# CITY OF NEWTON, MASSACHUSETTS REPORTS ON FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

# CITY OF NEWTON, MASSACHUSETTS

# REPORTS ON FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS

# FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	5
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	8



Certified Public Accountants

#### SULLIVAN, ROGERS & COMPANY, LLC

Corporate Place I, Suite 204 • 99 South Bedford Street
Burlington, Massachusetts 01803
P • 781-229-5600 F • 781-229-5610 www.sullivan-rogers.com

Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Board of Aldermen and Mayor City of Newton, Massachusetts

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Newton, Massachusetts, as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 (except for the Newton Contributory Retirement System and the Newton Commonwealth Foundation, Inc., which are as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, and the Newton Schools Foundation, Inc., which is as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005), which collectively comprise the City of Newton, Massachusetts' basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated October 18, 2006. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

# Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City of Newton, Massachusetts' internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

## Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City of Newton, Massachusetts' financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

However, we noted certain matters that we reported to management of the City of Newton, Massachusetts in a separate letter dated October 18, 2006.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Audit Committee, management, Board of Aldermen, Mayor, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

October 18, 2006



Certified Public Accountants

# SULLIVAN, ROGERS & COMPANY, LLC

Corporate Place I, Suite 204 • 99 South Bedford Street
Burlington, Massachusetts 01803
P • 781-229-5600 F • 781-229-5610 www.sullivan-rogers.com

Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133

To the Honorable Board of Aldermen and Mayor City of Newton, Massachusetts

#### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Newton, Massachusetts, with the types of compliance requirements described in the U. S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006. The City of Newton, Massachusetts' major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the City of Newton, Massachusetts' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City of Newton, Massachusetts' compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City of Newton, Massachusetts' compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the City of Newton, Massachusetts' compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City of Newton, Massachusetts, complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006.

However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed several instances of noncompliance with those requirements that are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 06-1, 06-2, 06-3, and 06-4.

## Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the City of Newton, Massachusetts, is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City of Newton, Massachusetts' internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on the internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants caused by error or fraud that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

# Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Newton, Massachusetts, as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2006 (except for the Newton Contributory Retirement System and the Newton Commonwealth Foundation, Inc., which are as of and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, and the Newton Schools Foundation, Inc., which is as of and for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2005), and have issued our report thereon dated October 18, 2006. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Newton, Massachusetts' basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Audit Committee, management, Board of Aldermen, Mayor, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

. TZ & Company, LLC

October 18, 2006

# SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

#### FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

Federal Grantor/	Federal	
Pass-Through Grantor/	CFDA	Federal
Program	Number	Expenditures
IIC Department of Acrimitum		
U.S. Department of Agriculture <u>Direct Program:</u>		
Urban Forestry	10.675	\$ 2,893
Passed through the State Department of Education:	10.075	φ 2,093
Food Distribution Program	10.550	78,096
School Breakfast Program	10.553	44,294
National School Lunch Program	10.555	394,178
National School Editor (1) ograni	10.555	394,170
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture		519,461
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development		
Direct Programs:		
Community Development Block Grant	14.218	2,846,399
Emergency Shelter Grant	14.231	106,002
Supportive Housing Program	14.235	1,949
HOME Investment Partnerships Program	14.239	1,447,878
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development		4,402,228
U.S. Department of the Interior		
Passed through the Massachusetts Division		
of Marine Fisheries:		
Outdoor Recreation, Acquisition, Development and Planning	15.916	117,839
U.S. Department of Justice		
Passed through the State Executive Office of Public Safety:		
Bulletproof Vest Partnership Grant	16.607	8,826
COPS Homeland Security Overtime Grant	16.614	43,723
Total U.S. Department of Justice		52,549
Institute of Museum and Library Services		
Direct Program:		
Museum for America Grant	45.301	45,603
Passed through the State Library Board of Commissioners:	45.501	43,003
Library Services and Technology Grant	45.310	11,756
Elotary Services and Technology Grant	45.510	11,750
Total Institute of Museum and Library Services		57,359
Environmental Protection Agency		
Passed through the State Executive Office of Environmental		
Affairs:		
Environmental Education Grant	66.951	5,959
W0.D. 4 4 4 5		_
U.S. Department of Energy		
Passed through the State Department of Energy:		
Solar Energy Demonstration Grant	81.117	17,715

## SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

## FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2006

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/ Program	Federal CFDA Number	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Education		Experientures
Direct Programs:		
Small Learning Communities Program	84.215L	214,331
Interface Program	84.215M	109,043
Teaching American History Grant	84.215X	299,839
Passed through the State Department of Education:	0 =1.2.2.	_,,,,,,,,,
Title One Distribution	84.010	430,938
SPED 94-142 Allocation	84.027	2,593,544
SPED Program Improvement	84.027	46,555
Secondary Reading Grant	84.027	51,449
SPED Mental Health Support	84.027	19,602
SPED Electronic Portfolio	84.027	2,090
SPED Autistic Programs	84.027	30,896
Carl Perkins Occupational Education	84.048	78,169
SPED Early Childhood Training	84.173	81,049
Safe and Drug-Free Schools	84.186	33,302
Innovative Education Program	84.298	16,509
Technical Literacy Grant	84.318	10,984
Title III - No Child Left Behind Grant	84.365	144,093
Improving Teacher Quality	84.367	264,308
Hurricane Education Recovery	84.938	20,000
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Total U.S. Department of Education		4,446,701
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services		
Passed through the State Department Office of Elderly Affairs:	22 244	
Title IIIB - Grants for Supportive Services and Senior Centers	93.044	22,001
Title IIIC - Nutrition Services	93.045	293
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services		22,294
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention		
Passed through the State Department of Fire Services:		
DFS Bioterrorism First Responder Liason Grant	93.283	15,817
Corporation for National and Community Service		
Passed through the State Department of Education:		
Learn and Serve Grant	94.004	12,751
U.S. Department of Homeland Security		
Passed through the State Executive Office of Public Safety:		
State and Local Homeland Security Training Program	97.005	107,356
Homeland Security Preparedness Technical Assistance	97.007	11,964
y		
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security		119,320
Total		\$ 9,789,993

See notes to schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

#### Note 1 - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of the City of Newton, Massachusetts and is presented on the cash basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial statements.

#### Note 2 - U.S. Department of Agriculture Programs

The amount reported for the Food Distribution Program represents non-monetary assistance and is reported in the schedule at the fair market value of the commodities received. The amounts reported for the School Breakfast Program and National School Lunch Program represent cash receipts from federal reimbursements.

#### A. Summary of Auditors' Results

- The auditors' report expresses an unqualified opinion on the financial statements of the City of Newton, Massachusetts.
- 2. There were no reportable conditions disclosed during the audit of the financial statements.
- 3. No instances of noncompliance material to the financial statements of the City of Newton, Massachusetts, which would be required to be reported in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, were disclosed during the audit.
- 4. There were no reportable conditions disclosed during the audit of the major federal award programs.
- 5. The auditors' report on compliance for the major federal award programs for the City of Newton, Massachusetts expresses an unqualified opinion on all major federal award programs.
- 6. Audit findings that are required to be reported in accordance with Section 510(a) of OMB Circular A-133 are reported in this schedule on pages 9 11.
- 7. The programs tested as major programs included:

	CFDA
Program Description	Number
Child Nutrition Cluster:	
School Breakfast Program	10.553
National School Lunch Program	10.555
Community Development Block Grant	14.218
HOME Investment Partnerships Program	14.239
Special Education Cluster:	
SPED 94-142 Allocation	84.027
SPED Program Improvement	84.027
Secondary Reading Grant	84.027
SPED Mental Health Support	84.027
SPED Electronic Portfolio	84.027
SPED Autistic Programs	84.027
SPED Early Childhood Training	84.173
Small Learning Communities Program	84.215L
Interface Program	84.215M
Teaching American History Grant	84.215X

- 8. The threshold used for distinguishing between Type A and B programs was \$300,000.
- 9. The City of Newton, Massachusetts did not qualify as a low-risk auditee.

#### B. Findings - Financial Statement Audit

None.

#### C. Findings and Questioned Costs - Major Federal Award Programs Audit

#### DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

#### Finding

06-1 Community Development Block Grant - CFDA No. 14.218; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2006

Condition and Criteria: Program management does not maintain all of the required documentation related to the support of salaries and wages related to its major programs as prescribed in OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principals for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments. Procedures to ensure accountability for authorization of federal grant charges must be in place to determine allowable costs and cost principles.

*Cause:* Procedures are not in place to accumulate the required documentation for the administrative employees' salary charges.

*Effect:* As a result of the required documentation not being maintained, the administrative salary charges are subject to disallowance and, therefore, are considered a questioned cost.

Questioned Costs: Questioned salary costs charged to the grant total \$54,258.

*Auditor's Recommendation*: For administrative employees that work on both the Community Development program and other non-grant related activities, personnel activity reports must be prepared at least monthly and coincide with one or more pay periods.

*Grantee Response:* The two administrative employees who work on both Community Development program and other non-grant related activities began preparing personnel activity reports on a weekly basis as of July 1, 2006. These reports will document salary costs charged for HUD eligible administrative costs versus those that should be charged to the City's general fund.

#### **DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

#### Finding

Small Learning Communities Program – CFDA No. 84.215L; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2006
 Interface Program – CFDA No. 84.215M; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2006
 Teaching American History Grant – CFDA No. 84.215X; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2006

Condition and Criteria: Program management does not use formal advertising to solicit open competition for purchases of contractual services related to its major programs, as prescribed in MGL Chapter 30, Section B (Uniform Procurement Act). The state procurement standards for municipalities outlined in MGL Chapter 30, Section B (Uniform Procurement Act) are more stringent than the relevant federal standards. Therefore, the Department of Education requires grantees to follow state procurement policies when procuring goods and services related to federal grants.

*Cause:* Procedures are not in place to adhere to the MGL Chapter 30, Section B (Uniform Procurement Act) requirements when procuring services related to the federal grants.

*Effect:* Program management is not in compliance with federal grant procurement requirements.

Questioned Costs: There are no questioned costs related to this finding.

Auditor's Recommendation: Procedures must be implemented to ensure that MGL Chapter 30, Section B (Uniform Procurement Act) requirements are adhered to and that formal advertising is used to solicit open competition when procuring contractual services related to the federal grants. Procedures must also be implemented to ensure that program management is aware of all federal procurement requirements.

*Grantee Response:* Procedures have been put in place to ensure that the process to procure services with grant funds is in accordance with MGL Chapter 30, Section B. Grant applications no longer identify specific vendors to carry out grant funded project activities; instead, when third-party services are anticipated, applications include statements of minimum qualifications and scopes of services for contractors. Beginning in school year 2006-2007, all grant funded services are procured in accordance with MGL Chapter 30, Section B.

#### DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

#### **Findings**

06-3 Child Nutrition Cluster - CFDA No.'s 10.553 and 10.555; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2006

Condition and Criteria: The claim for reimbursement forms submitted to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts' Department of Education (DOE) were not always supported by proper documentation. We identified several instances where the number of lunches served, as reported to the DOE, did not agree to the detailed breakdown of school lunch activity.

*Cause:* Policies and procedures are not in place to reconcile claims for reimbursement to the supporting detailed records of lunches served prior to submission to the DOE.

*Effect:* As a result of reconciliations not being performed prior to submission of claims for reimbursement, management is not in compliance with OMB Circular A-133 requirements. The awarding agencies could limit or refuse grant awards due to inadequate financial reporting systems.

Questioned Costs: Questioned costs related to this finding total \$70.

*Auditor's Recommendation*: Procedures must be implemented to reconcile claims for reimbursement to the supporting detailed records of lunches served, with all exceptions clearly noted, detailed and resolved.

*Grantee Response*: The school food service department is currently working with the Department of Education's Nutrition, Health and Safety department to ensure that we have approved procedures for the counting and claiming of meals. These procedures will be implemented during fiscal year 2007.

06-4 Child Nutrition Cluster - CFDA No.'s 10.553 and 10.555; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2006

Condition and Criteria: Program management did not file the fiscal year 2006 Verification Summary by the deadline date of January 6, 2006.

*Cause:* Procedures are not in place to submit the required documentation in accordance with program requirements.

Effect: Program management is not in compliance with federal grant requirements.

Questioned Costs: There are no questioned costs associated with this finding.

*Auditor's Recommendation*: Procedures must be implemented to ensure that the Verification Summary is filed in a timely manner and that program management is aware of all compliance requirements of its major programs, including the special tests and provisions requirement noted above.

*Grantee Response*: The timely filing of the Verification Summary for the school food service department has been addressed in early fiscal year 2007. The fiscal year 2007 Verification Summary was submitted via the DOE website in November, 2006.

#### D. Summary of Prior Audit Findings

#### MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

#### **Department of Education**

05-1 Special Education Cluster - CFDA No.'s 84.027 and 84.173; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2005

Condition: The financial reports submitted to the Massachusetts Department of Education (DOE) were not completed based upon expenditures processed and recorded in the City's general ledger and, therefore, did not represent an accurate, current and complete disclosure of financial results. This condition is a violation of the financial reporting requirements prescribed in Section 20 of the OMB Common Rule.

*Current Status*: Procedures have been implemented to reconcile financial reports to the general ledger prior to submission to the DOE.

05-2 Title One Distribution - CFDA No. 84.010; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2005

Condition: The financial reports submitted to the Massachusetts Department of Education (DOE) were not completed based upon expenditures processed and recorded in the City's general ledger and, therefore, did not represent an accurate, current and complete disclosure of financial results. This condition is a violation of the financial reporting requirements prescribed in Section 20 of the OMB Common Rule.

*Current Status:* Procedures have been implemented to reconcile financial reports to the general ledger prior to submission to the DOE.

05-3 Improving Teacher Quality - CFDA No. 84.367; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2005

Condition: The financial reports submitted to the Massachusetts Department of Education (DOE) were not completed based upon expenditures processed and recorded in the City's general ledger and, therefore, did not represent an accurate, current and complete disclosure of financial results. This condition is a violation of the financial reporting requirements prescribed in Section 20 of the OMB Common Rule.

*Current Status:* Procedures have been implemented to reconcile financial reports to the general ledger prior to submission to the DOE.

## Department of Agriculture

05-4 Child Nutrition Cluster - CFDA No.'s 10.553 and 10.555; Fiscal year ended June 30, 2005

Condition: The claim for reimbursement forms submitted to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts' Department of Education (DOE) were not always supported by proper documentation. There were several instances noted where the number of lunches served, as reported to the DOE, did not agree to the detailed breakdown of school lunch activity.

*Current Status:* The status has remained unchanged and a finding has been reported in the current year Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 06-3.