per* alternative mode of transportation, up to a combined monthly total of \$200.

For example, if a residential unit elects not to rent a parking space and instead purchases both a monthly subway pass (\$85) and a monthly buss pass (\$130), the tenant would be entitled to a reimbursement of $(\$85 \times 90\% = \$76.50) + (\$130 \times 90\% = \$117.00) = \$193.50$. As noted below, the reimbursement amount to a residential unit is determined based on the number of parking spaces rented and whether or not a tenant qualifies as an affordable renter or market rate tenant.

Transit Subsidy Program	Affordable Units	Market Units
Monthly Stipend (Based on Usage)	Up to \$200	Up to \$200
0 Cars per Household	90% Stipend	90% Stipend
1 Car per Household	75% Stipend	50% Stipend
2 Car per Household	35% Stipend	15% Stipend



SCHEDULE F

Washington Place Draft Transportation Demand Management Plan January 19, 2017

Mark Development will implement a Transportation Demand Management Plan ("TDM") at Washington Place in order to minimize traffic, reduce dependency on cars and promote a healthier environment. To achieve these goals, Washington Place will include various incentives and programs aimed at encouraging walking, bicycling, public transit and other sustainable modes of transportation to the site. The Transportation Demand Management Plan will contain the following elements:

I. Informational Services:

- A specific person on the Washington Place staff will be designated as the traffic demand management coordinator (the "TDM Coordinator").
- The TDM Coordinator will be responsible for coordinating efforts between the City of Newton and Washington Place to reduce single occupancy vehicles ("SOV").
- The TDM Coordinator will meet on an annual basis with the City of Newton Traffic Engineer to provide an update on the status of the continuing efforts of the TDM, as well as to notify the City of Newton of any new or proposed changes to the program.
- Every two years, the TDM Coordinator will conduct a survey of the residential and commercial tenants and provide those detailed findings and discuss mode sharing goals with the City of Newton's Director of Transportation and the Director of the Planning Department Traffic Engineer.
- The TDM Coordinator will be available at any time to discuss with the City's Director of Transportation any traffic issues which may arise from the project.

II. Nearby Transit Services

- Commuter Rail (Framingham/Worcester Line): Newtonville Stop (<.25 miles)
- Bus lines (.004 miles):
 - 553 (Downtown Boston via Newton Corner & Central Square)
 - 554 (Waverley Square – Downtown Boston)
 - 556 Express (Waltham Highlands – Downtown Boston via Newton Corner)
 - 59 (Watertown Square – Needham via Newtonville)

III. Bicycle Accommodations

- Washington Place will include 30 dedicated street level bike parking and repair space for the general public and users of the ground floor commercial space.
- Washington Place will include dedicated weather-protected bike parking and storage in the below grade parking facility at a 1:1 ratio for each residential household. This parking will be secured from the general public and convenient to tenants of the building.
- Mark Development will provide the funding for 1 – 2 bike share stations, with at least 1 station to be installed at the site, at location(s) to be agreed on with the City of Newton Planning Department and the Director of Transportation at a cost not to exceed \$130,000. This cost includes both the purchase and installation of the bike stations.
- Mark Development will be installing dedicated bike facilities on both sides of Walnut Street extending from Foster Street across the bridge.

IV. Pedestrian Links

- Washington Place will provide significant improvements to the streetscape, which are intended to promote walkability along Washington Street and Walnut Street. Examples include:
 - Increasing the width of sidewalks by 7' – 10' along Walnut Street and along Washington Street respectively, allowing for an additional 5,000 SF of walking space.
 - Closing the five existing curb cuts.
 - A 35' opening along Washington Street, which will serve as the entry point to a 9,000 SF plaza creating a pedestrian connection between the north and south sides of the project.
 - Creating a pedestrian connection to the plaza from Walnut Street.

V. Sustainable Transport Initiatives:

- Mark Development will commit to decouple the cost of parking from the rent of the residential units to further discourage car usage.
- Car sharing: Washington Place will include no less than 2 dedicated parking spaces for Zip Car. Mark Development will also explore ride sharing partnerships with service providers including, but not limited to, BRIDJ and Lyft.
- Preferential parking spaces for car pools and van pools will be included.
- Electric Charging Stations: Washington Place will include two charging stations for electric vehicles, one at the street level and one below grade in the parking garage.

VI. Transportation Subsidy Program:

- Mark Development will create a TDM Subsidy Program for Washington Place, and commit to funding \$300,000 towards reducing dependency on cars, particularly SOVs. The plan will work as follows:
 - Each household will have the ability to receive up to \$200 per month for the use of alternative modes of transportation. Alternative modes that would qualify include, but are not limited to, are as follows (combinations are acceptable):
 - Commuter Rail Passes (Monthly)
 - Subway/ T-passes (Monthly)
 - Bus Passes (Monthly)
 - Bike Share Passes (TBD)
 - Ride Sharing (TBD)
- To encourage reduced parking demand and incentivize use of public transit, the Developer has created a Transportation subsidy program for residential tenant that elect an alternative mode(s) of transportation to commute to and from the site. The subsidy will reimburse residents up to 90% of the monthly cost *per* alternative mode of transportation, up to a combined monthly total of \$200.
- For example, if a residential unit elects not to rent a parking space and instead purchases both a monthly subway pass (\$85) and a monthly buss pass (\$130), the tenant would be entitled to a reimbursement of $(\$85 \times 90\% = \$76.50) + (\$130 \times 90\% = \$117.00) = \$193.50$. As noted below, the reimbursement amount to a residential unit is determined based on the number of parking spaces rented and whether or not a tenant qualifies as an affordable renter or market rate tenant.

A sample Subsidy Program is provided in the chart below. Final details to be determined with input from the City.

Transit Subsidy Program	Affordable Units	Market Units
Monthly Stipend (Based on Usage)	Up to \$200	Up to \$200
0 Cars per Household	90% Stipend	90% Stipend
1 Car per Household	75% Stipend	50% Stipend
2 Car per Household	35% Stipend	15% Stipend

VII. Marketing Programs:

- Included with each rental package will be a one-page marketing summary which defines the Washington Place Pilot Transportation subsidy.
- Washington Place will maintain a commuter information center with a posted transit service schedule and corresponding costs as well as any ride sharing services being offered.
- All households will be sent a reminder of the program at least once per year and information of the program shall be posted on the site's website

VIII. Monitoring and Reporting Plan

- In order to determine future requirements for traffic demand management measures, Mark Development will produce a survey for both residential and commercial tenants every two years and provide a summary of the results to the City's Director of Transportation and the Director of the Planning Department.
- Mark Development will also track the usage of the Transportation Program and provide the results to the City of Newton Director of Transportation and the Director of the Planning Department. This tracking will be in effect up until the point that the \$300,000 funding has been spent.
- Mark Development will encourage commercial tenants to promote ride-sharing and use of public transportation with their tenants. The commercial tenants will further be encouraged to create incentives among employees to rideshare or take public transportation. Such language shall be incorporated into any commercial leases for the project.

SCHEDULE G**Washington Street and Walnut Street Intersection Improvements**

The proposed improvements to the intersection, which are separate and distinct from the offsite improvements set forth in Schedule D, are as follows. Each numbered item below is reflected on a plan attached as Schedule G-1.

1. Replace existing signalization with a modern traffic signal.
2. Install curb bump-outs on all four corners.
3. Install ADA compliant crosswalks with audible and visual countdown indicators.
4. Update pavement striping to include a second lane along the Walnut Street southbound approach to the intersection.
5. Coordinate signalization on adjacent traffic signal at Lowell Avenue.
6. Reconfigure Walnut Street bridge to consist of two lanes northbound and southbound.
7. Consolidate existing curb cuts on Washington Street and Walnut Street (five in total) into one access/egress at Washington Terrace and one access/egress on Walnut Street.
8. Create a "slip" on Walnut Street to facilitate left hand turns into the site.
9. Install DO NOT BLOCK intersection signage and pavement markings at Walnut Street site access and adjacent driveway.

SCHEDULE H

Preliminary Washington Place Inclusionary Housing Plan
Washington and Walnut Street, Newton, MA (the "Subject Property")

June 7, 2016, Updated January 18, 2017

This document is submitted in connection with the Special Permit Application to the City of Newton dated May 9, 2016, as amended, by Mark Newtonville, LLC (the "Developer") for the Subject Property. The Developer has engaged LDS Consulting Group, LLC of 233 Needham Street, Newton, MA to assist with this matter.

As proposed, the subject property will include 160 residential rental units. The following sets forth the Developer's proposed Inclusionary Housing Plan in accordance with the City's Inclusionary Zoning Ordinance, Section 5.11 of the Zoning Ordinances (the "Ordinance"). As required by Section 5.11.4 of the Ordinance, the Developer will set aside no fewer than 15% of the residential units as inclusionary units. Therefore, the Developer has proposed that 12 units shall be for households earning at or below 50% of AMI and 12 units shall be for households earning at or below 80% of AMI, for a total of 24 affordable housing units. This is a total 15% of all residential units and results in a blended rate of no more than 65% AMI as required by the Ordinance. Please see the table below for additional detail as to unit type and affordability:

Washington Place (12 Units – 15%)					
AMI	Studio	1-Bed	2-Bed	3-Bed	Total
50%	1	6	5	0	12
80%	1	6	4	1	12
Total	2	12	9	1	24

The approximate size of these units and location of the units are set forth on the attached plan prepared by PCA and dated 1/17/2017. The Developer notes that the size and location of units may change over time. Nevertheless, it is its intent to comply with all Fair Housing Rules and Regulations and evenly disburse the affordable units throughout the three buildings.

The next charts set forth the current income limits by level of affordability as set forth by HUD for the City of Newton which is located in the 2016 Boston-Cambridge-Quincy HUD area ("BCQ").

2016 Boston-Cambridge-Quincy HUD Income Limits						
AMI	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6 Person
50%	\$34,350	\$39,250	\$44,150	\$49,050	\$53,000	\$56,900
80%	\$51,150	\$58,450	\$65,750	\$73,050	\$78,900	\$84,750

We have set forth an estimate of the gross rent and rent net of tenant paid utilities based on today's rent limits set forth by HUD for the BCQ and based on the City of Newton utility allowance schedule for high rise units dated October 1, 2016. We have assumed that the utility allowance ("UA") covers the cost of heat, hot water, and electricity that will be paid by the tenant. Rents will be reviewed and approved as part of the Local Action Unit (LAU) application and Regulatory Agreement.

2016 Maximum Allowable Rents (IZ Units)				
50%	Avg. Sq. Ft	Gross	UA	Net
Studio	583	\$858	\$84	\$774
1-Bed	732	\$920	\$106	\$814
2-Bed	1,051	\$1,103	\$139	\$964
3-Bed	1,315	\$1,275	\$163	\$1,112
80%	Avg. Sq. Ft	Gross	UA	Net
Studio	583	\$1,278	\$84	\$1,194
1-Bed	732	\$1,370	\$106	\$1,264
2-Bed	1,051	\$1,643	\$139	\$1,504
3-Bed	1,315	\$1,899	\$163	\$1,736

For a household with a Section 8 voucher, the rent and income will be as established by the Newton Housing Authority with the approval of HUD and in accordance with LAU standards.

It is the intent of the Developer that the Inclusionary Housing Units be qualified as "Local Action Units" pursuant to the requirements of the Comprehensive Permit Guidelines of DHCD, and therefore will take all steps necessary to make them eligible to be counted on the City of Newton Subsidized Housing Inventory.

In accordance with Section 5.11.9.B.4, to the extent allowed by the Massachusetts Department of Housing and Community Development ("DHCD"), the Developer will set aside 70% of their units as local preference units. In the event this Development results in the displacement of individuals who qualify for a unit in terms of household size and income, first preference shall be given to those displaced applicants, unless such preference would be unallowable under the rules of any source of funding for the project.

In addition, the Developer will provide housing for up to three affordable units for "displaced renters" earning at or below 80% of AMI during the construction period. The Developer has already identified three income qualified households in the existing buildings earning between 30% and 80% of AMI. To the extent they meet typical renter qualifications in addition to income such as a credit check and CORI check, the Developer will provide temporary housing for those

three households to live in during construction. Assuming these households still qualify for an affordable unit when the Development is ready for occupancy, they will be given first preference as noted above.

After the displace renters, preference shall be given for qualified applicants in the following order:

1. Any other qualified applicants who fall within any of the following equally weighted categories:
 - a. Individuals or families who live in the City;
 - b. Households with a family member who works in the City, has been hired to work in the City, or has a bona fide offer of employment in the City; and
 - c. Households with a family member who attends public school in the City.

Preferences for dwelling units having features that are designed, constructed, or modified to be usable and accessible to people with visual, hearing, or mobility disabilities shall be given to qualified applicants in the following order:

1. First preference for initial occupancy shall be given to applicants who are displaced as a result of the project and who need the features of the unit;
2. Then to households that include a family member needing the features of the unit and having preference under one or more of the three categories listed above (Section 5.11.9.C.2);
3. Then to households that include a family member needing the features of the unit but that do not have a preference under one of the three categories listed in Section 5.11.9.C.2.; and
4. then to households having preference under one or more of the three categories listed in Section 5.11.9.C.2.

Above and beyond the affordable housing mandated under the IZB, the Developer voluntarily agrees to provide an additional 10% or 16 of its units to middle income households earning between 80% -120% of AMI, with an average income of 100% of AMI. These units will not be required to be Local Action Units and therefore will not be counted on the City of Newton's SHI. The units count by unit type is noted below:

Washington Place Middle Income "MI" Unit Mix					
	Studio	1-Bed	2-Bed	3-Bed	Total
Middle Income (10%)	2	7	6	1	16

The range of incomes by number of person per unit allowed under this program for 2016 with lowest to highest income are noted below:

2016 Boston-Cambridge-Quincy HUD Income Limits						
AMI	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6 Person
80%	\$51,150	\$58,450	\$65,750	\$73,050	\$78,900	\$84,750
100%	\$68,700	\$78,500	\$88,300	\$98,100	\$106,000	\$113,800
110%	\$75,570	\$86,350	\$97,130	\$107,910	\$116,600	\$125,180
120%	\$82,440	\$94,200	\$105,960	\$117,720	\$127,200	\$136,560

We have set forth an estimate of the gross rent and rent net of tenant paid utilities based on today's rent limits set forth by HUD for the BCQ and based on the City of Newton utility allowance schedule for high rise units dated October 1, 2016. We have assumed that the utility allowance ("UA") covers the cost of heat, hot water, and electricity that will be paid by the tenant. Rents will be reviewed and approved as part of the Local Action Unit (LAU) application and Regulatory Agreement.

AMI	Avg. Sq. Ft	Gross	UA	Net
Studio	583	\$1,716	\$84	\$1,632
1-Bed	732	\$1,840	\$106	\$1,734
2-Bed	1,051	\$2,206	\$139	\$2,067
3-Bed	1,315	\$2,550	\$163	\$2,387

No less than six months prior to the issuance the first certificate of occupancy, the Developer shall finalize the attached Affirmative Fair Marketing and Resident Selection Plan and have it approved by DHCD. The Developer agrees to execute and record a covenant with the Middlesex South Registry of Deeds that limits the rental of affordable units to eligible households in accordance with Section 5.11.9.G.2.

The Developer also agrees to provide an annual compliance report to the City of Newton's Director of Planning and Development.

Washington Place Affordable Summary:

Affordable Unit Count & Mix:

Washington Place Overall Affordable Unit Mix					
AMI	Studio	1-Bed	2-Bed	3-Bed	Total
50%	1	6	5	0	12
80%	1	6	4	1	12
100%	2	7	6	1	16
Total	4	19	15	2	40

Affordable Rents:

Washington Place Affordable NET Rents (IZ + MI)				
AMI	Studio	1-Bed	2-Bed	3-Bed
50%	\$774	\$814	\$964	\$1,112
80%	\$1,194	\$1,264	\$1,504	\$1,736
100%	\$1,632	\$1,734	\$2,067	\$2,387

Exhibit 1 – Floors Plans Showing Affordable Unit Locations

Affordable Units are Designated with an "A"





Exhibit 2 – Affirmative Fair Marketing and Resident Selection Plan





Washington Place Sustainability Narrative Mark Development

November 22nd, 2016

Sustainable Design

Sustainability, energy efficiency, and a focus on quality design that translates to occupant comfort are driving forces behind our vision for Washington Place. Mark Development understands the importance of a holistic, integrated design approach in achieving such ambitious goals, and has assembled a design team that has the experience and expertise necessary to realize this vision. Energy use reduction is a critical local and global challenge, and Washington Place is being designed with that challenge in mind.

Building Envelope

Careful attention will be paid to design and construction of high R-value, durable, environmentally sensitive building assemblies. Continuous insulation wrapping both the exterior wall and roof assemblies will be a critical component of this design. The team will also focus closely on air sealing of the exterior envelope, and the demising walls between apartments. This starts with the development of comprehensive air barrier details, and continues into construction with careful air barrier inspections, testing, and verification.

Improved resiliency resulting from this design and construction approach provides additional tenant, environmental, and financial benefits. A well-insulated building envelop will mean that the building will maintain habitable temperatures longer in the case of a power outage and allow for extended sheltering-in-place.

Mechanical systems

These advanced building envelopes will be complemented by mechanical systems that have been chosen and sized to meet the minimal heating and cooling loads and ensure comfort. Rather than designing large central heating and cooling systems, individual, apartment level boilers and fan coils will be used. This design allows for compact high efficiency equipment, and the added benefit of redundancy should an individual system need repairs or maintenance.

Domestic hot water production and distribution are notoriously inefficient, even in new buildings. New Ecology has measured actual system efficiencies in buildings with central domestic hot water systems in the 20-50% range. The majority of the losses are the result of inefficient circulation of hot water throughout a building. The typical inefficiencies of the distribution system will be counteracted by supplying domestic hot water at the apartment level, using the same high efficiency boilers that are being used to heat the space. Low flow, high performance fixtures that meet or exceed the EPA WaterSense standards will also be integrated to reduce water and energy use while maintaining tenant comfort.

Lighting and Electricity

Appliances will be top performers in function, design, energy, and water efficiency. Electrical load will be reduced through smart lighting design that takes full advantage of the efficient, reliable, and attractive LED fixtures and lighting controls that are now available. The Washington Place team will build on the positive impacts of this efficiency by incorporating electrical, structural, and other design elements that make the building "solar ready" for renewable energy systems. This is a critical component to the long term economic sustainability of the project.

Indoor Air Quality

Of equally important consideration are the indoor air quality impacts of our approach. Mark Development is committed to providing individuals and families with a living environment that enhances their lives and health. We will accomplish this through two methods: ventilation and material selection. Ventilation systems will be designed to provide fresh supply air directly to each apartment. Toxins and contaminants will be minimized through careful specification of low VOC and no added urea formaldehyde materials.


Site Design

Landscaping and site improvements will further emphasize the social and environmental priorities of this project. Outdoor spaces will be designed to encourage social engagement, turf will not be used on the project, and plantings will be drought tolerant and appropriate for the microclimates specific to each planting area. A focus on alternative and improved modes of transportation includes plenty of short term and long term bike parking, Zip Car availability on the property, and designated spots and charging stations for low emitting vehicles and electric cars.

Certification and Operation

As we tally the benefits of the integrated approach we have outlined above, the result is a project that far exceeds the minimum requirements related to LEED certification, and allows for the level of LEED Gold certification. Beyond certifications, we expect the investment in a highly sustainable design and construction process to yield significant operating and durability related dividends. At the same time, we actively acknowledge the role and importance of proper commissioning, optimization, and education to accomplish these goals. We will spend time and resources educating tenants about how to best take advantage of the comfort, health, and energy savings benefits of their apartments. We will ensure that our maintenance and management teams understand the building systems, and have the training they need to operate the building at the designed level of performance.

New Ecology, Inc.



Lauren Baumann
Vice President

Dated: November 22, 2016