



Public Facilities Committee Agenda

City of Newton In City Council

Wednesday, February 20, 2019

7:00 PM
Room 204

Referred to Public Facilities and Finance Committees

- #83-19** HER HONOR THE MAYOR requesting amendment to Section 17-3 of the City of Newton Ordinances adopting the Federal Communications Commission's presumptively reasonable application fees for wireless attachments and new pole construction and conduct cost studying in the coming months to document the City's time and expenses regarding these applications.

Referred to Finance and Appropriate Committees

- #542-18** Submittal of the FY 2020 to FY 2024 Capital Improvement Plan
HER HONOR THE MAYOR submitting the Fiscal Years 2020 to 2024 Capital Improvement Plan pursuant to section 5-3 of the Newton City Charter.

Chairs Note: The Committee will receive an update and overview of the Stormwater Infrastructure Improvement Plan

- #638-18** Evaluation of street sweeping policies and protocols
COUNCILORS LEARY, LAREDO, AND GREENBERG requesting an evaluation of the City's street sweeping policies and protocols including but not limited to (a) whether we should increase the frequency of our street sweeping program; (b) how we notify Newton residents when street sweeping will occur and otherwise provide information about the program; (c) how we evaluate the effectiveness of the program; (d) identifying barriers to possible program improvements including vehicles parked on city streets that hinder the operation of street sweeping activities.

Referred to Public Facilities and Finance Committees

- #84-19** Approve a \$500,000 for snow and ice removal
HER HONOR THE MAYOR requesting authorization to transfer the sum of five hundred thousand dollars from the Budget Reserve – Snow and Ice Removal Account to the following accounts:

The location of this meeting is accessible and reasonable accommodations will be provided to persons with disabilities who require assistance. If you need a reasonable accommodation, please contact the city of Newton's ADA Coordinator, Jini Fairley, at least two business days in advance of the meeting: jfairley@newtonma.gov or (617) 796-1253. The city's TTY/TDD direct line is: 617-796-1089. For the Telecommunications Relay Service (TRS), please dial 711.

Rental - Vehicles (0140110-5273).....	\$350,000
Regular Overtime (0140110-513001).....	\$150,000

Respectfully submitted,

Deborah Crossley, Chair



Ruthanne Fuller
Mayor

City of Newton, Massachusetts
Office of the Mayor

#83-19

Telephone
(617) 796-1100
Fax
(617) 796-1113
TDD/TTY
(617) 796-1089
Email

rfuller@newtonma.gov

Honorable City Council
Newton City Hall
1000 Commonwealth Avenue
Newton Centre, MA 02459

Honorable City Councilors,

I write to request that your Honorable Council amend Sec. 17-3 of the City Code to adopt the FCC's presumptively reasonable application fees for wireless attachments and new pole construction and to conduct cost studies in the coming months to document the City's time and expenses regarding these applications.

Attached is a background and recommendation memo from the City Solicitor. The memo details the current wireless attachment fees, the FCC's order limiting fees, xxxx

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Mayor Ruthanne Fuller

February 13, 2019

RECEIVED
Newton City Office
2019 FEB 13 PM 3:16
City of Newton, MA 02459

**CITY OF NEWTON
LAW DEPARTMENT**

INTEROFFICE MEMORANDUM

To: Deborah Crossley, Chair - Public Facilities Committee
Leonard Gentile, Chair - Finance Committee

cc: Maureen Lemieux - Chief Financial Officer
Jonathan Yeo - Chief Operating Officer

From: Alissa Giuliani - City Solicitor

Date: February 13, 2019

Re: Wireless Grant of Location Application Fees

The Law Department provides its recommendations to the City Council regarding the current wireless grant of location application fee under City Code Sec. 17-3.

The Law Department recommends that the City Council (1) amend Sec. 17-3 of the City Code to adopt the FCC's presumptively reasonable application fees for wireless attachments to existing poles and for construction of new poles for wireless purposes and (2) conduct cost studies in the coming months to document the City's time and expenses regarding these applications.

Current Wireless Grant of Location Application Fee

On September 17, 2018, the City Council amended Sec. 17-3 of the City Code to establish a wireless grant of location application fee of \$750 per pole location. This charge was based on two components: (1) \$500 in labor costs, exclusive of any costs based on loading factors (based on a wireless working group cost study); and (2) \$250 intended to capture costs associated with loading factors (the Law Department has not seen any documentation in support of the cost of loading factors). We understand that the Finance Committee regards the \$750 fee per location fee as conservative, i.e., below the City's actual costs.

Federal Communications Commission Order Limiting Small Cell Application Fees

On September 27, 2018, just a few days after the City Council amendment, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") adopted an Order in which it created guidelines for municipal wireless application fees for small cell installations located within the public ways:

- The fee must be a reasonable approximation of the municipality's actual and direct costs
- The fee can only include objectively reasonable costs

- The fee can be no higher than the fees charged to similarly-situated competitors in similar situations; and
- The fees must be publicly disclosed

The FCC did not mandate any specific accounting methods for documenting actual and direct costs. The FCC did not expressly determine whether “actual and direct costs” are limited to labor hours x labor rates plus disbursements or whether they may include labor-related overheads, such as fringe benefits, and additional costs such as common overheads, e.g., administrative costs.

The FCC adopted the following “presumptively reasonable fees” (fees not prohibited under federal law) as benchmarks:

- A \$500 application fee that applies to 1-5 small wireless facilities (a \$500 fee that applies to 1 location and covers up to 5 locations) attached to existing poles
- A \$100 fee for each small wireless facility in excess of 5 attached to existing poles
- A \$1000 application fee for permission to erect a new pole for wireless attachments
- The FCC allows a municipality to charge *higher* application fees *if* it can satisfy the 4 requirements listed above: (1) the fee must be a reasonable approximation of the municipality’s actual and direct costs; (2) the fee can only include objectively reasonable costs; (3) the fee can be no higher than the fees charged to similarly-situated competitors in similar situations; and (4) the fees must be publicly disclosed.

The FCC’s Order became effective as of January 14, 2019.

The Current City Fees Are Not In Line With the FCC’s “Presumptively Reasonable” Fees

The current application fee in the City of Newton (1) exceeds the FCC’s presumptively reasonable fee for attachments to existing poles and (2) appears to be less than the presumptively reasonable fee for new pole applications.

The City’s \$750 fee per location for wireless attachments to existing poles is greater than the FCC’s “presumptively reasonable” application fee:

	<u>City</u>	<u>FCC</u>
1 location:	\$750	\$500
2 locations:	\$1500	\$500
3 locations:	\$2250	\$500
4 locations:	\$3000	\$500
5 locations:	\$3750	\$500
6 locations:	\$4500	\$600

These fees are not currently supported by documentation of the City’s actual and direct costs and a demonstration that its costs are objectively reasonable costs.

The City’s \$750 fee for a new pole application per location is less than the FCC’s “presumptively reasonable” application fee of \$1000.

City Council Options

The City Council has 3 primary options:

1. Amend Sec. 17-3 of the City Code to track the FCC's presumptively reasonable small wireless application fees and conduct a more thoroughly documented analysis of the City's actual and direct costs, based on a review of inputs and assumptions
2. Amend Sec. 17-3 of the City Code to track the FCC's presumptively reasonable small wireless application fees and conduct no further cost analysis
3. Take no action regarding the currently effective \$750 application fee per location and conduct no further cost analysis

Law Department Recommendations

The Law Department recommends that the City Council pursue Option 1 above, namely (1) amend Sec. 17-3 of the City Code to adopt the FCC's presumptively reasonable application fees for attachments to existing poles and for construction of new poles and (2) and conduct updated cost studies regarding these applications.

Our recommendation takes into account the following considerations:

- Service Quality and Public Safety Considerations: Two expected applicants have expressed serious reservations about the existing application fee; the ripple effect of accepting fees above the FCC's presumptively reasonable fees exposes these parties to the risk of higher, non-cost based fees in Newton and other communities; an applicant may decide not to proceed with a planned attachment, such as one moving forward in Waban near the Zervas School that is needed to improve service quality and public safety.
- Risks and Costs of Litigation: Adoption of the FCC's presumptively reasonable fees limits the City's exposure to the risks and costs of litigation. If the City does not do so, an applicant may decide to challenge the City's fee as inconsistent with current FCC standards. The costs of defending such a challenge could be substantial, including retention of expert witnesses or special counsel to defend the cost basis for its fee. It is possible that the City's cost analysis performed to derive \$500 labor costs may not be sufficient under the FCC's guidelines. The City would be subject to discovery (interrogatories, requests for production of documents, depositions) regarding the basis for the \$500 labor costs. The incremental \$250 also would be subject to discovery (at this time, the Law Department is unaware of cost inputs, assumptions and calculations which support the increase in the application fee amount to cover loading factors and it does not know what specific loading factors were taken into account). Moreover, FCC guidelines do not expressly address

whether loaded labor rates fall under “actual and direct cost” so defending fees based on such costs may pose even more challenging and costly.

In addition, the time involved in such litigation is likely to be substantial. Critical work will likely force a long delay, work that has public safety implications as cellular service quality (e.g., adequate coverage and capacity) will continue to be spotty.

A further litigation risk exists if the actual handling of applications is different than the handling assumed when the \$500 labor cost estimate was derived. The \$500 labor cost relied upon labor time estimates for a number of departments that were expected to play a role in the review of applications for completeness and compliance with the City Council’s standards. At present, it does not appear that the application review process will be consistent with the inputs and assumptions used to derive the \$500 labor cost. DPW has determined that it can effectively review the applications in a form that is more streamlined than originally discussed. This issue can be discussed further with DPW.

- Batch Application Issues: The FCC has required municipalities to accept “batch” applications; the City declined to allow batch applications. The City has not yet conducted a specific cost study regarding the processing of batch applications or a group of separate applications for each location.
- Ability to Increase the Application fee for New Poles: Amending Sec. 17-3 would allow the City to increase the application fee for a new pole primarily used for wireless communications (an amendment would enable the City to clarify what fee applies where the attachment requires the replacement of an existing pole).
- Benefits of Reviewing the Cost Support for the Application Fee: A review of the cost basis for the application fee would allow the City to evaluate it based upon any criteria that it applies in determining all cost-based City fees. This review also would enable the City to fully document and demonstrate that the fee is a reasonable approximation of the City’s costs and that only objectively reasonable costs are factored into the fees. In other words, once we document our costs, we will be able to charge the fee in the future that meets the FCC requirements.
- The Additional Revenue Derived from the Existing Fee does not Offset the Significant Drawbacks Associated with Taking No Action: The potential costs associated with taking no action are not offset by the potential revenue derived by the existing fee. Moreover, taking time to improve upon the cost support for application fees may result in defensible fees which are above the FCC’s currently established presumptively reasonable levels.

We would be glad to discuss our recommendations and answer any questions. We also would be glad to provide you with an excerpt from the FCC’s September 27, 2018 Order relating to municipal fees.

Public Facilities Stormwater Presentation February 20, 2019

AGENDA

Description of the Stormwater Infrastructure Improvement Plan (SIIP)

Review Completed Projects funded under the Stormwater Fee (2007-2019 attached list)

Review Proposed Projects/Investigations (attached list)

NPDES MS4 Permit Overview

Notice of Intent submitted on September 29, 2018.

New permit currently in effect with numerous benchmarks and measurable goals over 5 years in the following categories.

- Public Education & Outreach
- Public Involvement & Participation
- Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination
- Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control
- Post-construction Stormwater Management for New Development and Redevelopment
- Good Housekeeping in Municipal Operation & Pollution Prevention

Additional requirements for waterbodies with TMDLs (Total Maximum Daily Loads) adopted by the EPA and MaDEP, such as the Charles River (for total phosphorus and bacteria) and water-quality limited waters, such as Saw Mill Brook (for chloride / salt).

Completed Stormwater Projects

Funded by Stormwater User Fees FY 2007 through Feb. 2019

Project Name	Description	Dates	Expenditures¹
Hammond Pond Stormwater Improvement Project	The improvements included the removal of paved swales; and the construction of five bioretention cells, two sand filters and vegetated buffer areas.	Jul 2006 to Aug. 2007	\$ 30,000.00
Crystal Lake Bath House Project ¹	Construction of stormwater collection and treatment measures for the existing parking lot & roof leaders.	Oct. 2007 - April 2012 (2 phases)	\$ 35,000.00
Ashmont Ave Drainage Study	Assessment of existing drainage infrastructure and flooding in the area.	June - Dec. 2007	\$ 15,500.00
Cheesecake Brook at Albemarle Rd	Conceptual design for brook wall restoration	Jan - April 2008	\$ 8,000.00
City Hall Ponds Sediment	Sediment Testing Contract	May - Oct. 2008	\$ 21,000.00
Ashmont Ave Drain Replacement and Upgrades ¹	Construction contract to replace 24" diameter drain pipes and with twin 30" SDR 35 pipes	Jun - Sept. 2009	\$ 178,000.00
Culvert Inspections	Contract with FST to inspect road culverts and present findings in a report	Sept 2009 - Feb. 2010	\$ 23,000.00
Stormwater Rate Evaluation Study	Contract with CDM to evaluate our current stormwater rates and develop a new rate structure based on	2010 -2011	\$ 30,000.00
City Hall Ponds Dredging and Restoration	Survey, Design and Permits for the three ponds sediment removal project	Dec. 2011 - Sept. 2012	\$ 61,500.00
Webster and Rowe Street Drainage Project ¹	Construction and implementation of our design to replace and upgrade to 24" drainage pipe	Sept - Dec. 2012	\$ 180,000.00
City Hall Ponds Dredging and Restoration	Construction Contract to dredge ponds and restore disturbed banks	Jan. - June 2013	\$ 320,000.00
Catch Basin Inserts	Furnish and install water quality inserts for storm drains located in the Crystal Lake Watershed	April - July 2013	\$ 25,000.00
Stormwater Infrastructure Improvement Plan (SIIP)	Develop multi year plan SIIP including project costs, data gap analysis, project rating criteria and financial analysis and cash flow	April 2014 - Jan. 2015	\$ 100,000.00
Hammond Brook Culvert	Geotechnical Investigation	Sept. 2014	\$ 9,000.00

Hammond Brook Culvert Replacement	Survey, Engineering Designs, Bid Specifications and Permitting support for: Replacement of 24" diameter drain pipe next to MBTA Green line.	Sept 2014 - Sept. 2018	\$ 108,431.50
Stormwater Impervious Area Assessment	Calculate impervious area for 1100 non-residential properties based on 2013 GIS data.	July 2015	\$ 49,500.00
Trowbridge St (near Crystal Lake)	Engineering Design and survey to infiltrate stormwater roadway runoff into bio-filters	May 2016 - Oct. 2017	\$ 15,500.00
Adams and Dedham Street Drainage Design	Engineering Design, Survey and Construction Administration	Oct 2016	\$ 217,260.00
Adams Street Drain Replacement	Replace 200 LF-12" storm drain due to structural failure	April 2017	\$ 200,000.00
Dedham Street Drain Replacement	Replace 450 LF-12" storm drain with 36" to increase capacity and abate surcharging conditions at the Countryside School.	Aug. 2017	\$ 475,000.00
EHS Audit and Supplemental services	Conduct an Environmental, Health & Safety Audit of the DPW Yards and Rumford Ave Recycling Center. Conduct Job Hazard Analysis, Update SPCC Plans, prepare new SWPPP.	Dec. 2016 - Feb. 2019	\$ 38,900.00
Laundry Brook Culvert Replacement	Engineering Design and Construction Services	Dec 2017 - Sept. 2018	\$ 256,200.00
Laundry Brook Culvert Replacement	Replace 400 LF of 5'x10' concrete box culvert due to structural failure adjacent to Cabot School	Sept. 2018	\$ 2,600,000.00
		Total	\$ 4,996,791.50

Notes:

¹ Some of these projects were designed, managed and in some instances constructed by DPW staff. Labor costs are not included.

² This list does not include Operations and Maintenance, such as CB cleaning, repairs and replacement of storm drains and brook walls.

Current and Proposed Stormwater Projects-February 2019

Funded by Stormwater User Fees

Projected

Project Name	Description	Dates	Expenditures
Stormwater Infrastructure Improvement Plan	City-wide assessment of our drainage infrastructure condition and recommendations-update	Pending	\$ 2,500.00
Crystal Lake Watershed	Develop Crystal Lake Watershed Assessment and Phosphorus Control Plan	March 2019 - June 2020	\$ 86,500.00
Hammond Brook Culvert Replacement at Glen Ave and MBTA tracks	Replace/line 24" RCP culvert, install new inlet & outlet structures	March 2019 - June 2020	\$ 479,198.00
South Meadow Brook culvert at Needham Street	Sediment removal, inspection & assesment, design and rehabilitation of 8' x 12" concrete box culvert	May 2019- August 2020	\$ 750,000.00
Forest Grove Pump Station	Rehabilitation for roof, HVAC, doors & windows, electrical service, bar rack and SCADA	April 2019 - Dec. 2019	\$ 175,800.00
City Hall Ponds	Sediment removal & disposal from Pond #1 off Homer Street	Oct. - 2019	\$ 120,000.00
Edmunds Brook Drainage Basin	Survey, Inspection & Assessment, Hydraulic modeling to determine R&R of pathway culvert, bank stabilization and MS4 BMP's	April 2019 - Dec. 2019	\$ 104,000.00
IDDE Investigation program	Targeted sampling and investigations in drainage basins where water quality issues persist based upon our stormwater outfall sampling program	Spread over 10 years. \$80 K per year	\$ 800,000.00
IDDE Corrective Measures	Based upon IDDE testing and investigation results: design and construction costs to remedy infrastructure defects causing water body impairments. Assumption: 25% of our drainage basins require corrective actions & impairments can be traced back to either illegal sewer services or cross-communication of sewers and drains	Spread over 5 to 7 years	\$ 3,000,000.00
Phosphorus Control Plan (Phase 1)	Develop a Phosphorus Control Plan pursuant to requirements in Draft Phase II MS4 NPDES Permit.	Needs to be completed 2023	\$ 100,000.00
Implement Phosphorus Control Plan	Costs unknown until the plan is developed	Implement over 10 to 15 years	
Implement Recommendations from the Stormwater Infrastructure Improvement Plan	Costs unknown until the plan is developed	Implement over 10 to 20 years	
Total			\$ 5,617,998.00

NPDES Phase II Small MS4 General Permit
Schedule

Tasks	Due Date	Notes	Status
Prepare Notice of Intent (NOI)	10/1/2018	Submit w/in 90 days of the permit effective date: 7/1/2018 Develop or update the written plan to describe the activities and measures to be implemented during this permit term. No submittal required to EPA/MaDEP, this is a "living document".	Done. Submitted
Stormwater Management Program (SWMP)	7/31/2019		In progress
Update SWMP	7/1/2020	List of receiving water segments and inter-municipal connections; system map	Complete
Update SWMP	7/1/2022	Assess street design, parking guidelines & other ordinances related to impervious cover	In progress
Phosphorus Control Plan (PCP)			
Legal Analysis	7/1/2020	Evaluate existing regulatory mechanisms and develop plan to effectively implement the PCP	
Funding Source Assessment	7/1/2021	Describe known / anticipated funding sources that will be used to fund the PCP	
Develop Phase 1 Plan	7/1/2023	Develop Phase 1 within 5 years	
Non-structural control measures	7/1/2023	Describe planned non-structural control measures	
Structural control measures	7/1/2023	Describe planned structural control measures	
O&M Plan	7/1/2023	Prepare an Operations & Maintenance Plan for structural controls	
Phase 1 Implementation & Develop Phase 2 Plan	7/1/2028	Implement Phase 1 between 5 -10 years. Start Phase 1 in 2023	
Phase 2 Implementation & Develop Phase 3 Plan	7/1/2033	Implement Phase 2 between 10 -15 years. Start Phase 2 in 2028	
Phase 3 Implementation	7/1/2038	Implement Phase 3 between 15 -20 years. Start Phase 3 in 2033	
PCP Progress report	Annually	Include in each Annual Report, first report due on Sept. 29, 2019. These become Performance Evaluations once implementation commences.	
Credits for Phosphorus Removal		Appendix F, Attachment 2	
Enhanced Street Sweeping	-	Appendix F, Attachment 3	
Catch Basin Cleaning	-	Appendix F, Attachment 4	
Enhanced Organic Waste & Leaf Litter Collection	-	Appendix F, Attachment 5	
Six Minimum Control Measures			
1. Public Education and Outreach			
Distribute public education messages	Annually	Two messages to each: Residential, Commercial, Developer & Industrial. Eight messages over the 5-year permit term	Website updated
Supplemental messages for phosphorus	Annually	Three messages timed based on season	Fall 2018 complete
Supplemental messages for bacteria	Annually	Message to target dog owners and those with septic systems	Rabies clinic Sept. 2018
Evaluate effectiveness of public education	Annually	Report progress toward goals in Annual Report	
2. Public Involvement and Participation			
SWMP	7/1/2019	Provide opportunity for the public to review and comment on the SWMP	
Annual Reports	Annually	Make available to the public, e.g., post on the web site	
Activities	Annually	Promote public participation. Activities may include: websites, hotlines, clean-up events, monitoring teams, or an advisory committee.	Green Expo 2018

NPDES Phase II Small MS4 General Permit
Schedule

Tasks	Due Date	Notes	Status
3. IDDE Program			
Ordinance to prohibit non-stormwater discharges	-	Must be in place prior to new permit effective date.	Done. May 2017
Prepare a written IDDE Program	7/1/2019	Must include all procedures and the agencies / dept.'s responsible for implementation	Done. Jan 2018
Inventory SSO	7/1/2019	Include data from previous 5 years	Done 9/1/17
Priority ranking of Outfalls / Interconnections	7/1/2019	Assign ranking order: Problem, High Priority or Low Priority	Done. Spring 2018
Catchment investigations procedure	1/1/2020	Associated with problem outfalls (fold into written IDDE Plan)	Done. Jan. 2018
System Mapping - Phase 1	7/1/2020	We have all the required elements, only minor updates needed per Section 2.3.4.5	Done
Perform investigations of catchments	7/1/2020	Identify illicit discharges within 2 years. Same as above?	
System Mapping - Phase 2	7/1/2028	All drainage and sewer infrastructure mapped.	Done
Perform wet-weather sampling	Annually	Req'd only for outfalls with System Vulnerability Factors (SVFs)	On-going
Field screen outfalls and interconnections	Annually	Sample if flow present	On-going
Perform IDDE investigation in catchments	Annually	Report progress in Annual Report	On-going
Update outfalls & interconnection inventory	Annually	Update ranking of priority outfalls and inter-municipal connections	On-going
IDDE Training	Annually	To increase awareness of DPW employees	On-going
4. Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Controls			
Prepare written procedures	7/1/2019	For sediment and erosion control measures	
Prepare written procedures	7/1/2019	For site plan review and inspections	
5. Stormwater Management in New Development and Redevelopment			
Prepare or modify ordinances	7/1/2020	For new development/redevelopment	
Prepare written procedures for as-built plans	7/1/2020	Require as-built plans within 2 years of a project's completion (part of SWMP)	
Assess street & parking design standards	7/1/2022	Involve planning board and traffic council / dept. (part of SWMP)	
Evaluate LID Design Standards	7/1/2022	Green roofs, infiltration, water harvesting, etc.	
Retrofit BMP's	7/1/2022	Identify at least 5 municipal properties	
6. Good Housekeeping and Pollution Prevention for Permittee Owned Operations			
Prepare written O & M procedures	7/1/2020	For municipal activities. Include in SWMP	
Inventory all permittee owned facilities	7/1/2020	Including bldgs, facilities, parking, open space and fleet maintenance	
Prepare written procedures	7/1/2020	For municipal infrastructure O & M	
Clean and maintain catch basins	Annually	Clean when 50% full, annual inspections	On-going. Done for 2018
Implement a drainage inspection/maint. program	7/1/2020	For stormwater treatment structures (BMPs)	
Prepare / update SPCC Plans	7/1/2020	Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasure Plans for fueling stations	Complete 2018
Prepare SWPPP	7/1/2020	Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)	Draft Plans prepared
Reporting	Annually	Due 90 days from the close of each reporting period	
Record Keeping	-	Maintain records for 5 years	



RUTHANNE FULLER
MAYOR

City of Newton, Massachusetts
Office of the Mayor

Telephone
(617) 796-1100
Telefax
(617) 796-1113
TDD
(617) 796-1089
E-mail
rfuller@newtonma.gov

February 15, 2019

Honorable City Council
Newton City Hall
1000 Commonwealth Avenue
Newton Centre, MA 02459

Councilors:

I write to request that your Honorable Council docket for consideration a request to authorize the transfer of \$500,000 from Acct # 0110498-5794, Budget Reserve/Snow & Ice Removal to the following accounts:

<u>Amount</u>	<u>Account</u>	<u>Description</u>
\$150,000	0140110-513001	DPW Snow/Ice Control - Regular Overtime
\$350,000	0140110-5273	DPW Snow Control – Rental Vehicles/Contractors

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ruthanne Fuller".

Ruthanne Fuller
Mayor

Shawna Sullivan

From: John Sherman
Sent: Friday, February 15, 2019 1:06 PM
To: Shawna Sullivan
Cc: Jack Cowell
Subject: DPW Snow Costs

Hi Shawna,

Please see below for a breakdown of our snow budget to date.

Budget	\$2,500,025.00
Total Snow Costs	\$2,746,196.37
Balance	\$(246,171.37)

Total Inches	18.5
Cost per inch	\$148,443.05

Let me know if you have any questions or need any other information.

Thanks,

John Sherman
Accounting Supervisor
Department of Public Works
City of Newton, MA
617-796-1058