The Commonwealth of Massachusetts STATE ELECTION

SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

OFFICIAL **ABSENTEE** BALLOT

NEWTON WD. 1, Pcts. 1, 4 WD. 3, Pct. 4

934/934

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2006

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, nd fill in the oval.

SENATOR IN CONGRESS	REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS
EDWARD M. KENNEDY +++++++++ Democratic	BARNEY FRANK + - + + + + + + - + - Demosratio
(i) Machini Ave, Carreside Candidate for Re-election KENNETH G. CHASE ++++++++++Republicas	274 Grove St. Montain Candidate for Re-electron DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
7 Prie St., Belmant DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
WRITE IN SPACE ONLY	COUNCILLOR
	THIRD DISTRICT Vote for ONE
GOVERNOR AND	MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY - + + + + Democratic 93 Westminster Ave., Waterpren Carolidate for Re-election
LEUTENANT GOVERNOR Vote for DNE	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.
HEALEY and HILLMAN +++++++++#Aspublican	WHITE-IN SPINCE DULY
PATRICK and MURRAY + + + + + + + + + + Democratic	
MIHOS and SULLIVAN +++++++++Independent .	SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT FIRST MIDDLESEX & MORFOLK DISTRICT Vote for DNE
ROSS and ROBINSON ++++++++Green-Raimbow	CYNTHIA STONE CREEM+
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
ATTORNEY GENERAL	REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT
WARTHA COAKLEY + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	PETER J. KOUTOUJIAN
Contigue Hd. Mestord ARRY FRISOLI	DARA POURGHASEM Republicas
Paight Rd. Behand DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	WRITE-IN SPACE DIM.Y
SECRETARY OF STATE	DISTRICT ATTORNEY
Vote for ONE Villiam Francis Galvin +++ +++++0emocrafts	MORTHERN DISTRICT Vote for ONE GERARD T. LEONE, JR
ILIAE ST. BOSTON CARENTY	171 Ash St., Hopkindan DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
7 Texting Horse Or. Lexispton	USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
WEITE IN SPACE ONLY	
	CLERK OF COURTS MIDDLESEX COUNTY Vote for ONE
TREASURER Vote for ONE	MICHAEL A. SULLIVAN ++++++++ Bemacratic
TIMOTHY P. CAHILL +++++++++ +0emecratic St Generald Ref Comy Gandidate for Re-election	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.
JAMES O'KEEFE ++-++++++++Grees-Rainbaw	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	SHIPLE IN STREET ONE
WRITE-IN SPACE ORLY	
AUDITOR Vote for ONE	
A. JOSEPH DeNUCCI + + + + + + + + + + + Democratic	
19 Worneds Rd., Revitor Candidate for Re-election RAND WILSON +++++++++++++Working Families	
10 Hall Ave. Somewife DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE	

REGISTER OF DEEDS Vote for DNE EUGENE C. BRUNE ... DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN

QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2006?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow local licensing authorities to issue licenses for food stores to sell wine. The proposed law defines a "fond store" as a retail vendor, such as a grocery store, supermarket, shop, club, outlet, or warehousetype seller, that sells food to consumers to be eaten elsewhere (which must include meat, poultry, dairy products, eggs, fresh fruit and pro-duce, and other specified items), and that may sell other items usually found in grocery stores. Holders of licenses to sell wine at food stores could sell wine either on its own or together with any other items they sell.

The licensing authorities in any city or town of up to 5000 residents could issue up to 5 licenses for food stores to sell wine. In cities or towns of over 5000 residents, one additional license could be issued for each additional 5000 residents (or traction of 5000). No person or business could hold more than 10% of the total number of the licenses that could be issued under the proposed law. Such licenses would not be counted when applying the laws that limit the number of other kinds of alcoholic beverage licenses that may be issued or held. Any applicant for a license would have to be approved by the state Alcoholic Beverages Control Commission, and any individual appli-cant would have to be at least 21 years old and not have been convicted of a felony

In issuing any licenses for food stores to sell wine, local licensing authorities would have to use the same procedures that apply to other licenses for the retail sale of alcoholic beverages. Except where the proposed law has different terms, the same laws that apply to issuance, renewal, suspension and termination of licenses for retail sales of alcoholic bever-ages which are not to be consumed on the seller's premises, and that apply to the operations of holders of such licenses, would govern licenses to sell wine at food stores, and the operation of holders of such licenses. Local authorities could set fees for issuing and renew-

A YES VOTE would create a new category of licenses for food stores to sell wine, and it would allow local licensing authorities to issue such licenses.

A NO VOTE would make no change YES in the laws concerning the sale of wine.

NO

QUESTION 2 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3: 2006?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow candidates for public office to be nominated by more than one political party or political designation, to have their names appear on the ballot once for each nomination, and to have their votes counted separately for each nomination but then added together to determine the winner of the election. The proposed law would repeal an existing requirement that in order to appear on the state primary ballot as a candidate for a political party's nomination for certain offices, a person cannot have been enrolled in any other party during the preceding year. The requirement applies to candidates for nomination for statewide office, representative in Congress, governor's councillor, member of the state Legislature, district attorney, clerk of court, register of probate, register of deeds, county commissioner, sheriff, and county treasurer. The proposed law would also allow any person to appear on the primary ballot as a candidate for a party's nomination for those offices if the party's state committee gave its written consent. The proposed law would also repeal the existing requirement that in order to be nominated to appear as an unenrolled candidate on the state election ballot, or on any city or town ballot following a primary, a person cannot have been enrolled in any political party during the 90 days before the deadline for filling nomination papers.

The proposed law would provide that if a candidate were nominated by more than one party or political designation, instead of the candidate's name, printed on the ballot once, with the candidate's name, and the party or political designation names appear after the candidate's name,

The proposed law would provide that if a candidate were nominated by more than one party or political designation, instead of the candidate's name using printed on the ballot once, with the candidate allowed to choose the order in which the party or political designation names appear after the candidate's name, the candidate's name would appear multiple times, once for each nomination received. The candidate would decide the order in which the party or political designation nominations would appear, except that all parties would be listed before all political designations. The ballot would allow voters who vote for a candidate nominated by multiple parties or political designations to vote for that candidate under the party or political designation line of their choice. If a voter voted for the same candidate for the same office on multiple party or political designation lines, the ballot would remain valid but would be counted as a single vote for the candidate on a line without a party or political designation. If voting technology allowed, voting machines would be required to prevent a voter from writing more than the number of times permitted for any one office.

voter from voting more than the number of times permitted for any one office.

The proposed law would provide that if a candidate received votes under more than one party or political designation, the votes would be combined for pur-

The proposed law would provide that if a candidate received votes under more than one party or political designation, the votes would be combined for purposes of determining whether the candidate had won the election. The total number of votes each candidate received under each party or political designation would be recorded. Election officials would announce and record both the aggregate totals and the total by party or political designation.

The proposed law would allow a political party to obtain official recognition if its candidate had obtained at least 3% of the vote for any statewide office at either of the two most recent state elections, instead of at only the most recent state election as under current law.

The proposed law would allow a person nominated as a candidate for any state, city or town office to withdraw his name from nomination within six days after any party's primary election for that office, whether or not the person sought nomination or was nominated in that primary. Any candidate who withdrew from an election could not be listed on the ballot for that election, regardless of whether the particular received multiple nominations.

YES VOTE would allow a candidate for public office to be promisted for the

A YES VOTE would allow a candidate for public office to be nominated for the y more than one political party or political designation at the same election.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws concerning nomination of candidates for public office

NO

QUESTION 3 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2006? SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow licensed and other authorized providers of child care in private homes under the state's subsidized child care system to bargain collectively with the relevant state agencies about air terms and conditions of the provision of child care services under the state's child care assistance

Under the proposed law, these family child care providers who provide state-subsidized child care would not be considered public employees, but if 30% of the providers gave written authorization for an employee organization to be their exclusive representative in collective bargaining, the state Labor Relations Commission would hold a secret mail ballot election on whether to certify that organization as the exclusive representative. Parts of the state's public employee labor relations law and regulations would apply to the election and collective bargaining processes. The proposed law would not authorize providers to engage in a strike or other refusal to deliver child care

In a strike or other refusal to deliver child care services.

An exclusive representative, if certified, could then communicate with providers to develop and present a proposal to the state agencies concerning the terms and conditions of child care provider services. The proposed law would then require the parties to negotiate in good faith to try to reach a binding agreement. If the agreed-upon terms and conditions required changes in existing regulations, the state agencies could not finally agree to the terms until they completed the required procedures for changing regulations and any cost items agreed to by the parties had been approved by the state Legislature. If any actions taken under the proposed law required spending state funds, that spending would be subject to appropriation by the Legislature. Any complaint that one of the parties was refusing to negotiate in good faith could be filed with and ruled upon by the Labor Relations Commission. An exclusive representative could collect a fee from providers for the costs of representing them.

An exclusive representative could be de-certified under Commission regulations and procedures if certain conditions were met. The Commission could not accept a decertification petition for at least 2 years after the first exclusive representative was certified, and any such petition would have to be supported by 50% or more of the total number of providers. The Commission would then hold a secret mail ballot election for the providers to vote on whether to decertify the exclusive representative.

the exclusive representative.

The proposed law states that activities carried out under it would be exempt from federal anti-trust laws. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would allow licensed and other authorized providers of child care in

YES

inder the state's subsidized child care system to bargain collectively with the state.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws concerning licensed and other authorized family child care providers.

NO

QUESTION 4 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon the President and Congress of the United States to end the war in Iraq immediately and bring all United States military forces home from Iraq? YES

NO

934

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts STATE ELECTION

SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

OFFICIAL ABSENTEE BALLOT

NEWTON WD. 1, Pcts. 2, 3 WD. 2, WD. 3, Pcts. 1-3 WD. 4, WD. 5, Pct. 4 WD. 7, Pct. 2

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2006

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, vided and fill in the oval.

SENATOR IN CONGRESS Vote for DNE	REPRESENTATIVE IN CON
EDWARD M. KENNEDY ++++++++++Democratic all Machini Ave. Sanistatio	BARNEY FRANK
KENNETH G. CHASE +++++++++Republican	DO NOT VOTE IN THI USE BLANK LINE BELOW F
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE IN SPACE ORLY	COUNCILLOR
	THIRD DISTRICT
GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR	MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVAN 18 Westmarke Ave., Waterbarn DO NOT VOTE IN THE
Vote for ONE	USE BLANK LINE BELOW F
TEALEY and HILLMAN ************************************	-
	SENATOR IN CENERAL CO
NIHOS and SULLIVAN +++++++++Independent	SENATOR IN GENERAL CO
OSS and ROBINSON	CYNTHIA STONE CREEM
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	DO NOT VOTE IN THI USE BLANK LINE BELOW
WRITE-IN SPACE DALY	3
TTORNEY GENERAL	REPRESENTATIVE IN GENI
ARTHA COAKLEY ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	KAY S. KHAN
Confider Rd. Madford IRRY FRISOLI ++++++++++++++++++++++++++*Republican	DO NOT VOTE IN THE
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	USE BLANK LINE BELOW F
WHITE-IN SPACE DALY	DISTRICT ATTORNEY
ECRETARY OF STATE	GERARD T. LEONE, JR. ++++
Vote for ONE //ILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS
ILL E. STEIN + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + Green Railman	USE BLANK LINE BELOW F
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	CLERK OF COURTS
WRITE IN SPACE ONLY	MICHAEL A. SULLIVAN++
REASURER	42 Huron Aire, Cambridge DO NOT VOTE IN THIS
IMOTHY P. CAHILL	USE BLANK LINE BELOW F
Gistand Ro., Gency Contribute for Re-election AMES O'KEEFE	
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	
UDITOR Veta for ONE	
Vote for ONE JOSEPH DeNUCCI + + + + + + + + + + + i Democrable Gardislate for Re election Warner RE, Rendat	
9 Warnick Rd., Nembe Cookidate for Re-election	< l

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN

REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS	
BARNEY FRANK	C
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITT-IN SPACE ONLY	<u></u>
COUNCILLOR THERE DESTRICET Vote for ONE	
MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY Democratic	Ç
B Wisdominia Aur. Wildominia DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IR SPACE (INLY	2
SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT	
CYNTHIA STONE CREEM 10 Huntington Rd, Revision	d
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
ANUTE IN SPACE DM/Y	
DEDDECENTATIVE IN CENEDAL COURT	
REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT	
ELEVENTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT Vote for DME KAY S. KHAN - + + + + + + Democratic ISS Mary's St. Ments Candidate for Re-dector	
KAY S. KHAN	
KAY S. KHAN - + + + + + Democratic KS May/s 9, Mains Candidate for Re-dector DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
LEVENTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT Vote for OME KAY S. KHAN - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
LEVENTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT KAY S. KHAN - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
TENENTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT KAY S. KHAN - + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. WRITE-N SPACE OULY DOSTRICT ATTORNEY CONTINUE OF THE SPACE OULY DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. WRITE-N SPACE OULY DISTRICT ATTORNEY CONTINUE OULY Vote for ONE THAT D. Hecketon DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN. DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE, WRITE-X SPACE OULY WRITE-X SPACE OULY Vote for ONE OBERAND T. LEONE, JR. +++++ Democratic DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	

REGISTER OF DEEDS

EUGENE C. BRUNE ---

a deale for Ha-election

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN

QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2006?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow local licensing uthorities to issue licenses for food stores to sell wine. The proposed law defines a "food store" as a retail vendor, such as a grocery store, supermarket, shop, club, outlet, or warehouse-type seller, that sells food to consumers to be eater elsewhere (which must include meat, poultry, dairy products, eggs, fresh fruit and produce, and other specified items), and that may sell other items usually found in grocery stores. Holders of licenses to sell wine at food stores could sell wine either on its own or together with any other items they sell.

The licensing authorities in any city or town of up to 5000 residents could issue up to 5 licenses for food stores to sell wine. In cities or towns of over 5000 residents, one additional license could be issued for each additional 5000 residents (or fraction of 5000). No person or business could hold more than 10% of the total number of the licenses that could be issued under the proposed law. Such licenses would not be counted when applying the laws that limit the number of other kinds of alcoholic beverage licenses that may be issued or held. Any applicant for a license would have to be approved by the state Alcoholic Beverages Control Commission, and any individual appli-cant would have to be at least 21 years old and not have been convicted of a felony.

In issuing any licenses for food stores to sell wine, local licensing authorities would have to use the same procedures that apply to other licenses for the retail sale of alcoholic beverages. Except where the proposed law has different terms, the same laws that apply to issuance, renewal, suspension and termination of licenses for retail sales of alcoholic beverages which are not to be consumed on the seller's premises, and that apply to the operations of holders of such licenses, would govern licenses to sell wine at food stores, and the operation of holders of such licenses. Local authorities could set fees for issuing and renew-

A YES VOTE would create a new category of licenses for food stores to sell wine, and it would allow local licensing authorities to

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws concerning the sale of wine.

QUESTION 2 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3: 2006?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow candidates for public office to be nominated by more than one political party or political designation, to have their names appear on the ballot once for each nomination, and to have their votes counted separately for each nomination but then added together to determine the winner of the election. The proposed law would repeal an existing requirement that in order to appear on the state primary ballot as a candidate for a political party's nomination for certain offices, a person cannot have been enrolled in any other party during the preceding year. The requirement applies to candidates for nomination for statewide office, representative in Congress, governor's councillor, member of the state Legislature, district attorney, clerk of court, register of probate, register of deeds, county commissioner, sheriff, and county treasurer. The proposed law would also allow any person to appear on the primary ballot as a candidate for a party's nomination for those offices if the party's state committee gave its written consent. The proposed law would also repeal the existing requirement that in order to be nominated to appear as an unenrolled candidate on the state election ballot, or on any city or town ballot following a primary, a person cannot have been enrolled in any political party during the 90 days before the deadline for filling nomination papers.

The proposed law would provide that if a candidate were nominated by more than one party or political designation, instead of the candidate's name, printed on the ballot once, with the candidate's name, and the party or political designation names appear after the candidate's name,

The proposed law would provide that if a candidate were nominated by more than one party or political designation, instead of the candidate's name using printed on the ballot once, with the candidate allowed to choose the order in which the party or political designation names appear after the candidate's name, the candidate's name would appear multiple times, once for each nomination received. The candidate would decide the order in which the party or political designation nominations would appear, except that all parties would be listed before all political designations. The ballot would allow voters who vote for a candidate nominated by multiple parties or political designations to vote for that candidate under the party or political designation line of their choice. If a voter voted for the same candidate for the same office on multiple party or political designation lines, the ballot would remain valid but would be counted as a single vote for the candidate on a line without a party or political designation. If voting technology allowed, voting machines would be required to prevent a voter from writing more than the number of times permitted for any one office.

voter from voting more than the number of times permitted for any one office.

The proposed law would provide that if a candidate received votes under more than one party or political designation, the votes would be combined for pur-

The proposed law would provide that if a candidate received votes under more than one party or political designation, the votes would be combined for purposes of determining whether the candidate had won the election. The total number of votes each candidate received under each party or political designation would be recorded. Election officials would announce and record both the aggregate totals and the total by party or political designation.

The proposed law would allow a political party to obtain official recognition if its candidate had obtained at least 3% of the vote for any statewide office at either of the two most recent state elections, instead of at only the most recent state election as under current law.

The proposed law would allow a person nominated as a candidate for any state, city or town office to withdraw his name from nomination within six days after any party's primary election for that office, whether or not the person sought nomination or was nominated in that primary. Any candidate who withdrew from an election could not be listed on the ballot for that election, regardless of whether the particular received multiple nominations.

YES VOTE would allow a candidate for public office to be promisted for the

A YES VOTE would allow a candidate for public office to be nominated for the y more than one political party or political designation at the same election.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws concerning nomination of candidates for public office

NO

QUESTION 3 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2006? SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow licensed and other authorized providers of child care in private homes under the state's subsidized child care system to bargain collectively with the relevant state agencies about air terms and conditions of the provision of child care services under the state's child care assistance

Under the proposed law, these family child care providers who provide state-subsidized child care would not be considered public employees, but if 30% of the providers gave written authorization for an employee organization to be their exclusive representative in collective bargaining, the state Labor Relations Commission would hold a secret mail ballot election on whether to certify that organization as the exclusive representative. Parts of the state's public employee labor relations law and regulations would apply to the election and collective bargaining processes. The proposed law would not authorize providers to engage in a strike or other refusal to deliver child care

In a strike or other refusal to deliver child care services.

An exclusive representative, if certified, could then communicate with providers to develop and present a proposal to the state agencies concerning the terms and conditions of child care provider services. The proposed law would then require the parties to negotiate in good faith to try to reach a binding agreement. If the agreed-upon terms and conditions required changes in existing regulations, the state agencies could not finally agree to the terms until they completed the required procedures for changing regulations and any cost items agreed to by the parties had been approved by the state Legislature. If any actions taken under the proposed law required spending state funds, that spending would be subject to appropriation by the Legislature. Any complaint that one of the parties was refusing to negotiate in good faith could be filed with and ruled upon by the Labor Relations Commission. An exclusive representative could collect a fee from providers for the costs of representing them.

An exclusive representative could be de-certified under Commission regulations and procedures if certain conditions were met. The Commission could not accept a decertification petition for at least 2 years after the first exclusive representative was certified, and any such petition would have to be supported by 50% or more of the total number of providers. The Commission would then hold a secret mail ballot election for the providers to vote on whether to decertify the exclusive representative.

the exclusive representative.

The proposed law states that activities carried out under it would be exempt from federal anti-trust laws. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would allow licensed and other authorized providers of child care in

YES

inder the state's subsidized child care system to bargain collectively with the state.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws concerning licensed and other authorized family child care providers.

NO

QUESTION 4 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon the President and Congress of the United States to end the war in Iraq immediately and bring all United States military forces home from Iraq? YES

NO

934

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts STATE ELECTION

SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE

USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.

OFFICIAL **ABSENTEE** BALLOT

NEWTON WD. 5, Pcts. 1-3 WD. 6, WD. 7, Pcts. 1, 3, 4 WD. 8

961/961

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 7, 2006

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval end to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot,

SENATOR IN CONGRESS	REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS
DWARD M. KENNEDY ++++++++Demostatic	BARNEY FRANK
Marchard Are, Bernstable Candidate for Re-election ENNETH G. CHASE ++++++++++Republican Pine St., Belinson	274 Grove St., Newton Guidelite for Re-olegio DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	WRITE-IN SPACE ON
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	
	COUNCILLOR THIRD DISTRICT Vote for ONE
GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR	MARILYN M. PETITTO DEVANEY Denitrati 38 Westrinder Ave. Westroom DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.
Vote for ONE HEALEY and HILLMAN + + + + + + Republican	
PATRICK and MURRAY +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	WHITE-IN SPACE OM
MIHOS and SULLIVAN +++++++++Independent	SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT
ROSS and ROBINSON ++++++++Green-Rainbow	CYNTHIA STONE CREEM 150 Huntington Rd, Newton
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.
WRITE-IN SPACE DALY	WRITE IN SPACE OAL
ATTORNEY GENERAL Vote for ONE	REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT TWELTTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT Vote for ONE
MARTHA COAKLEY +++++++++++Democratic	RUTH B. BALSER +++++++ Democratic
LARRY FRISOLI	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	WHITE-IN SPACE ONL
WRITE-IN SPACE UNLY	DIOTRICT ATTORNEY
DECORTABLY OF STATE	DISTRICT ATTORNEY NORTHERN DISTRICT Vote for ONE
SECRETARY OF STATE	GERARD T. LEONE, JR
WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN ++++++Democratic State St. Zestin	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.
JILL E. STEIN	WRITE-IN SPACE ONL
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	CLERK OF COURTS
WRITE-III SPACE ONLY	MIDDLESEX COUNTY Vote for ONE
TREASURER	MIGHAEL A. SULLIVAN ++++Democratic 42 Haron Ave. Carefolgs DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
TIMOTHY P. CAHILL+++++0emacratic	USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.
51 Groweld Rd. Quincy Candidate for Re-election JAMES O'KEEFE	WRITE-IN SPACE UNI
25 Neore, St. Somerville DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	
AUDITOR Vote for ONE	
Vote for ONE A. JOSEPH DeNUCCI + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	
RAND WILSON +++++++++++Working Families	

REGISTER OF DEEDS Vote for ONE EUGENE C. BRUNE DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN

QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below. on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2006?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow local licensing authorities to issue licenses for food stores to sell wine. The proposed law defines a "food store" as a retail vendor, such as a grocery store, supermarket, shop, club, outlet, or warehousetype seller, that sells food to consumers to be eaten elsewhere (which must include meat, poultry, dairy products, eggs, fresh fruit and pro-duce, and other specified items), and that may sell other items usually found in grocery stores. Holders of licenses to sell wine at food stores could sell wine either on its own or together with any other items they sell.

The licensing authorities in any city or town of up to 5000 residents could issue up to 5 licenses for food stores to sell wine. In cities or towns of over 5000 residents, one additional license could be issued for each additional 5000 residents (or fraction of 5000). No person or business could hold more than 10% of the total number of the licenses that could be issued under the proposed law. Such licenses would not be counted when applying the laws that limit the number of other kinds of alcoholic beverage licenses that may be issued or held. Any applicant for a license would have to be approved by the state Alcoholic Beverages Control Commission, and any individual appli-cant would have to be at least 21 years old and not have been convicted of a felony

In issuing any licenses for food stores to sell wine, local licensing authorities would have to use the same procedures that apply to other licenses for the retail sale of alcoholic beverages. Except where the proposed law has different terms, the same laws that apply to issuance, renewal, suspension and termination of licenses for retail sales of alcoholic beverages which are not to be consumed on the seller's premises, and that apply to the operations of holders of such licenses, would govern licenses to sell wine at food stores, and the operation of holders of such licenses. Local authorities could set fees for issuing and renew-

A YES VOTE would create a new category of licenses for food stores to sell wine, and it would allow local licensing authorities to issue such licenses.

A NO VOTE would make no change YES in the laws concerning the sale of wine.

NO

QUESTION 2 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3: 2006?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow candidates for public office to be nominated by more than one political party or political designation, to have their names appear on the ballot once for each nomination, and to have their votes counted separately for each nomination but then added together to determine the winner of the election. The proposed law would repeal an existing requirement that in order to appear on the state primary ballot as a candidate for a political party's nomination for certain offices, a person cannot have been enrolled in any other party during the preceding year. The requirement applies to candidates for nomination for statewide office, representative in Congress, governor's councillor, member of the state Legislature, district attorney, clerk of court, register of probate, register of deeds, county commissioner, sheriff, and county treasurer. The proposed law would also allow any person to appear on the primary ballot as a candidate for a party's nomination for those offices if the party's state committee gave its written consent. The proposed law would also repeal the existing requirement that in order to be nominated to appear as an unenrolled candidate on the state election ballot, or on any city or town ballot following a primary, a person cannot have been enrolled in any political party during the 90 days before the deadline for filling nomination papers.

The proposed law would provide that if a candidate were nominated by more than one party or political designation, instead of the candidate's name, printed on the ballot once, with the candidate's name, and the party or political designation names appear after the candidate's name,

The proposed law would provide that if a candidate were nominated by more than one party or political designation, instead of the candidate's name using printed on the ballot once, with the candidate allowed to choose the order in which the party or political designation names appear after the candidate's name, the candidate's name would appear multiple times, once for each nomination received. The candidate would decide the order in which the party or political designation nominations would appear, except that all parties would be listed before all political designations. The ballot would allow voters who vote for a candidate nominated by multiple parties or political designations to vote for that candidate under the party or political designation line of their choice. If a voter voted for the same candidate for the same office on multiple party or political designation lines, the ballot would remain valid but would be counted as a single vote for the candidate on a line without a party or political designation. If voting technology allowed, voting machines would be required to prevent a voter from writing more than the number of times permitted for any one office.

voter from voting more than the number of times permitted for any one office.

The proposed law would provide that if a candidate received votes under more than one party or political designation, the votes would be combined for pur-

The proposed law would provide that if a candidate received votes under more than one party or political designation, the votes would be combined for purposes of determining whether the candidate had won the election. The total number of votes each candidate received under each party or political designation would be recorded. Election officials would announce and record both the aggregate totals and the total by party or political designation.

The proposed law would allow a political party to obtain official recognition if its candidate had obtained at least 3% of the vote for any statewide office at either of the two most recent state elections, instead of at only the most recent state election as under current law.

The proposed law would allow a person nominated as a candidate for any state, city or town office to withdraw his name from nomination within six days after any party's primary election for that office, whether or not the person sought nomination or was nominated in that primary. Any candidate who withdrew from an election could not be listed on the ballot for that election, regardless of whether the particular received multiple nominations.

YES VOTE would allow a candidate for public office to be promisted for the

A YES VOTE would allow a candidate for public office to be nominated for the y more than one political party or political designation at the same election.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws concerning nomination of candidates for public office

NO

QUESTION 3 LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives before May 3, 2006? SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow licensed and other authorized providers of child care in private homes under the state's subsidized child care system to bargain collectively with the relevant state agencies about air terms and conditions of the provision of child care services under the state's child care assistance

Under the proposed law, these family child care providers who provide state-subsidized child care would not be considered public employees, but if 30% of the providers gave written authorization for an employee organization to be their exclusive representative in collective bargaining, the state Labor Relations Commission would hold a secret mail ballot election on whether to certify that organization as the exclusive representative. Parts of the state's public employee labor relations law and regulations would apply to the election and collective bargaining processes. The proposed law would not authorize providers to engage in a strike or other refusal to deliver child care

In a strike or other refusal to deliver child care services.

An exclusive representative, if certified, could then communicate with providers to develop and present a proposal to the state agencies concerning the terms and conditions of child care provider services. The proposed law would then require the parties to negotiate in good faith to try to reach a binding agreement. If the agreed-upon terms and conditions required changes in existing regulations, the state agencies could not finally agree to the terms until they completed the required procedures for changing regulations and any cost items agreed to by the parties had been approved by the state Legislature. If any actions taken under the proposed law required spending state funds, that spending would be subject to appropriation by the Legislature. Any complaint that one of the parties was refusing to negotiate in good faith could be filed with and ruled upon by the Labor Relations Commission. An exclusive representative could collect a fee from providers for the costs of representing them.

An exclusive representative could be de-certified under Commission regulations and procedures if certain conditions were met. The Commission could not accept a decertification petition for at least 2 years after the first exclusive representative was certified, and any such petition would have to be supported by 50% or more of the total number of providers. The Commission would then hold a secret mail ballot election for the providers to vote on whether to decertify the exclusive representative.

the exclusive representative.

The proposed law states that activities carried out under it would be exempt from federal anti-trust laws. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would allow licensed and other authorized providers of child care in

YES

inder the state's subsidized child care system to bargain collectively with the state.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws concerning licensed and other authorized family child care providers.

NO

QUESTION 4 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon the President and Congress of the United States to end the war in Iraq immediately and bring all United States military forces home from Iraq? YES

NO

934