SELF INSURED WORKERS COMPENSATION FUNDING STUDY

AS OF JUNE 30, 2009

**SEPTEMBER 18, 2009** 

September 18, 2009

Mr. David C. Wilkinson Comptroller City of Newton Commonwealth Avenue and Walnut St. Newton Centre, MA 02159

#### Dear David:

Enclosed you will find our report regarding the City's self funded Workers Compensation program as of June 30, 2009. Indicated outstanding losses are slightly higher than last year's results. The main cause of this is an increase in the selected annual cost of living adjustment used in our estimate on pension claims. The higher COLA used on these claims is a result of higher increases in weekly benefits in the past couple of years versus prior years.

Indicated funding for the coming year is also slightly above last year's level. This is a result of an increase in our loss cost pick for the indemnity component along with an increase in exposures.

It has been a pleasure being of service again to the City. Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions regarding this report.

Sincerely,

Robert W. Van Epps, FCAS, MAAA

olet W. Van Epo

Principal

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	2
CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS	3
DISTRIBUTION AND USE	6
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	7
ANALYSIS	9
EXHIBITS	

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Financial Risk Analysts, LLC has been retained by the City of Newton (the City) to provide actuarial services regarding its self funded Workers Compensation program. The City has been fully self-funded on a pay as you go basis since at least 1956. Claims are handled by the City's Personnel Department which administers and processes benefits payments. Excess Workers Compensation coverage is not purchased and the City therefore assumes unlimited exposure to loss.

Financial Risk Analysts has been retained to provide indicated liability levels for the City's self funded Workers Compensation losses as of June 30, 2009. In addition, we have been requested to provide an indicated contribution to the self insurance fund for the one year period beginning July 1, 2009.

#### **CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS**

#### **GENERAL**

Throughout this report and its exhibits the term "loss" is used to refer to both losses and allocated loss adjustment expenses (ALAE). ALAE provides for all expenses associated with the handling and settling of claims that can be directly attributable to a particular claim. Such expenses typically include claim handling fees, legal fees, investigatory expenses, medical reports, expert witness fees, rehabilitation costs, etc. No other costs associated with the City's self insured Workers Compensation program other than losses and ALAE have been considered in this report.

#### **DATA**

In this report we have used historical data and other information provided to us by the City of Newton. This data consist primarily of historical loss and exposure information for the City. In addition we have relied on various oral representations made to us by employees of the City. Although the data supplied have been reviewed for purposes of reasonability, we have not independently audited or verified this information and we assume it to be accurate and complete.

The results of our analysis will be contingent upon the reliability of the information supplied to us and such reliability is the responsibility of the City. Should the City become aware of any significant discrepancies in the data reported to us we should be notified of such discrepancies and this report will be amended, if necessary.

We were provided with the following data:

 Accident year losses paid during fiscal years 1988-2009 for General Government employees. Losses paid prior to 1988 were not provided to us. The term "accident year" refers to the year in which the accident leading to the injury took place. "Losses" refers to benefits paid for either indemnity (lost wages) or medical benefits.

- Data and information for long term disability and death cases. These are referred to as "pension cases".
- Covered payroll information by class code for Fiscal Years 1992-2009 and estimated payroll for fiscal year 2010.

We have made various assumptions to adjust for any missing or incomplete data. The City should recognize, however, that given the considerable breadth and scope of its self funded program, the relatively limited data available increases the uncertainty associated with this actuarial study. The City does not fully establish case reserves for reported Workers Compensation cases. We encourage the City to establish procedures whereby such reserves are set up for all claims. This will considerably increase the accuracy of future actuarial studies and should also improve the City's ability to manage the self insurance program.

In performing our analysis we also relied on data and information obtained from insurance industry sources to supplement the actual historical data provided to us by the City. Such data include loss development patterns, loss trend factors, loss payout patterns, and benefit level changes for Massachusetts Workers Compensation.

#### **UNCERTAINTY**

Actuarial projections, by their nature, are estimates of future contingent events that cannot be known with certainty. The ultimate liability of the City for its retained losses will be subject to events that have yet to occur such as the size of future Workers Compensation awards, future economic conditions, and the propensity for workers to file claims for Workers Compensation. No assumptions have been made in this report as to any extraordinary changes in the legal, social, or judicial environment that might affect future losses.

While we believe the results presented in this report are reasonable and reflect the use of accepted actuarial principles and standards of practice, it is possible that actual future loss results of the City will differ, perhaps materially, from those projected herein. In particular, as noted

previously, the lack of complete historical loss information and case reserve estimates increases the degree of uncertainty in our loss estimates. In addition, since excess coverage is not purchased, the City is exposed to unlimited losses, which further increases the uncertainty associated with our projections. Nothing in this report should be construed as a warranty or guarantee as to the adequacy of the liability estimates contained herein.

#### ASSET QUALITY/INVESTMENT INCOME

No attempt has been made to evaluate the quality of the invested or non invested assets supporting the loss and ALAE liabilities of the City. It has been assumed that the City's loss and ALAE liabilities are supported by creditworthy assets with minimal risk of default and/or capital loss.

In this report we present the pension case losses on a discounted basis. This is a generally accepted approach for purposes of establishing liabilities for claims with fixed and determinable payment levels. Discounted reserves reflect the time value of money and represent the present value as of June 30, 2009 of the expected future loss payments.

For purposes of discounting the pension case loss estimates we used an annual interest rate of 5.0%, as selected by the City. It is important to note that interest/appreciation earned on the assets supporting these liabilities should be credited to the self insurance fund. Alternatively, future years' contributions to the self insurance fund can be increased to offset the interest amortization. Financial Risk Analysts, LLC renders no opinion on the reasonability of the use of a 5.0% rate of return or on the ability of the City to earn at least 5.0% on the invested assets supporting the self insurance liabilities.

#### **DISTRIBUTION AND USE**

This report is provided solely for the use of the City of Newton in evaluating its self funded Workers Compensation liabilities as of June 30, 2009. A copy of this report may also be provided to the City's auditors with the proviso that the report is copied in its entirety and that each party receiving a copy of this report agrees to not distribute the report to any other third party.

Distribution of this report to parties other than those referenced above is not authorized without the express written consent of Financial Risk Analysts, LLC.

#### **SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

#### Indicated Liabilities as Of June 30, 2009

Exhibit 1.1 presents the results of our analysis by type of loss. Based upon our analysis we are recommending the following liability for expected losses as of June 30, 2009:

General Government Workers Compensation Self Insured Liability As of June 30, 2009			
Other Than Pension Cases	\$1,399,412		
Pension Cases	\$5,279,993		
Total Liability	\$6,679,405		

The liability indication provided above is intended to provide for all General Government Workers Compensation losses incurred by the City from inception of its self funded program through June 30, 2009 under the terms and conditions of its statutory obligations.

The above liability provides for what is commonly known as "expected losses." Expected losses represent the best estimate of the City's outstanding losses as of June 30, 2009 and reflect a statistical confidence level of approximately 53%. This means there is a 53% likelihood that actual losses will be less than or equal to expected losses.

Any actuarial estimate of loss reserves is subject to inherent variability. While expected losses represent the best estimate of losses to be incurred, actual losses in any given year can be expected to differ from expected losses. Funding at expected loss levels will entail a degree of risk (47%) that reserve levels will be exceeded. If the City wishes to fund at a level with a higher degree of statistical confidence, i.e. with a lower probability of reserves being inadequate, it may wish to fund at a higher loss level than that indicated by expected losses.

The liabilities indicated above include a provision for the following:

- Losses on claims that have occurred but that are not yet known and not yet reported
  to the City. These claims are part of the reserve provision known as IBNR or
  Incurred But Not Reported.
- Future loss development on known, recorded claims. This is also considered a part of the IBNR reserve.

#### **Indicated Funding For Fiscal Year 2010**

As displayed on Exhibit 1.2 we indicate the City fund \$995,904 for expected losses occurring during the period July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010. The indicated funding level is based on estimated payroll as shown on Exhibit 1.2 of approximately \$42.0 million for General Government employees. The fiscal year 2010 funding is intended to provide solely for losses occurring during the period July 1, 2009-2010. It does not include a provision to amortize any surplus or deficit in the fund balance as of June 30, 2009. The City may wish to make an adjustment to the extent a surplus or deficit exists.

As also shown on Exhibit 1.2 we are projecting an overall pure premium of \$2.37 for fiscal year 2010. A pure premium is defined as expected losses per unit of exposure. Losses are defined as previously noted. For Workers Compensation the standard exposure unit is \$100 of payroll. No other costs or expenses associated with the operation of the self funded program are included in our estimates.

#### **ANALYSIS**

#### BACKGROUND INFORMATION

This analysis reviews the City of Newton's self funded Workers Compensation program as of June 30, 2009. The City has been self funded for a considerable period of time. The actual inception date is unknown. The data provided to us include claims with date of loss going back to 1956. Our analysis therefore includes loss estimates for accident years 1956 through 2009. We have assumed no liability exists for years prior to 1956.

As mentioned previously, the data provided to us does not include any payments made on claims closed prior to 1988 nor does it include payments made prior to 1988 on all other claims.

#### **ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODOLOGY**

#### **Indicated Outstanding Losses**

We have used two commonly applied actuarial methodologies to estimate the City's outstanding indemnity losses. For medical only claims, only the paid loss development method has been used. For other than medical only claims, the Bornhuetter-Ferguson paid loss method was used. From the results of these approaches final loss selections were made. The following is a detailed description of each of the methodologies used.

#### • Paid Loss Development Method

The paid loss development method is a method in which paid losses are projected to an ultimate level based on historical development patterns. An analysis of the changes in accident year incurred losses between various valuation points provides a basis for estimating future changes. Paid losses are projected to an ultimate level based on historical paid loss development patterns. This method assumes that loss settlement and payment patterns have remained reasonably stable over time.

Paid loss development patterns have been derived from the City's own historical loss experience supplemented with insurance industry experience. Loss development factors are shown on Exhibits 5.1-5.3.

The actual historical loss experience of the City is shown on Exhibits 5.1-5.3. Because medical only claims are paid and closed in a relatively short period of time, we have been able to use the payment history provided to us by the City as the basis for making medical only claims projections.

For claims with indemnity experience displayed on Exhibits 5.1-5.2, we have had to adjust the losses to reflect the missing payments made prior to 1988. The losses have been adjusted using historical experience for the City and the industry. Adjusted losses are presented on Exhibits 5.1 and 5.2 and these are used for purposes of selecting loss development factors.

#### Bornhuetter-Ferguson Paid Loss Method

This approach is essentially a credibility weighted average of two other reserving methods; the paid loss development method and the expected loss method. The expected loss method simply sets the indicated reserve equal to the difference between expected losses and paid losses. It produces very stable results but fails to incorporate actual results as they emerge.

The Bornhuetter-Ferguson method blends the paid loss development method and the expected loss method by splitting expected losses into two distinct pieces; expected paid losses and expected unpaid losses. As an accident year matures, the expected paid losses are replaced with actual reported losses plus expected unreported losses. Thus, as the year matures, the initial expected incurred loss estimate becomes less important while the actual paid loss experience increases in importance. In order to use this method, one must estimate both the initial expected losses and the expected loss payment pattern.

For fiscal years 2008 and 2009 initial expected indemnity losses are derived on Exhibit 3.1. They are based on results for the more recent years projected to current benefit and trend levels. Years prior to 2008 have been left unchanged from our previous analysis. Expected

loss payment patterns are developed from the paid loss development factors on Exhibits 5.1 and 5.2.

We relied on the results of the Bornhuetter-Ferguson approach. The more recent years will be the most uncertain ones and will exhibit the greatest volatility. Use of the Bornhuetter-Ferguson approach will dampen this volatility and should produce more stable and reliable results. The results of this method appear on Exhibit 2.1.

Note that for both methods adjusted paid losses rather than actual paid losses have been used. Our results therefore produce a valid estimate of ultimate losses for a particular year even though payments made prior to 1988 are missing.

#### **Pension Cases**

Pension cases have been analyzed separately on Exhibit 2.3. US Life Tables 2001 Vital Statistics for Males and Females have been used to determine annuity values for these claims using a 5.0% annual rate of interest. Amortizing such claims for mortality and interest is a common practice when establishing liabilities for these types of claims.

It has been assumed for the permanent total and death claims that benefits will be payable for life. For the temporary disability claims it has been assumed that benefits will be paid for the statutory maximum eleven years.

Effective July 1, 1999 the City elected to opt out of the Massachusetts Workers Compensation Trust Fund. As a result the City's liability for pension claims increased significantly. Prior to July 1, 1999, the City's net liability for pension claims was limited to the base benefit for each claim. The base benefit is the benefit established at the time of injury. It is a function of the injured worker's salary at the time of injury.

Benefits for pension claims are subject to annual cost of living adjustments. As a participant in the Trust Fund the City was not responsible for paying cost of living adjustments. By opting out the City is now responsible for paying a benefit equal to the initial base benefit plus the

accumulated cost of living adjustments. In addition, the City will be responsible for paying future cost of living adjustments. We used a 3.5% annual cost of living adjustment in the pension claim calculation. Offsetting this somewhat is the fact that the City will no longer be subject to assessment by the Trust Fund.

#### Fiscal Year 2010 Funding

Exhibit 1.2 displays recommended funding separately for non-pension indemnity and medical claims for the July 1, 2009-2010 period. Funding is determined by multiplying the pure premium in column (1) by the covered payroll in column (2). The City may wish to adjust the indicated funding if actual payroll amounts are different from those displayed on Exhibit 1.2. A provision for pension claims has also been included based on the City's historical experience for these types of claims.

Based on the payroll data provided to us, we are indicating funding of \$995,904 for General Government employees. Overall, our analysis produces indicated funding of \$2.37 per \$100 of payroll for General Government employees. This consists of \$1.95 for non-pension indemnity claims, \$0.16 for medical only claims, and \$0.26 for pension claims.

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 1.1

WORKERS COMPENSATION INDICATED LIABILITY AS OF JUNE 30, 2009 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Indicated Liability As of June 30, 2009		
GENERAL GOVERNMENT		
A. Other Than Pension Claims	\$1,399,412	
B. Pension Claims	\$5,279,993	
C. Total	\$6,679,405	•
I		

A. Exhibits 2.1and 2.2

B. Exhibit 2.3

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 1.2

WORKERS COMPENSATION INDICATED FUNDING FISCAL YEAR 2010

	Expected Loss Cost (1)	Exposure (2)	Expected Losses (3)=(1)x(2)
Non-Pension Claims Indemnity Medical Only Total	\$1.95 <u>\$0.16</u> \$2.11	\$420,324 \$420,324	819,632 <u>67,252</u> 886,884
Pension Claims			109,020
TOTAL	\$2.37		995,904

<sup>(1)</sup> Exhibits 3.1 and 3.2

<sup>(2)</sup> Exhibit 6

WORKERS COMPENSATION INDEMNITY
INDICATED OUTSTANDING LOSSES
FISCAL YEARS 1988-2009

Sienel		Initial Expected	Initial	Expected	D-:41	
Fiscal	_	Pure	Expected	Percent of		osses
<u>Year</u>	Exposure (a)	<u>Premium</u>	<u>Losses</u>	Losses Paid	Actual	Expected (5)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)=(2)x(3)	(5)	(6)	(7)=(4)x(5)
1988	\$207,265	\$4.90	\$1,015,599	100.0%	\$731,803	\$1,015,599
1989	217,129	5.16	1,120,386	100.0%	550,947	1,120,386
1990	220,084	5.39	1,186,253	100.0%	403,358	1,186,253
1991	222,813	5.00	1,114,065	100.0%	1,043,766	1,114,065
1992	226,774	4.63	1,049,964	100.0%	525,993	1,049,964
1993	233,157	4.74	1,105,164	100.0%	361,292	1,105,164
1994	253,882	4.22	1,071,382	100.0%	362,024	1,071,382
1995	256,823	4.41	1,132,589	100.0%	489,969	1,132,589
1996	270,263	4.04	1,091,863	100.0%	356,685	1,091,863
1997	282,380	2.58	728,540	100.0%	711,712	728,540
1998	287,177	2.73	783,993	100.0%	429,643	783,993
1999	309,695	2.56	792,819	100.0%	316,195	792,819
2000	314,406	1.85	581,651	100.0%	663,048	581,651
2001	322,083	1.89	608,737	99.5%	506,773	605,693
2002	354,569	1.80	638,224	98.8%	594,358	630,565
2003	362,190	1.94	702,649	97.8%	1,129,698	687,191
2004	362,318	1.85	670,288	96.9%	755,783	649,509
2005	365,553	2.00	731,106	93.6%	774,374	684,315
2006	378,519	2.01	760,823	85.1%	422,760	647,460
2007	405,875	2.02	819,868	73.4%	522,594	601,783
2008	415,644	2.13	885,322	59.7%	591,259	528,537
2009	414,492	2.05	849,709	29.8%	316,944	253,213
Total	\$6,683,091		\$19,440,994		\$12,560,979	\$18,062,534

	Expected	Expected	Indicated	Indicated
Fiscal	Percent of	Unpaid	Ultimate	Ultimate
<u>Year</u>	Losses Unpaid	Losses	Losses	Pure Premium
(1)	(8)=1.0-(5)	(9)=(8)x(4)	(10)=(6)+(9)	(11)=(10)/(2)
				_
1988	0.0%	\$0	\$731,803	\$3.53
1989	0.0%	0	550,947	2.54
1990	0.0%	0	403,358	1.83
1991	0.0%	0	1,043,766	4.68
1992	0.0%	0	525,993	2.32
1993	0.0%	0	361,292	1.55
1994	0.0%	0	362,024	1.43
1995	0.0%	0	489,969	1.91
1996	0.0%	0	356,685	1.32
1997	0.0%	0	711,712	2.52
1998	0.0%	0	429,643	1.50
1999	0.0%	0	316,195	1.02
2000	0.0%	0	663,048	2.11
2001	0.5%	3,044	509,817	1.58
2002	1.2%	7,659	602,017	1.70
2003	2.2%	15,458	1,145,156	3.16
2004	3.1%	20,779	776,562	2.14
2005	6.4%	46,791	821,165	2.25
2006	14.9%	113,363	536,123	1.42
2007	26.6%	218,085	740,679	1.82
2008	40.3%	356,785	948,044	2.28
2009	70.2%	596,496	913,440	2.20
Total		\$1,378,460	\$13,939,439	\$2.09

<sup>(2)</sup> Exhibit 6
(3) Exhibit 3.2 for 2008 and 2009. Prior years from 6/30/08 analysis.
(5) Reciprocal of cumulative factors from Exhibits 5.1 and 5.2.

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 2.2

WORKERS COMPENSATION MEDICAL ONLY INDICATED OUTSTANDING LOSSES

Fiscal <u>Year</u> (1)	Paid Losses (2)	Development <u>Factor</u> (3)	Indicated Ultimate Losses (4)=(2)x(3)	Indicated Outstanding Losses (5)=(4)-(2)
1992	\$50,185	1.000	\$50,185	\$0
1993	55,048	1.000	55,048	0
1994	41,715	1.000	41,715	0
1995	30,253	1.000	30,253	0
1996	38,256	1.000	38,256	0
1997	52,656	1.000	52,656	0
1998	21,654	1.000	21,654	0
1999	26,186	1.000	26,186	0
2000	29,828	1.000	29,828	0
2001	52,518	1.000	52,518	0
2002	99,017	1.000	99,017	0
2003	48,174	1.000	48,174	0
2004	97,277	1.000	97,277	0
2005	54,108	1.000	54,108	0
2006	45,820	1.007	46,141	321
2007	63,186	1.032	65,208	2,022
2008	53,830	1.068	57,490	3,660
2009	23,767	1.629	38,716	14,949
TOTAL	\$883,478		\$904,430	\$20,952

<sup>(2), (3)</sup> Exhibit 5.3

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 2.3

# WORKERS COMPENSATION PENSION CLAIMS

Permanent Total Disabil	lity Claims					
	_		•	Net		Present
Claimant		Date Of	Nearest	Weekly	Annuity	Value Of
<u>Name</u>	<u>Sex</u>	<u>Birth</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Benefit</u>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Benefit</u>
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Brennan, Lawrence	М	12/29/51	58	\$783.65	17.439	\$710,636
Forte, Salvatore	M	08/19/32	77	\$912.83	8.098	384,389
Gentile, Joseph	M	08/24/25	84	\$902.25	5.490	257,574
Hayes, Thomas	M	08/27/49	60	\$1,085.08	16.365	923,381
Kelly, Stephen	M	12/07/27	82	\$1,081.68	6.176	347,384
Machain, Eugene	M	12/05/36	73	\$625.78	9.841	320,232
Marchand, Kathleen	F	07/02/21	88	\$726.85	5.146	194,499
McMahon, Robert	M	09/14/44	65	\$1,059.52	13.743	757,171
Piselli, Lawrence	M	10/03/26	83	\$944.18	5.813	285,403
TOTAL						\$4,180,669

Widow Claims		]				
				Net		Present
Claimant		Date Of	Nearest	Weekly	Annuity	Value Of
<u>Name</u>	<u>Sex</u>	<u>Birth</u>	<u>Age</u>	<b>Benefit</b>	<u>Factor</u>	<u>Benefit</u>
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Adams, Barbara	F	12/03/38	71	\$730.42	12.724	483,281
Cardarelli, Catherine	F	08/07/48	61	\$626.62	18.160	591,730
TOTAL						\$1,075,011

Temporary Disability (	Claims		7			
Claimant Name (1)	<u>Sex</u> (2)	Date Of Birth (3)	Nearest Age (4)	Net Weekly <u>Benefit</u> (5)	Annuity <u>Factor</u> (6)	Present Value Of <u>Benefit</u> (7)
Hooker, Michael	М	12/12/61	48	\$467.55	1.000	24,313
TOTAL						\$24,313

GRAND TOTAL PENSION CASES	\$5,279,993

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 3.1

WORKERS COMPENSATION INDEMNITY PROJECTED PURE PREMIUMS

Fiscal <u>Year</u> (1)	Initial Projected Ultimate <u>Losses</u> (2)	Exposure (3)	Developed Pure <u>Premium</u> (4)=(2)/(3)	Benefit Level Adjust. <u>Factor</u> (5)	Trend Factor To <u>1/1/10</u> (6)	On Level Pure <u>Premium</u> (7)
2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	601,490 1,154,551 779,968 827,031 496,744 712,295 990,950 1,062,397	354,569 362,190 362,318 365,553 378,519 405,875 415,644 414,492	1.70 3.19 2.15 2.26 1.31 1.75 2.38 2.56	1.054 1.052 1.052 1.044 1.033 1.022 1.011 1.000	0.777 0.797 0.817 0.838 0.859 0.881 0.904 0.951	1.39 2.67 1.85 1.98 1.16 1.58 2.18 2.43
8. MEAN 9. LATEST : 10. LATEST : 11. BEST 3 12. SELECTE	3					1.91 1.87 2.06 1.91 1.95
FORECAST 2008 2009 2010	ED PURE PREM	ИIUM	]	(13) SELECTED 1.95 1.95 1.95	(14) ON LEVEL FACTOR 0.914 0.951 1.000	(15) TRENDED ON LEVEL 2.13 2.05 1.95

<sup>(2)</sup> Exhibit 4.1

<sup>(3)</sup> Exhibit 6

<sup>(5)</sup> Based on Massachusetts benefit level changes

<sup>(6) -4.9%</sup> annual trend for 2008,2009; -2.5% prior. Based on WCRIB MA filings.

<sup>(7) (4)</sup> x (5) x (6)

<sup>(14) (5)</sup> x (6)

<sup>(15) (13)/(14)</sup> 

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 3.2

WORKERS COMPENSATION MEDICAL ONLY PROJECTED PURE PREMIUMS

Fiscal <u>Year</u> (1)	Initial Projected Ultimate <u>Losses</u> (2)	Exposure (3)	Developed Pure Premium (4)=(2)/(3)	Benefit Level Adjust. <u>Factor</u> (5)	Trend Factor To <u>1/1/10</u> (6)	On Level Pure <u>Premium</u> (7)
2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	99,017 48,174 97,277 54,108 46,141 65,208 57,490 38,716	354,569 362,190 362,318 365,553 378,519 405,875 415,644 414,492	0.28 0.13 0.27 0.15 0.12 0.16 0.14 0.09	1.014 1.014 1.014 1.002 1.000 1.000 1.000	0.944 0.951 0.958 0.965 0.972 0.979 0.986 0.993	0.27 0.13 0.26 0.15 0.12 0.16 0.14 0.09
8. MEAN 9. LATEST 5 10. LATEST 3 11. BEST 3 12. SELECTED	)			(13) 2010	(14) ON LEVEL	0.17 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.16 (15)
FORECASTED 2010	D PURE PREM	ИIUM		SELECTED 0.16	FACTOR 1.000	ON LEVEL 0.16

<sup>(2)</sup> Exhibit 4.2

<sup>(3)</sup> Exhibit 6

<sup>(5)</sup> Based on Massachusetts benefit level changes

<sup>(6) -0.7%</sup> annual trend based on 2008 WCRIB MA filing

<sup>(7) (4)</sup> x (5) x (6)

<sup>(14) (5)</sup> x (6)

<sup>(15) (13)/(14)</sup> 

WORKERS COMPENSATION INDEMNITY PROJECTED ULTIMATE LOSSES

Fiscal <u>Year</u> (1)	Paid <u>Losses</u> (2)	Paid LDF (3)	Initial Projected Ultimate Losses (4)=(2)x(3)
1998	429,643	1.000	429,643
1999	316,195	1.000	316,195
2000	663,048	1.000	663,048
2001	506,773	1.005	509,307
2002	594,358	1.012	601,490
2003	1,129,698	1.022	1,154,551
2004	755,783	1.032	779,968
2005	774,374	1.068	827,031
2006	422,760	1.175	496,744
2007	522,594	1.363	712,295
2008	591,259	1.676	990,950
2009	316,944	3.352	1,062,397
TOTAL	7,023,430		8,543,619

<sup>(2),(3)</sup> Exhibits 5.1 and 5.2

WORKERS COMPENSATION MEDICAL ONLY PROJECTED ULTIMATE LOSSES

Fiscal <u>Year</u> (1)	Paid <u>Losses</u> (2)	Paid LDF (3)	Initial Projected Ultimate Losses (4)=(2)x(3)
1998	21,654	1.000	21,654
1999	26,186	1.000	26,186
2000	29,828	1.000	29,828
2001	52,518	1.000	52,518
2002	99,017	1.000	99,017
2003	48,174	1.000	48,174
2004	97,277	1.000	97,277
2005	54,108	1.000	54,108
2006	45,820	1.007	46,141
2007	63,186	1.032	65,208
2008	53,830	1.068	57,490
2009	23,767	1.629	38,716
TOTAL	615,365		636,317

<sup>(2),(3)</sup> Exhibit 5.3

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 5.1

WORKERS COMPENSATION INDEMNITY LOSS DEVELOPMENT FACTORS

Cumulative

3.352

1.676

1.363

1.175

Fiscal			,	Adjusted Cumu Value	ed As Of (Mor				
Year	<u>12</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>60</u>	72	<u>84</u>	<u>96</u>	<u>108</u>
1981									13,0°
1982								50,271	79,8
1983							85,974	151,711	190,8
1984						104,034	131,996	136,739	141,5
1985					47,779	73,645	97,884	112,151	145,8
1986				162,488	213,185	253,463	291,687		372,2
			122 F00	,			,	355,426	
1987		000.050	133,598	187,011	244,851	257,161	257,537	293,215	294,9
1988	105.100	309,658	422,764	500,503	574,645	703,346	731,742	731,742	731,7
1989	125,123	302,209	400,338	495,906	533,152	543,317	543,317	543,317	543,3
1990	61,057	136,259	240,897	345,480	396,190	399,793	401,285	401,609	402,3
1991	251,479	533,452	803,595	949,121	983,668	1,004,961	1,024,987	1,034,376	1,043,7
1992	200,283	389,798	473,625	508,353	523,441	525,908	525,991	525,991	525,9
1993	236,354	328,415	357,812	361,292	361,292	361,292	361,292	361,292	361,2
1994	256,041	307,371	323,286	336,139	349,228	362,024	362,024	362,024	362,0
1995	252,218	364,159	408,641	433,274	453,377	482,933	487,876	489,671	489,8
1996	140,989	274,415	297,650	313,820	326,365	338,770	351,657	352,487	355,1
1997	196,695	406,077	486,522	531,195	593,981	655,648	684,784	695,698	695,7
1998	226,291	423,091	425,054	425,054	425,054	429,643	429,643	429,643	429,6
1999	128,232	265,674	316,163	316,163	316,195	316,195	316,195	316,195	316,1
2000	179,744	347,949	437,667	506,255	563,350	573,221	585,234	628,446	651,1
2001	256,216	437,942	494,943	498,590	505,217	506,687	506,773	506,773	506,7
2002	226,861	384,059	466,692	558,877	558,877	587,350	587,350	594,358	
2003	240,012	455,997	511,759	784,841	909,623	941,992	1,129,698		
2004	338,477	480,882	563,330	643,668	694,186	755,783			
2005	198,850	483,368	658,754	711,630	774,374				
2006	234,892	421,979	422,760	422,760	77 1,07 1				
2007	157,547	373,629	522,594	122,700					
2008	363,698	591,259	022,004						
2009	316,944	001,200							
Finant				Davis	.l				
Fiscal Year	12-24	24-36	36-48	48-60	elopment Fac 60-72	72-84	84-96	96-108	108-120
1981									1.577
1982								1.589	1.327
1983							1.765	1.258	1.221
1984						1.269	1.036	1.035	1.243
1985					1.541	1.329	1.146	1.300	1.034
1986				1.312	1.189	1.151	1.219	1.047	1.000
1987			1.400	1.309	1.050	1.001	1.139	1.006	1.006
1988		1.365	1.184	1.148	1.224	1.040	1.000	1.000	1.000
1989	2.415	1.325	1.239	1.075	1.019	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1990	2.232	1.768	1.434	1.147	1.009	1.004	1.001	1.002	1.000
1991	2.121	1.506	1.181	1.036	1.022	1.020	1.009	1.009	1.000
1992	1.946	1.215	1.073	1.030	1.005	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1993	1.390	1.090	1.010	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1994	1.200	1.052	1.040	1.039	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1995	1.444	1.122	1.060	1.039	1.065	1.000	1.004	1.000	1.000
1996	1.946	1.085	1.054	1.040	1.038	1.038	1.002	1.008	1.004
1997	2.065	1.198	1.092	1.118	1.104	1.044	1.016	1.000	1.022
1998	1.870	1.005	1.000	1.000	1.011	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1999	2.072	1.190	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
2000	1.936	1.258	1.157	1.113	1.018	1.021	1.074	1.036	1.018
2001	1.709	1.130	1.007	1.013	1.003	1.000	1.000	1.000	
2002	1.693	1.215	1.198	1.000	1.051	1.000	1.012		
2003	1.900	1.122	1.534	1.159	1.036	1.199			
2004	1.421	1.171	1.143	1.078	1.089				
2005	2.431	1.363	1.080	1.088					
2006	1.796	1.002	1.000						
2007	2.372	1.399	<del>-</del>						
2008	1.626	1.000							
an	1.879	1.229	1.144	1.088	1.075	1.056	1.071	1.065	1.046
an r Moon									
r. Mean	1.931	1.254	1.074	1.109	1.058	1.066	1.029	1.012	1.006
r. Mean	1.929	1.211	1.191	1.068	1.039	1.044	1.017	1.007	1.009
it 3	1.931	1.219	1.140	1.060	1.035	1.007	1.004	1.000	1.008
ected	2.000	1.230	1.160	1.100	1.035	1.010	1.010	1.007	1.005
nulative	3.352	1.676	1.363	1.175	1.068	1.032	1.022	1.012	1.005

1.032

1.022

1.012

1.005

1.068

**CITY OF NEWTON** Exhibit 5.2

WORKERS COMPENSATION INDEMNITY LOSS DEVELOPMENT FACTORS

Fiscal						Adjus	sted Cumul	ative Paid I I As Of (Mo		LAE			
<u>Year</u>	<u>120</u>	132	<u>144</u>	<u>156</u>	<u>168</u>	<u>180</u>	192	<u>204</u>	<u>216</u>	<u>228</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>252</u>	<u>264</u>
1978			21,834	21,834	21,834	21,834	21,834	21,834	21,834	21,834	21,834	21,834	21,834
1979		48,767	73,098	110,017	132,877	144,057	145,357	145,357	145,357		145,357		
1980	35,296	54,135	73,763	94,286	110,435	110,435	110,435	110,435	110,435	110,435	110,435	110,435	110,435
1981	20,529	27,144	33,759	40,405	42,640	44,884	47,119	49,354	51,589	53,824	56,059	58,294	60,529
1982	106,029	122,456	140,818	159,999	160,887	160,887	160,887	160,887	160,887	160,887	160,887	160,887	160,887
1983	233,090	249,208	260,364	269,481	278,497	287,513	298,111	302,775	307,239	311,703	316,167	320,631	325,295
1984	175,886	181,486	185,599	186,549	186,549	186,549	186,549	186,549	186,549	186,549	186,549	186,549	186,549
1985	150,852	150,852	150,852	150,852	150,852	150,852	150,852	150,852	150,852	•	150,852	•	•
1986	372,283	372,283	372,283	372,283	372,283	372,283	372,283	372,283	372,283		372,283		
1987	296,657	297,654	297,654	297,654	297,654	297,654	297,654	297,654	297,654	297,654	297,654	297,654	297,654
1988	731,742	731,742	731,742	731,742	731,742	731,742	731,803	731,803	731,803		731,803		731,803
1989	543,317	543,317	543,317	543,317	543,317	543,317	543,317	543,317	543,317		550,947	550,947	
1990	402,383	403,358	403,358	403,358	403,358	403,358	403,358	403,358	403,358		403,358		
1991	1,043,766		1,043,766	1,043,766			1,043,766			1,043,766			
1992	525,993	525,993	525,993	525,993	525,993	525,993	525,993	525,993	525,993				
1993	361,292	361,292	361,292	361,292	361,292	361,292	361,292	361,292					
1994	362,024	362,024	362,024	362,024	362,024	362,024	362,024						
1995	489,857	489,857	489,857	489,913	489,969	489,969							
1996	356,685	356,685	356,685	356,685	356,685								
1997	711,015	711,075	711,212	711,712									
1998 1999	429,643 316,195	429,643	429,643										
2000	663,048	316,195											
2000	003,040												
Fiscal							Devel	opment Fa	ctors				
<u>Year</u>	<u>120-132</u>	<u>132-144</u>	<u>144-156</u>	<u>156-168</u>	<u>168-180</u>	<u>180-192</u>	<u>192-204</u>	204-216	216-228	<u>228-240</u>	240-252	<u>252-264</u>	<u>264-Ult.</u>
1978			1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1979		1.499	1.505	1.208	1.084	1.009	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1980	1.534	1.363	1.278	1.171	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1981	1.322	1.244	1.197	1.055	1.053	1.050	1.047	1.045	1.043	1.042	1.040	1.038	1.028
1982	1.155	1.150	1.136	1.006	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1983	1.069	1.045	1.035	1.033	1.032	1.037	1.016	1.015	1.015	1.014	1.014	1.015	1.000
1984	1.032	1.023	1.005	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1985	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1986	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1987	1.003	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
1988	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1989	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.014	1.000	1.000		
1990	1.002	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000			
1991	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000				
1992	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000					
1993	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000						
1994	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000							
1995	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000								
1996	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000									
1997	1.000	1.000	1.001										
1998	1.000	1.000											
1999	1.000												
Mean	1.056	1.066	1.058	1.025	1.009	1.006	1.004	1.004	1.005	1.004	1.004	1.005	1.003
3 Yr. Mean	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.005	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
5 Yr. Mean	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.003	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Best 3	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Selected	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Cumulative	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Carridialive	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

WORKERS COMPENSATION MEDICAL ONLY HISTORICAL LOSS DATA

Fiscal						Paid Losse					
<u>Year</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>60</u>	72	84	<u>96</u>	<u>108</u>	<u>120</u>	<u>132</u>
1989	16,707	23,305	23,305	23,305	23,305	23,905	23,905	23,905	23,905	23,905	23,905
1990	23,114	29,040	29,646	29,646	29,646	29,646	29,646	29,646	29,646	29,646	29,646
1991	2,960	3,346	12,650	41,187	41,187	41,187	41,187	41,187	41,187	41,187	41,187
1992	33	17,928	50,185	50,185	50,185	50,185	50,185	50,185	50,185	50,185	50,185
1993	10,419	54,025	55,048	55,048	55,048	55,048	55,048	55,048	55,048	55,048	55,048
1994	18,509	41,202	41,715	41,715	41,715	41,715	41,715	41,715	41,715	41,715	41,715
1995	22,975	30,235	30,253	30,253	30,253	30,253	30,253	30,253	30,253	30,253	30,253
1996	23,877	37,491	37,710	38,256	38,256	38,256	38,256	38,256	38,256	38,256	38,256
1997	24,696	36,216	52,656	52,656	52,656	52,656	52,656	52,656	52,656	52,656	52,656
1998	15,742	20,874	21,654	21,654	21,654	21,654	21,654	21,654	21,654	21,654	21,654
1999	21,591	25,809	26,098	26,098	26,164	26,164	26,186	26,186	26,186	26,186	26,186
2000	18,865	29,410	29,504	29,828	29,828	29,828	29,828	29,828	29,828	29,828	
2001	30,657	48,528	48,754	52,518	52,518	52,518	52,518	52,518	52,518		
2002	38,981	98,070	98,070	98,536	98,596	98,596	99,017	99,017			
2003	40,915	47,993	48,174	48,174	48,174	48,174	48,174	,			
2004	50,291	83,306	85,102	93,370	96,404	97,277	*				
2005	35,622	50,864	54,108	54,108	54,108						
2006	29,844	45,820	45,820	45,820	-						
2007	50,432	63,186	63,186								
2008	49,696	53,830	-								
2009	23,767										
Fiscal				10.00		lopment Fac			100 100	100 100	100 1111
<u>Year</u>	<u>12-24</u>	<u>24-36</u>	<u>36-48</u>	<u>48-60</u>	60-72	<u>72-84</u>	<u>84-96</u>	<u>96-108</u>	<u>108-120</u>	<u>120-132</u>	<u>132-Ult.</u>
1989	1.395	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.026	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1990	1.256	1.021	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1991	1.130	3.781	3.256	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1992	543.273	2.799	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1993	5.185	1.019	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1994	2.226	1.012	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1995	1.316	1.001	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1996	1.570	1.006	1.014	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1997	1.466	1.454	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1998	1.326	1.037	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
1999	1.195	1.011	1.000	1.003	1.000	1.001	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
2000	1.559	1.003	1.011	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		
2001	1.583	1.005	1.077	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000			
2002	2.516	1.000	1.005	1.001	1.000	1.004	1.000				
2003	1.173	1.004	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000					
2004	1.656	1.022	1.097	1.032	1.009						
2005	1.428	1.064	1.000	1.000							
2006	1.535	1.000	1.000								
2007	1.253	1.000									
2008	1.083										
		4.070	1.137	1.002	1.002	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
an	28.756	1.276									
	28.756 1.290	1.276 1.021	1.032	1.011	1.003	1.001	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	
an					1.003 1.002	1.001 1.001	1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000	
an . Mean	1.290	1.021	1.032	1.011							
an : Mean : Mean	1.290 1.391	1.021 1.018	1.032 1.020	1.011 1.007	1.002	1.001	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

CITY OF NEWTON Exhibit 6

WORKERS COMPENSATION EXPOSURE INFORMATION

Fiscal Year Ending June 30, (1)	Covered Payroll (\$00) (2)
1992	226,774
1993	233,157
1994	253,882
1995	256,823
1996	270,263
1997	282,380
1998	287,177
1999	309,695
2000	314,406
2001	322,083
2002	354,569
2003	362,190
2004	362,318
2005	365,553
2006	378,519
2007	405,875
2008	415,644
2009	414,492
2010	420,324

Provided by the City