

DRAFT

**CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL
PERFORMANCE AND
EVALUATION
REPORT**

**FOR THE
CITY OF NEWTON
HOUSING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
PROGRAM
AND THE
WESTMETRO HOME CONSORTIUM**

JULY 1, 2016 – JUNE 30, 2017

Table of Contents

FY17 Consolidated Annual Evaluation and Performance Report

Executive Summary	1
CR-05 Goals and Outcomes.....	5
CR-10 Racial and Ethnic Composition of Families Assisted	12
CR-15 Resources and Investments	14
CR-20 Affordable Housing.....	20
CR-25 Homeless and Other Special Needs	25
CR-30 Public Housing	30
CR-35 Other Actions	33
CR-40 Monitoring	40
CR-45 CDBG	42
CR-50 HOME	44
CR-60 ESG Subrecipient Information.....	47
CR-70 ESG Assistance Provided and Outcomes	50
CR-75 ESG Expenditures	51

Appendix

WestMetro HOME Consortium Public Housing Authority Projects.....	55
Monitoring Policies and Procedures	56
HOME Program Income Expenditures in IDIS in FY17	59
eCart	60
Waltham Community Day Shelter: Persons Assisted in FY17	85

Executive Summary

The FY17 (FFY16) Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) provides an analysis of the second year of the FY16-FY20 Consolidated Plan—July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017—for the City of Newton Community Development Block Grant program (CDBG), Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) program, the WestMetro HOME Consortium HOME Investment Partnerships program (HOME), and the American Dream Downpayment Initiative program (ADDI). These funds provided the City of Newton and 12 other communities in the WestMetro HOME Consortium with a total of \$4,031,860.66 in FY17 (FFY16) resources from The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD).

The CAPER is an assessment of how successful Newton and the Consortium communities were at meeting their goals as identified in the FY17 (FFY16) Annual Action Plan and the FY16-20 Consolidated Plan. In June, 2017 the City submitted the FY17 (FFY16) Action Plan to HUD, which provided details to citizens, public and private agencies, and other interested parties on the program activities that were planned in response to the priority needs identified in the FY16-20 Consolidated Plan. The FY16-20 Consolidated Plan was developed to describe the use of CDBG, HOME, ESG and ADDI program funds for housing and community development activities in Newton and housing activities in the 12 other HOME Consortium communities (the towns of Bedford, Belmont, Brookline, Concord, Framingham, Lexington, Natick, Needham, Sudbury, Watertown, Wayland, and the City of Waltham).

The following table summarizes the amount of FY17 (FFY16) funds that were received and, along with prior year funding, expended, which are described in more detail below.

Program	Funds Received	Funds Expended
CDBG	\$1,891,318.14*	\$1,670,169.67
HOME Consortium	\$1,826,859.92**	\$1,938,864.34
Newton HOME Funds	\$154,118.20	\$219,672.84
Emergency Solutions Grant	\$159,564.40	\$203,924.82

*Includes \$148,789.14 in CDBG program income received during FY17

**Includes \$682,865.92 in HOME program income received during FY17

In FY17 (FFY16), the City of Newton received \$1,891,318.14 in CDBG funds and expended \$1,670,169.67 in FY17 (FFY16) and prior year funds. The WestMetro HOME Consortium, which includes 13 communities, received \$1,826,859.92 in HOME funds and expended \$1,938,864.34 in FY17 (FFY16) and prior year funds. The City received \$154,118.20 in HOME funds and expended \$219,672.84 in FY17 (FFY16) and prior year funds. A total of \$159,564.40 in ESG funds were received by the City in FY17 and \$203,924.82 was expended in FY17 (FFY16) and prior year funds. The Newton Housing and Community Development Division of the Planning Department (the Division) utilized

these funds to meet the goals of the FY16-20 (FFY15-FFY19) Consolidated Plan and the FY17 (FFY16) Annual Action Plan. These goals include: production of new affordable units, rehabilitation of housing, provision of supportive services to the homeless and at-risk of homeless, assistance to transition homeless individuals and families to permanent housing, creation of permanent and supportive housing, increase awareness of fair housing policies and practices, provision of human services, provision of human services to age in place, implementation of improvements to target neighborhoods, and implementation of architectural accessibility improvements for persons with disabilities.

Goal 1: Production of affordable housing and Goal 2: Rehabilitation of housing

Relative to the production of new affordable housing, work on four HOME and CDBG funded housing projects nears completion. Affordable rental units at Cambria Road, Taft Avenue and Curve Street (Myrtle Village) have gone through the lottery and lease up processes. Final requisitions are necessary for these projects to close out in Fall 2017. Additionally, a lottery has been completed for nine ownership units at Court Street. Those nine units are under contract and are also expected to close in Fall 2017. In FY17, the City rehabilitated two homeowner properties and completed renovations to Golda Meir, an elderly housing development with 199 units. Rehabilitation work on five other properties is ongoing.

Goal 3: Provision of supportive services to the homeless and at-risk of homeless

In FY17, five sub-recipients were awarded ESG funds to provide homeless prevention, rapid-rehousing, street outreach, and shelter services to individuals and families that were homeless or at-risk of homelessness. These sub-recipients included: Brookline Community Mental Health Center, Middlesex Human Service Agency, The Second Step, Community Day Center, Inc., and REACH. These sub-recipients assisted approximately 1,275 persons during the course of the FY17 program year.

Goal 4: Assistance to transition homeless individuals and families to permanent housing

The City of Newton initiated the Tenant Based Rental Assistance Program (TBRA) to provide rental assistance and supportive services to eligible low- and moderate-income households living in hotels/motels. Currently, three households are assisted through the Tenant Based Rental Program. The ongoing rental assistance payments for these households will continue through 2018.

Goal 5: Creation of permanent and supportive housing for the homeless

In June of 2016, the City of Newton released its city-wide housing strategy, Newton Leads 2040 Housing Needs Analysis and Strategic Recommendations, which identified policies and actions with the goal of producing 800 units of housing by 2021. The strategy was the culmination of a year-long process designed to engage citizens, businesses, elected officials, and other local stakeholders in a conversation about responding to the demand for housing in the City and identified more than 70 specific parcels that could potentially accommodate new housing development. Of this list, seven

priority sites were recognized as being most appropriate in quickly and efficiently facilitating affordable housing development.

As part of this process, the City selected a 0.39-acre (16,900 square feet) parcel of municipally-owned land to reuse and reactivate in order to create nine to twelve units of permanent supportive housing for chronically homeless individuals with disabilities. The underutilized parcel, located at 160 R Stanton Avenue, has successfully gone through the City Council's disposition process having been declared as surplus and authorized for sale. Considering the need in Newton for affordable housing, this site holds a unique opportunity to realize significant benefits and to move the City forward in connecting Newton seniors and residents with disabilities to housing choices and supportive services. An RFP seeking a developer to demolish the site's existing water tower and implement the parcel's redevelopment was released August 31, 2017. Proposals are due October 5, 2017.

Goal 6: Increase awareness of fair housing policies and practices

Affirmatively furthering fair housing is underscored in all of the Housing and Community Development Division's programs. Staff works with the Newton Fair Housing Committee to host educational events and disseminate information on fair housing. In FY17, the City hosted a forum entitled "Is Fair Housing Still Relevant? Current Issues and Enforcement Activities". The City, MetroWest Consortium and Regional Housing Service Office, and the Consortium's Fair Housing Commission worked with a consulting firm to create a training module on compliance entitled "Fair Housing Compliance: Considerations for Land Use and Planning Decisions."

Goal 7: Provision of human services and Goal 8: Provision of human services specific to aging in place

The human service program assisted 2,908 persons during FY17. These projects provided support to families, children, youth, elderly individuals, and persons with disabilities. The activities selected for human service funding are designed to address a variety of unmet service needs for low- and moderate-income individuals and families in the City. A total of 1,445 of the persons assisted by the human service program were provided with aging in place services.

Goal 9: Implementation of improvements to target neighborhoods and Goal 10: Implementation of architectural accessibility improvements for persons with disabilities

During FY17, the City initiated improvements in Newton Corner, Farlow Park and Pellegrini Park, however the projects remains underway and are anticipated for completion during FY18. Open space and recreational advancements made to Farlow and Pellegrini Parks will address: the restoration of a historic pond and bridge, pedestrian safety improvements in Newton Corner, and the design and construction of a wall to stabilize eroding land in Nonantum Village. The projects' scope will enhance park amenities and accessibility for both neighborhoods.

Additionally, the City removed architectural and material barriers in public thoroughfares for people with disabilities through the installation of 41 Accessible Pedestrian Signals and the construction of compliant sidewalk curb cuts and creation of accessible pathways within the Newton Centre Playground. Accessibility enhancements impacted 9,477 persons with disabilities overall.

HOME Consortium Goals

In FY17, the WestMetro HOME Consortium received received \$1,826,859.92 in HOME funds and expended \$1,938,864.34 in FY17 (FFY16) and prior year funds. Consortium communities each developed individual goals related to the acquisition, construction, and rehabilitation of housing, as well as the provision of rental assistance. There were 54 HOME-assisted rental units that were completed in FY17. An additional 22 families were provided with security deposit assistance through Bedford and Waltham's TBRA programs. The Consortium member communities laid the groundwork for many pending HOME-assisted affordable housing development projects.

The City of Newton Housing and Community Development Program and the WestMetro HOME Consortium had much success in the second year of the FY16–20 Consolidated Plan. The following pages provide further data and narrative on the accomplishments in each goal area.

CR-05 - Goals and Outcomes

Progress the jurisdiction has made in carrying out its strategic plan and its action plan.

91.520(a)

The City of Newton receives Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnership (HOME) and Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). These funds are utilized to address housing and community development needs in the City of Newton and housing needs in the WestMetro HOME Consortium communities. The FY17 (FFY16) Annual Action Plan identified ten goals for the City of Newton. These goals include: production of new affordable units, rehabilitation of affordable housing, provision of supportive services to the homeless and at-risk of homeless, assistance to transition homeless individuals and families to permanent housing, creation of permanent and supportive housing for the homeless, increase awareness of fair housing policies and practices, provision of human services, provision of human services to age in place, implementation of improvements to target neighborhoods, and implementation of architectural accessibility improvements for persons with disabilities. The HOME Consortium communities each developed individual goals related to the acquisition, construction, and rehabilitation of housing, as well as the provision of rental assistance. The progress that the City and the HOME Consortium has made in advancing these goals is addressed in Table 1- Accomplishments – Program Year and Strategic Plan to Date, and the accompanying narrative.

Comparison of the proposed versus actual outcomes for each outcome measure submitted with the consolidated plan and explain, if applicable, why progress was not made toward meeting goals and objectives. 91.520(g)

CR-05: Goals and Outcomes for City of Newton & WestMetro HOME Consortium for FY16

Name of Goal	Category of Goal	Funds Expended in FY17	Start Fiscal Year	End Fiscal Year	Goal Outcome Indicator (GOI)	GOI Unit of Measure	Outcome Expected FY17	Actual Outcome FY17	Percent Complete	Expected Outcome FY16-20	Actual Outcome FY16-20	Percent Complete
NEWTON												
Production of New Affordable Units	Affordable Housing	CDBG:\$113,292.36 HOME:\$161,783.82	2016	2020	Rental units constructed	Household Housing Unit	10	0	0.00%	175	0	0.00%
			2016	2020	Homeowner housing added	Household Housing Unit	0	0	0.00%	15	0	0.00%
Housing Rehabilitation	Affordable Housing	CDBG: \$350,688.90	2016	2020	Rental units rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	5	206	4,120%	5	206	4,120%
			2016	2020	Homeowner housing rehabilitated	Household Housing Unit	5	2	40.00%	30	5	16.67%
Supportive Services	Homeless	ESG: \$203,924.82	2016	2020	Other- Assistance to homeless and at-risk for homelessness	Persons Assisted	543	1,275	234.81%	2,735	2,326	85.05%
Transition to Permanent Housing	Homeless	HOME:\$46,998.02	2016	2020	Tenant-based rental assistance / Rapid Rehousing	Households Assisted	3	0	0.00%	3	0	0.00%
Permanent and Supportive Housing	Homeless		2016	2020	Housing for homeless added	Household Housing Unit	0	0	0.00%	12	0	0.00%
Fair Housing	Education; community-wide benefit		2016	2020	Other - Educational events	Other- Educational events held	2	1	50.00%	10	4	40.00%
						Other - Publicity and outreach	2	0	0.00%	11	2	18.18%
Human Services	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$295,549.25	2016	2020	Public service activities other than Low/Mod Income Housing	Persons Assisted	2,808	1,463	52.10%	8,455	3,338	39.48%
Human Services - Aging in Place	Non-Homeless Special Needs	CDBG: \$12,500.00	2016	2020	Public service activities other than Low/Mod Housing	Persons Assisted	0	1,445	100%	22,535	1,577	7.00%
Neighborhood Improvements	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$155,047.84	2016	2020	Public Facility or infrastructure other than Low/Mod Housing	Persons Assisted	1,560	0	0.00%	2,085	0	0.00%
Architectural Access	Non-Housing Community Development	CDBG: \$34,280.91	2016	2020	Public Facility or Infrastructure other than Low/Mod Housing	Persons Assisted	3,394	9,477	279.23%	16,970	15,951	94.00%

Name of Goal	Category of Goal	Funds Expended in FY17	Start Fiscal Year	End Fiscal Year	Goal Outcome Indicator (GOI)	GOI Unit of Measure	Outcome Expected FY17	Actual Outcome FY17	Percent Complete	Expected Outcome FY16-20	Actual Outcome FY16-20	Percent Complete
BEDFORD												
Rental Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$6,442.00	2016	2020	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	1	0	0.00%	1	0	0.00%
BELMONT												
Achieve Housing Production Goals	Affordable Housing		2016	2020	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	0	0	0.00%	3	0	0.00%
Adopt Zoning and other Policies to Encourage Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		2016	2020	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	0	0	0.00%	2	0	0.00%
Provide Better Housing Choices	Affordable Housing		2016	2020	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	0	0	0.00%	3	0	0.00%
Elderly Supportive Services	Affordable Housing		2016	2020	Rental units rehabilitated	Household housing unit	2	0	0.00%	2	0	0.00%
Rehab Public Housing	Public housing		2016	2020	Public Facility or infrastructure activities for Low-Mod Housing	Households assisted	51	0	0.00%	51	0	0.00%
First-time Homebuyer Program	Affordable Housing		2016	2020	Homeowner housing added	Household housing unit	3	0	0.00%	3	0	0.00%
BROOKLINE												
86 Dummer Street Project	Affordable Housing		2014	2017	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	0	10	100.00%	32	10	31.25%
51-57 Beals Street Project	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$8,520.00	2014	2017	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	0	31	100.00%	31	31	100.00%
New Rental Housing	Affordable Housing		2014	2017	Rental units rehabilitated	Household housing unit	25	0	0.00%	35	0	0.00%
Preservation of Rental Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$10,714.00	2016	2020	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	31	0	0.00%	100	0	0.00%
Inclusionary Units – rental	Affordable Housing		2016	2020	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	75	0	0.00%	6	0	0.00%
Inclusionary Units – homeowner	Affordable Housing		2016	2020	Homeowner housing added	Household housing unit	0	0	0.00%	6	0	0.00%
45 Marion Street	Affordable Housing		2015	2017	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	13	0	0.00%	16	0	0.00%
Homebuyer Assistance Program	Affordable Housing		2016	2020	Homeowner housing added	Household housing unit	0	0	0.00%	6	0	0.00%

Name of Goal	Category of Goal	Funds Expended in FY17	Start Fiscal Year	End Fiscal Year	Goal Outcome Indicator (GOI)	GOI Unit of Measure	Outcome Expected FY17	Actual Outcome FY17	Percent Complete	Expected Outcome FY16-20	Actual Outcome FY16-20	Percent Complete
CONCORD												
Rental Housing – rehabilitation	Public Housing		2014	2015	Rental units rehabilitated	Household housing unit	0	0	0.00%	4	1	25.00%
Rental Housing - creation	Public Housing	HOME: \$483,884.06	2016	2020	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	4	0	0.00%	4	0	0.00%
FRAMINGHAM												
Housing Development	Affordable Housing; Public Housing	HOME: \$165,216.30	2016	2020	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	1	0	20.00%	25	5	20.00%
Public Housing Improvements	Affordable Housing; Public Housing		2016	2020	Rental units rehabilitated	Household housing unit	5	0	0.00%	51	0	0.00%
Tenant-based Assistance	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$11,261.00	2016	2020	Rental units rehabilitated	Household housing unit	0	2	100.00%	4	2	50.00%
LEXINGTON												
Rental Housing	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$143,400	2016	2020	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	1	0	0.00%	1	1	100.00%
NATICK												
Rental Assistance	Affordable Housing		2016	2020	Tenant-based rental assistance/rapid rehousing	Households assisted	40	0	0.00%	40	0	0.00%
NEEDHAM												
Rental Development	Affordable Housing		2018	2020	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	0	0	0.00%	25	0	0.00%
Rental Development – Public Housing	Public Housing		2017	2020	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	0	0	0.00%	100	0	0.00%
SUDBURY												
Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		2016	2020	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	0	0	0.00%	1	0	0.00%
WALTHAM												
TBRA/SD Program	Affordable Housing	HOME: \$7,257.50	2016	2020	Tenant-based rental assistance/rapid rehousing	Households assisted	35	17	48.57%	60	25	41.67%
Public Housing Rehab	Affordable Housing/Non-homeless special	HOME: \$394,266.00	2016	2020	Rental units rehabilitated	Household housing unit	0	9	100.00%	0	25	100.00%

Name of Goal	Category of Goal	Funds Expended in FY17	Start Fiscal Year	End Fiscal Year	Goal Outcome Indicator (GOI)	GOI Unit of Measure	Outcome Expected FY17	Actual Outcome FY17	Percent Complete	Expected Outcome FY16-20	Actual Outcome FY16-20	Percent Complete
WATERTOWN												
Create Additional Affordable Housing	Affordable Housing		2016	2020	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	5	0	0.00%	5	0	0.00%
Create Additional Affordable Housing with a Minimum of 3 Bedrooms, Suitable for Families	Affordable Housing		2016	2020	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	5	0	0.00%	5	0	0.00%
Create Affordable Housing Suitable for Elderly	Non-homeless special needs	HOME: \$2,373.30	2016	2020	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	5	0	0.00%	5	0	0.00%
Create Affordable Housing Suitable for those with Disabilities	Non-homeless special needs		2016	2020	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	5	0	0.00%	5	0	0.00%
WAYLAND												
Rental Housing	Affordable Housing		2015	2018	Rental units constructed	Household housing unit	47	0	0.00%	47	0	0.00%

Table 1 - Accomplishments – Program Year & Strategic Plan to Date

Assess how the jurisdiction’s use of funds, particularly CDBG, addresses the priorities and specific objectives identified in the plan, giving special attention to the highest priority activities identified.

In FY17 (FFY16), Newton and the HOME Consortium utilized CDBG, HOME and ESG funds to address the priorities identified in the FY16-FY20 Consolidated Plan and FY17 Annual Action Plan.

Relative to the production of affordable housing, there are four ongoing HOME and CDBG funded housing projects in Newton that will produce a total of 11 affordable rental units and nine affordable homeownership units. Affordable rental units at Cambria Road, Taft Avenue and Curve Street (Myrtle Village) have gone through the lottery and lease up processes. Final requisitions are necessary for these projects to close out in Fall 2017. The nine ownership units at Court Street are under contract and expected to close in Fall 2017.

Homeless prevention, rapid re-housing, street outreach, and shelter services were provided to 1,275 persons that were currently homeless or at-risk of homelessness through the ESG program.

Newton maintains its existing affordable housing stock and increases the number of lead safe properties through the CDBG housing rehabilitation program. In FY16, the City rehabilitated two homeowner properties and 206 rental units. A total of 199 of these rental units are part of the Golda Meir House project, an elderly housing development. Each project addressed code violations and repairs. In addition to these five projects, rehabilitation work on five other properties is ongoing.

The goal of the City’s Tenant Based Rental Assistance program is to transition individuals to permanent housing. Currently, three households are assisted through the Tenant Based Rental Program. These ongoing rental assistance payments will continue through 2018 and the activity will close out when the contracts end.

Affirmatively furthering fair housing is underscored in all of the Housing and Community Development Division’s programs. Staff works with the Newton Fair Housing Committee to host educational events and disseminate information on fair housing. In FY17 the City hosted a forum entitled “Is Fair Housing Still Relevant? Current Issues and Enforcement Activities”. The City, MetroWest Consortium and Regional Housing Service Office, and the Consortium’s Fair Housing Commission worked with an consulting firm to create a training module on compliance entitled “Fair Housing Compliance: Considerations for Land Use and Planning Decisions.”

Human service projects assisted 2,908 persons in FY17. These projects provided support to families,

children, youth, elderly individuals and persons with disabilities.

During FY17, the City initiated improvements in Newton Corner, Farlow Park and Pellegrini Park, however the projects remains underway and are anticipated for completion during FY18. Open space and recreational advancements made to Farlow and Pellegrini Parks will address the restoration of a historic pond and bridge and pedestrian safety improvements in Newton Corner and the design and construction of a wall to stabilize eroding land in Nonantum Village, enhancing park amenities and accessibility for both neighborhoods.

The City removed architectural and material barriers in public thoroughfares for people with disabilities through the installation of 41 Accessible Pedestrian Signals and the construction of compliant sidewalk curb cuts and creation of accessible pathways within the Newton Centre Playground. Accessibility enhancements impacted 9,477 persons with disabilities overall.

There were 54 HOME-assisted rental units completed in FY17, these include:

- Brookline: 85 Dummer Street, ten HOME-assisted units
- Brookline: 51-57 Beals Street, 31 HOME-assisted units
- Framingham: 27 Coburn Street, two HOME-assisted units
- Natick: 6 Plain Street, one HOME-assisted unit
- Waltham: 82 Orange Street, nine HOME-assisted units

Security deposits were provided to a total of 22 families, five families in Bedford and 17 families in Waltham, as part of a HOME-funded Tenant Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) programs in each community.

CR-10 - Racial and Ethnic composition of families assisted

Describe the families assisted (including the racial and ethnic status of families assisted).

91.520(a)

	CDBG	HOME	ESG
White	10,618	33	1,010
Black or African American	581	6	192
Asian	846	3	12
American Indian or American Native	23	0	
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	1	0	1
Total	12,069	42	1,215
Hispanic	607	5	126
Not Hispanic	11,982	42	1,227

Table 2- Table of assistance to racial and ethnic population by source of funds

Narrative

In FY17, The City's CDBG program assisted a total of 12,593 persons through the provision of public services, public improvements, and housing rehabilitation. The human service program served 2,908 persons; of these persons 1,954 were White, 444 identified themselves as Black or African American, 220 were Asian, ten identified themselves as American Indian or American Native, and one identified themselves as Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander. A total of 284 of these persons were identified as Hispanic and 2,620 persons identified themselves as Non-Hispanic. Public improvements and access projects assisted 9,477 persons. Of this total, 8,504 persons were White, 129 persons identified themselves as Black or African American, 592 persons were Asian, and 13 persons identified themselves as American Indian or American Native. A total of 321 of these individuals were identified as Hispanic and 9,156 persons identified themselves as Non-Hispanic.

Two hundred and eight households were assisted through the CDBG housing rehabilitation program. One hundred sixty of these households identified themselves as White, eight identified themselves as Black or African American, and 34 identified themselves as Asian. Two of these households were Hispanic and 206 of the households were Non-Hispanic.

The HOME program assisted a total of 45 households in FY17. The Beals Street project in Brookline, which includes 31 HOME assisted units, was completed in FY17 but is awaiting occupancy. A total of 31 of these HOME assisted households were White, six households were Black or African American, and three households were Asian. Four households identified themselves as Hispanic and 41 households identified themselves as Non-Hispanic.

The ESG program assisted 1,353 persons. A total of 1,010 of these individuals were White, 192 individuals identified themselves as Black or African American, 12 were Asian, 125 were multi-racial, and one identified themselves as Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander. A total of 126 individuals identified themselves as Hispanic and 1,227 individuals identified themselves as Non-Hispanic.

CR-15 - Resources and Investments 91.520(a)

Identify the resources made available

Source of Funds	Source	Resources Made Available	Amount Expended During Program Year
CDBG	Public - federal	\$1,891,318.14	\$1,670,169.67
HOME	Public - federal	\$1,826,859.92	\$1,938,864.34
ESG	Public - federal	\$159,564.40	\$203,924.82

Table 2 - Resources Made Available

Narrative

Adjustments were made in IDIS to the Resources Made Available Table to reflect the receipt of CDBG and HOME program income as well as the correct expenditure of CDBG, HOME and ESG funds in FY17 (FFY16).

Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Target Area	Planned Percentage of Allocation	Actual Percentage of Allocation	Narrative Description
Newton Corner	5.0%	12%	See below

Table 3 – Identify the geographic distribution and location of investments

Narrative

The FY16-20 Consolidated Plan and FY17 Annual Action Plan initially identified five target neighborhoods: Newton Corner, Nonantum, Newtonville, West Newton, and Upper Falls. These designations are based on the geographic boundaries of eligible census block groups that met or surpassed the required Low and Moderate Income threshold as determined by current Low Moderate Income Summary Data. For a neighborhood to be eligible for area-benefit activities, block groups must be comprised of at least 51% of low- and moderate-income residents. This threshold, however, is reduced for Newton, because it contains only two block groups that meet that standard. Instead, Newton is classified as an “exception community” and the existing 64 block groups in the City are divided into quartiles, with the dividing break between the top and second quartile considered the new threshold. Block groups are considered eligible in Newton if comprised of greater than or equal to 30.68% low- and moderate-income residents.

The fifth target neighborhood was created for a newly eligible block group in Upper Falls based on the eligibility determination process as described above. However, project eligibility is two-pronged. The Newton Community Development program uses target neighborhood designations as a way to focus funding on areas that need it most and all projects must be justified to HUD with a service-area calculation. Service area calculations require staff to determine the average low- and moderate-income percentage of all block groups included within a set service area. Typically, service areas fall between a quarter- and a half-mile walking radius. Because of its proximity to neighboring block groups that do not contain a minimum of 30.68% low- and moderate-income residents, the Upper Falls service area has since been removed as an eligible target neighborhood. Funds for neighborhood

improvement projects are distributed among the Newton Corner, Nonantum, Newtonville, and the West Newton target neighborhoods on a rotating basis, with one neighborhood receiving funds each year.

In FY17, \$87,125 was originally allocated towards a project located in the Newton Corner Target Neighborhood. The Newton Corner Pedestrian Safety Project was fully developed and a substantial amendment was made which reprogrammed an additional \$88,431 from the FY16 planned Upper Falls neighborhood improvements and \$32,942.72 from previous Newton Corner funding. A new total of \$208,498.72 was subsequently appropriated to Newton Corner Pedestrian Safety Improvements.

A total of 12 percent of the FY17 CDBG funds, plus prior year resources, was allocated to Neighborhood Improvements in FY17. Newton's housing rehabilitation, housing development, architectural access and public service projects directly benefit low- and moderate-income people and are carried out on a citywide basis.

Leveraging

Explain how federal funds leveraged additional resources (private, state and local funds), including a description of how matching requirements were satisfied, as well as how any publicly owned land or property located within the jurisdiction that were used to address the needs identified in the plan.

The City uses CDBG, ESG and HOME funds to leverage additional resources as a way to expand the impact and increase the number of beneficiaries for each program.

In FY17, public service activities leveraged \$1,842,856.58 in funds from private foundations, organizational fundraising, state agencies, the City, and program fees. Private foundations included: The Perpetual Benevolent Fund, The Rotary Club, Newton, The Agoos Foundation, Friends of JCHE, The Cousens Fund Endowment, The Pomroy and Harmony Foundation, Boston College, The Foundation for Racial, Ethnic and Religious Harmony, The Newton Schools Foundation and The Lawrence and Anne Rubenstein Charitable Foundation. Massachusetts Department of Children and Families, Newton Health and Human Services and the City's Executive Office of Elder Affairs also contributed leveraged funds to several public service projects.

During FY17, the ESG program leveraged \$2,531,228.29 in matching funds. Approximately 94 percent (\$2,370,197) of these matching funds were from state government, 2 percent (\$51,000) were from local government, 2 percent (\$51,755.50) were from other resources and the remaining amount was from were from private funds (\$31,275.79) and other federal resources (\$27,000). Additional detail on the matching funds for the ESG program can be found in Table 28.

The HOME program's match requirement for FY17 was \$484,716.09. The HOME Communities each provided a match contribution in the form of cash, foregone taxes, fees or charges. The Consortium's FY17 total match amount is \$5,217,537. The excess match will be carried over to FY18. Additional detail on the matching funds for the HOME program can be found in Table 5 and Table 6.

Fiscal Year Summary – HOME Match	
1. Excess match from prior Federal fiscal year	\$47,516,418
2. Match contributed during current Federal fiscal year	\$5,217,537
3. Total match available for current Federal fiscal year (Line 1 plus Line 2)	\$52,733,955
4. Match liability for current Federal fiscal year	\$484,716.09
5. Excess match carried over to next Federal fiscal year (Line 3 minus Line 4)	\$52,249,238

Table 4 – Fiscal Year Summary - HOME Match Report

Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year								
Project No. or Other ID	Date of Contribution	Cash (non-Federal sources)	Foregone Taxes, Fees, Charges	Appraised Land/Real Property	Required Infrastructure	Site Preparation, Construction Materials, Donated labor	Bond Financing	Total Match
2932 St. Joseph	9/1/16	\$108,039	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$108,039
BHA MRVP	FY17	\$245,899	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$245,899
3376 Trustman	06/13/17	\$2,678.49	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,678.49
3379 Keeler Farm	5/17/17	\$55,600	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$55,600
3273 Peter Bulkeley	Various	\$246,216	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$246,216
3364 FHDC II	2/1/17	\$45,00	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$201,000	\$0	\$246,000
3306 Tribune	7/19/17		\$989,168	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$989,168
Waltham WLVP	FY17	\$2,001,500						\$2,001,500
Waltham MRVP	FY17	\$190,764						\$190,764
3218 Myrtle	various	\$805,380	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$805,380
3288 Taft	various	\$147,554	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$147,554
3299 Cambria	Various	\$178,739	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$178,739

Table 5 – Match Contribution for the Federal Fiscal Year

HOME Program Income Report

Program Income – Enter the program amounts for the reporting period				
Balance on hand at begin-ning of reporting period	Amount received during reporting period	Total amount expended during reporting period	Amount expended for TBRA	Balance on hand at end of reporting period
\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
\$40,348.97	\$682,865.92	\$119,447.72	\$64,546.45	\$603,767.17

Table 6 – Program Income

HOME MBE/WBE report

Minority Business Enterprises and Women Business Enterprises – Indicate the number and dollar value of contracts for HOME projects completed during the reporting period						
	Total	Minority Business Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	\$246,630					\$246,630
Number	29	0	0	0	0	2
Sub-Contracts						
Number	56	0	0	1	0	55
Dollar Amount	\$14,604,424			\$1,272,246		\$13,332,178
	Total	Women Business Enterprises	Male			
Contracts						
Dollar Amount	1		1			
Number	\$246,630		\$246,630			
Sub-Contracts						
Number	56	7	49			
Dollar Amount	\$14,604,424	\$879,700	\$13,742,724			

Table 7 – Minority Business and Women Business Enterprises

Minority Owners of Rental Property – Indicate the number of HOME assisted rental property owners and the total amount of HOME funds in these rental properties assisted						
	Total	Minority Property Owners				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	36	0	0	0	0	36
Dollar Amount	\$1,938,864	0	0	0	0	\$1,938,864

Table 8 – Minority Owners of Rental Property

Relocation and Real Property Acquisition – Indicate the number of persons displaced, the cost of relocation payments, the number of parcels acquired, and the cost of acquisition		
Parcels Acquired	1	\$165,141.30
Businesses Displaced	0	0
Nonprofit Organizations Displaced	0	0
Households Temporarily Relocated, not Displaced	0	0

Households Displaced	Total	Minority Property Enterprises				White Non-Hispanic
		Alaskan Native or American Indian	Asian or Pacific Islander	Black Non-Hispanic	Hispanic	
Number	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 9 – Relocation and Real Property Acquisition

CR-20 - Affordable Housing 91.520(b)

Evaluation of the jurisdiction's progress in providing affordable housing, including the number and types of families served, the number of extremely low-income, low-income, moderate-income, and middle-income persons served.

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	45	36
Number of Non-Homeless households to be provided affordable housing units	293*	112
Number of Special-Needs households to be provided affordable housing units	17	136
Total	355	284

* 60 of these units are associated with the Belmont Uplands development, which is expected to begin leasing in spring 2016. 12 of these units, to be located at Cushing Village, depend on the developer securing the necessary financing. Three of these units reflect first-time homebuyer participants, and depend on securing homes within their price ranges.

Table 10 – Number of Households

	One-Year Goal	Actual
Number of households supported through Rental Assistance	70	22
Number of households supported through The Production of New Units	203*	10
Number of households supported through Rehab of Existing Units	75	252
Number of households supported through Acquisition of Existing Units	7	0
Total	355	284

* 60 of these units are associated with the Belmont Uplands development, which is expected to begin leasing in spring 2016. 12 of these units, to be located at Cushing Village, depend on the developer securing the necessary financing. Three of these units reflect first-time homebuyer participants, and depend on securing homes within their price ranges.

Table 11 – Number of Households Supported

Discuss the difference between goals and outcomes and problems encountered in meeting these goals.

Newton and Consortium staff cite the following reasons for the differences between the goals identified in the FY17 (FFY16) Annual Action Plan for the number and type of households to be provided housing assistance and the actual outcomes that were achieved in FY17 (FFY16).

In FY17, work on four Newton HOME and CDBG funded housing projects was ongoing and nears completion. These projects will produce a total of 11 affordable rental units and nine affordable homeownership units. Affordable rental units at Cambria Road, Taft Avenue and Curve Street have gone through the lottery and lease up processes. Final requisitions are necessary for these projects to close out in Fall 2017. Additionally, a lottery has been completed for 9 ownership units at Court Street. Those 9 units are currently under contract and are also expected to close in Fall 2017.

Bedford filed a substantial amendment to reallocate funds from rental housing to a TBRA Security Deposit Assistance program. The program successfully assisted five households in FY17.

The Belmont Housing Authority and Belmont Housing Trust continue discussions on opportunities to redevelop the Housing Authority's properties to increase the number of dwelling units and to offer the units to a broader range of household incomes.

Brookline completed three rental projects containing affordable units this past year, two of which are HOME assisted. All 32 units at 86 Dummer Street are affordable, serving incomes between 30% and 80% of AMI. Ten of these units are HOME-assisted units. The 31 units at Beals Street were all tenanted by extremely low-income individuals earning less than 30% AMI. Additionally, 45 Marion Street, a 64-unit 40B development with 13 units affordable to households earning less than 50% of area median income, was also completed. These units did not receive HOME funding. In FY17 Brookline committed HOME funds to a rehabilitation of the Trustman Apartments a state-funded housing authority development. This Housing Preservation activity will be completed in FY18 for 19 HOME assisted units.

While there are no stated accomplishments in the FY16-20 Consolidated Plan for new rental housing, new rental inclusionary zoning units, or homeownership inclusionary zoning units, in FY17 a total of 85 affordable rental units received approval through the Comprehensive Permit (40B) process by the Town of Brookline.

In FY17, the construction of four units at the Concord Housing Authority's Peter Bulkeley Terrace was nearly complete and tenant selection had begun. Project completion and occupancy is expected by December 2017.

There are two ongoing projects in Framingham. The Tribune Apartments, involves the substantial rehabilitation of a 53-unit apartment complex for low-income seniors and persons with disabilities. The development is fully comprised of Section 8 housing for elderly and disabled residents in downtown Framingham. In addition to HOME funds, the \$16 million renovation was

also financed by subsidies from MassHousing, DHCD, MHIC, CEDAC, FHLB and other sources. There will be one HOME-assisted unit in this project.

In FY18, Framingham will use a portion of its FY17 allocation to support a collaboration with South Middlesex Opportunity Council on a comprehensive renovation of a 48-single room occupancy facility with five additional residential spaces, two retail spaces, and two dormitory style rooms. There will be 11 HOME-assisted units in this project.

Lexington has completed the acquisition of Keeler Farm in May 2017 and expects to complete the tenant selection and occupancy in FY18. The property has been completed and the purchase and sale agreement has been executed.

In FY17, Natick HOME funds were utilized to rehabilitate a two-unit house owned by the Natick Housing Authority property. The town has committed HOME funds for a comprehensive rehabilitation of a formerly vacant housing authority owned property. This project will result in 10 units, including five HOME assisted units. This project will be completed in FY18.

The communities of Bedford, Framingham, and Waltham utilize HOME funds to run a Tenant Based Rental Assistance program providing households with security deposit assistance. The programs have proven successful in assisting low and moderate income households secure stable housing. Seventeen households were completed in Waltham this year, with an additional 24 assisted in FY17 that will be completed in FY18.

Waltham and Newton offer TBRA programs that provide ongoing rental assistance. These programs assist in transitioning homeless or households at-risk of homelessness into stable housing. Newton's TBRA program currently has three cases. Waltham initiated TBRA for six families during FY17. Staff work with these clients to ensure that they remain housed, but due to the maximum two-year period of rental assistance provided to clients, the outcome will only be realized as accomplishment when the households no longer receive assistance, in FY18 or FY19.

The Town of Wayland continues to advance the development process of affordable housing on an 8-acre town-owned parcel of land. This project will result in approximately 47 affordable units.

The Towns of Brookline, Belmont and Framingham cited barriers to successfully administering First Time Homebuyer programs. Brookline and Belmont found that although there is a demand for homebuyer assistance, the high-priced real estate market often precludes potential homeowners from finding an appropriately priced home. The City of Framingham has received inquiries about their program, but there were no applications for assistance submitted in FY16.

Discuss how these outcomes will impact future annual action plans.

The HOME Consortium has identified the following additional actions and strategies to effectively implement the goals of the FY16-20 Consolidated Plan.

The Towns of Bedford, Lexington, Sudbury, and Concord will continue to collaborate and pool resources as part of the Regional Housing Services Office (RHSO). The RHSO increases the number and visibility of these smaller communities' affordable housing opportunities by assisting them with program administration, proactive monitoring, project development, and resident assistance.

The Town of Brookline will continue to process applications for Comprehensive Permits (40B) and implement its Inclusionary Zoning Bylaw, which could result in a significant number of new affordable housing units serving households below 50%, 80%, and 100% of AMI over the next several years. While most of these projects are mixed-income and do not contain public subsidies, one project will be 100% affordable and the Town anticipates utilizing HOME funds for this project over the next several years. This project is being developed by the Jewish Community Housing for the Elderly (JCHE) and will contain 62 affordable units for low- and moderate-income seniors. The Town anticipates that eleven of these units will be subsidized with HOME funds.

The Town of Needham is working with the Needham Housing Authority (NHA) to determine how best to address problems concerning the condition of its developments. The Town helped the NHA prepare a Request for Proposals to hire a development consultant to obtain more information on redevelopment and modernization options in order to analyze feasibility and prioritize such work. This input will enable the NHA to determine whether its plans to redevelop the Linden-Chambers project should continue to be its focus. This project would involve the replacement of units in antiquated single-story structures with a denser development that can maintain the affordability of the current extremely low-income elderly and disabled tenants and integrate more units for such households as well as low-income families.

The Belmont HA and Belmont Housing Trust began discussions on opportunities to redevelop the HA's properties to increase the number of dwelling units and to offer the units to a broader range of household incomes. The HA worked with a consultant team to draft concept plans in order to be able to apply for CPA funding that would be used to conduct a feasibility study.

The Town of Wayland will continue its efforts to increase the Town's rental affordable housing stock through the development of the "River's Edge" site, an 8-acre town-owned parcel of land. The development will consist of 188 apartments, 25 percent of which will be affordable.

Additionally, last month the Town of Wayland received two 40B Projects: 24 School Street, a 12 unit rental project and 114 Boston Post Road, a 60 unit rental project. A total of 25 percent or 20 percent of these units will be designated as affordable.

Include the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons served by each activity where information on income by family size is required to determine the eligibility of the activity.

Number of Persons Served	CDBG Actual	HOME Actual
Extremely Low-income	6	24
Low-income	76	14
Moderate-income	101	7
Total	183	45

Table 12 – Number of Persons Served

Narrative

There were two homeowner households and 206 renter households assisted through the CDBG-funded Newton housing rehabilitation program in FY17. Both homeowners were low income, earning 30-50% of the AMI. The Golda Meir rehabilitation project contained 199 rental units, 74 of these households were low-income and 100 of the households were moderate income, earning 50-80% of the AMI. Of the additional seven households assisted, six identified themselves as extremely low-income, earning 0-30% of the AMI, and one identified themselves as moderate-income.

There were 45 households assisted through HOME program in FY17. Bedford’s security deposit program assisted three extremely low-income and two low-income households. Brookline’s project, 86 Dummer Street, produced 10 HOME rental units, three of which were occupied by extremely low-income households and seven by low-income households. The Beals Street project, was also completed by Brookline in FY17 but it is not yet occupied. Framingham’s rehabilitation program assisted two households and through two projects. Two households were low-income renters and one homeowner household was moderate-income. The rehabilitation of 6 Plain Street in Natick, a rental property, assisted one low-income household. Nine public housing units were rehabilitated through Waltham’s 82 Orange Street project. A total of eight of these households were extremely low-income and one household was low-income. Waltham’s TBRA program assisted 17 households, ten extremely low-income, two low-income, and five moderate-income.

CR-25 - Homeless and Other Special Needs 91.220(d, e); 91.320(d, e); 91.520(c)

Evaluate the jurisdiction's progress in meeting its specific objectives for reducing and ending homelessness through:

Reaching out to homeless persons (especially unsheltered persons) and assessing their individual needs

- **Allocate Emergency Solutions Grant funds for a Street Outreach Program**

In FY17 (FFY16), the City allocated \$15,921.17 in Emergency Solutions Grant funding for street outreach within the Brookline Newton Waltham Watertown Service Area. The Community Day Center of Waltham was awarded the grant after expanding their program, and conducts the outreach across the B-N-W-W region. The program seeks out unsheltered individuals and families with the goal of building relationships and connecting them with emergency shelter, housing or critical services and non-facility-based care for those unwilling or unable to access appropriate housing to expedite their exit from homelessness. The Community Day Center assisted approximately 15 individuals during the July 1, 2016- June 30, 2017 program year.

- **Utilize, Evaluate and Adapt the Continuum of Care's Coordinated Entry System**

Midway through FY17, the City of Newton, lead agent of the former Brookline-Newton-Waltham-Watertown Continuum of Care (BNWW CoC), the MA Balance of State (BoS) CoC and the U.S Department of Housing and Urban Development, executed an agreement approving the BNWW CoC's merger with the BoS. The merger provided an opportunity to capitalize on existing administrative resources. Subsequently, BNWW CoC agencies integrated within the BoS Coordinated Entry System. Efforts were undertaken to ensure integration by April 1, 2017, including coordination of training held at Newton City Hall on March 16, 2017 with CoC agencies to discuss the BoS CE process, Vulnerability Assessment tool and referral requirements.

The BoS Coordinated Entry System provides a mechanism for housing the most vulnerable persons first, effectively focusing resources on those with the greatest need. Each provider within the CoC is able to conduct a comprehensive assessment of an individual, make the appropriate referral(s) to efficiently connect them with safe housing and/or the appropriate services, design individualized strategies accordingly and better understand homelessness in the Continuum and across the Commonwealth.

Addressing the emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless persons

- **Carefully evaluate Shelter Services Allocation from the Emergency Solutions Grant**

In FY17, the CoC utilized ESG funds for various shelter services, including those for survivors of domestic violence, and men's and women's overnight shelters. There is also one existing day shelter in Waltham that provides warmth, food, case management, counseling, legal services and referrals to appropriate service providers. The Pine Street Inn runs several projects within the CoC and has an experienced outreach team that engages those choosing not to utilize a shelter and provides the individuals with referral information, food, clothing, hygiene products, blankets, etc. Over the course of the July 1, 2016 - June 30, 2017 program year, \$79,056.36 was expended for emergency shelter services out of FY16 (FFY15) and FY17 (FFY16) ESG funds.

The need for emergency assistance remains present in the CoC. An additional 327 individuals were counted in the BNWW region during the 2017 Point-in-Time (PIT) Census, with 293 individuals housed in DHCD-funded Emergency Assistance (EA) motel overflow beds. While considering the current demand for emergency shelter services, the Housing and Community Development Division continues to evaluate the appropriation of Emergency Solutions Grant funds against rapid rehousing and homeless prevention components of the ESG program, which are more in-line with the CoC's Ten-Year Plan and HUD goals and objectives. Although there is a clear need and benefit of providing the shelter services, the BoS and City of Newton, continue to support programs that create housing stability and economic mobility as a means to end the need for shelters.

- **Issue additional tenant based vouchers**

The Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) program has traditionally assisted families at or below 60% of the AMI, with rental vouchers and support services directed toward families experiencing homelessness. Inaugurated in FY15 (FFY14), the Newton's TBRA program has assisted income-eligible households living in the Commonwealth's overflow emergency shelters and working with the Metropolitan Boston Housing Partnership (MBHP) to be successfully placed in rental homes. The program was predicated on the goal of coupling affordable housing with supportive services geared toward guiding economic self-sufficiency. One new household was selected for assistance during FY17 (FFY16), with the program now supporting a total of three households.

Helping low-income individuals and families avoid becoming homeless, especially extremely low-income individuals and families and those who are: likely to become homeless after being discharged from publicly funded institutions and systems of care (such as health care facilities, mental health facilities, foster care and other youth facilities, and corrections programs and institutions); and, receiving assistance from public or private agencies that address housing, health, social services, employment, education, or youth needs

Creating and preserving permanent affordable housing that integrates supportive services often helps individuals and families with the greatest need. During FY18 (FFY17), the City took steps to advance the Housing Strategy which defines the actions necessary to enable Newton to reach its goal of achieving a minimum of 10% of Newton's housing stock affordable to low- and moderate-income households by 2021. This includes substantial progress to the development of nine to twelve units of non-age restricted permanent supportive housing for chronically homeless adults with disabilities. The Newton City Council has approved the disposition and rezoning of municipally owned land 160 R Stanton Avenue. The City has subsequently released a Request for Proposals (RFP) for the parcel's sale and redevelopment.

Additionally, the City's ESG program appropriately targets homeless prevention and rapid re-housing programs to provide vulnerable individuals and families with critical resources to avoid crisis and stabilize. ESG recipients and CoC providers work closely with the MA Department of Children and Families (DCF) and the MA Department of Mental Health (DMH) on discharge policies for youth and clients of DMH. Brookline Community Mental Health Center develops individualized service plans and transition plans for Transition to Independent Living Program participants (ages 16-22). Youth are routinely discharged to reunify with their families or to another housing option if the youth's age permits or if reunification is not possible.

The BoS is also a key partner in fostering relationships with facilities potentially discharging participants to homelessness, establishing a far-wider continuum and network of services. These services range from safety and basic needs at the emergency shelter level, to case management and daily living skills at the transitional and supportive housing stage, and finally to sustainable community integration through permanent housing.

Helping homeless persons (especially chronically homeless individuals and families, families with children, veterans and their families, and unaccompanied youth) make the transition to permanent housing and independent living, including shortening the period of time that individuals and families experience homelessness, facilitating access for homeless individuals and families to affordable housing units, and preventing individuals and families who were recently homeless from becoming homeless again

- ***Develop of a Housing Strategy to identify sites for permanent supportive housing***

Following the directive of the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness (USICH) and the BoS's Ten-Year Plan to End Homelessness, the City's Department of Planning and Development focused new efforts on developing permanent affordable housing integrated with supportive services. The Housing Strategy, completed in 2016, identified potential sites and strategies for the development of permanently affordable housing.

As part of this process, the City selected 0.39-acre parcel that could potentially accommodate nine to twelve units of permanent supportive housing for chronically homeless individuals with disabilities, in accordance with a HUD Conciliation Agreement dated May 12, 2015. The City Council has approved the disposition of the property, subject to certain financial terms and pre-conditions. Additionally, the parcel, located in a Public Use District, has also been rezoned to Multi Residence 3, in order to facilitate affordable housing development. As of August 31, 2017, an RFP seeking a developer to implement the parcel's redevelopment has been released with proposals due October 5, 2017.

- ***Prioritize of existing beds for chronically homeless individuals***

CoC recipients of federal McKinney-Vento Act competition funds continue to prioritize existing permanent supportive housing beds for chronically homeless individuals upon turnover whenever possible. Participating organizations include: Pine Street Inn, Advocates, and Vinfen. Twelve of these beds are located within Newton.

- ***Utilize Emergency Solutions Grants for Homeless Prevention and Rapid Rehousing***

The Division utilizes ESG funding for rapid rehousing and homeless prevention services within the CoC to reduce the number of individuals and families who are evicted from housing and ensure that those displaced find new housing quickly. These funds not only provided the financial resources to keep at-risk households housed, but were also central in providing as the supportive services to help stabilize the household and ensure successful tenancies. During the July 1, 2016-

June 30, 2017 program year, \$81,279 was expended out of FY14, FY16 and FY17 ESG funds towards homelessness prevention. An additional \$25,602.44 was expended out of FY16 and FY17 funds for rapid re-housing assistance.

- ***Utilize existing resources and build relationship with mainstream housing providers***

Over the past year, the City has worked with non-profit and for-profit developers to identify new opportunities for housing development and collaboration. Efforts are underway on a project geared toward developing eight units of affordable in partnership with CAN-DO, MetroWest and the Barry Price Center.

As mentioned above, the City has also begun the formal disposition process of underutilized municipally-owned land with the release of an RFP to reuse and reactivate the parcel in order to create nine to twelve units of permanent supportive housing for chronically homeless individuals with disabilities. Considering the significant need in Newton for affordable housing, this site presented a unique opportunity to contribute meaningfully to the City's affordable housing stock. The RFP outlines the need for a housing developer to demolish the site's existing water tower and implement the parcel's redevelopment.

Create resources and referrals for at risk individuals and families.

Following the merger of the BNWW CoC with the BoS CoC, efforts were quickly undertaken to ensure integration into the BoS Coordinated Entry System. Comprised of over 80 communities, the BoS provided the BNWW CoC with access to the many agencies already established within the BoS. The merger effectively maximizes and expands existing regional resources to better connect at-risk individuals and families with information, referrals and assistance.

CR-30 - Public Housing 91.220(h); 91.320(j)

Actions taken to address the needs of public housing

In accordance with its goal to develop additional affordable housing in the City of Newton, the Newton Housing Authority (NHA) is in the process of developing 42 one-bedroom units for elders with funding anticipated from the LIHTC program, Newton Community Preservation Act funds and CDBG.

The City works with the NHA through the housing rehabilitation program to address accessibility improvements and emergency repairs. In FY17 (FFY16) the City commenced work on the NHA's Horace Mann Development at Walker Street to install two automatic door openers at both the front and rear of a unit to allow for wheelchair access in one of the property's common areas. The NHA's application for accessibility modifications to a bathroom at 23 Walker Street was approved during FY17.

During FY17, the NHA submitted two applications for grants through the CDBG housing rehabilitation program. One grant was for accessibility improvements to sidewalks at the NHA's 515 Walnut Street property. The other application was for an automatic door opener at 239 Watertown Street, an NHA property.

Finally, the NHA is finalizing an application for funding through the CDBG program to finance the acquisition and perform major rehabilitation to a two-family home located at 83-85 West Street. The project is a collaboration with the Newton Housing Authority Planning and Development Department to create two units of affordable rental housing.

The WestMetro HOME Consortium communities work with their Housing Authorities (HA) to support the preservation and production of affordable housing. All HAs experienced high demand across all programs with waiting lists stretching multiple years in the future. Detailed information on HOME funded HA projects is included in the Appendix.

Many HAs continue to look for creative ways to increase the supply of affordable housing and preserve the existing public housing in their communities. Three strategies employed in Consortium communities are described below:

- Reuse of underutilized property.

The Peter Bulkeley Project in Concord and two Natick Housing Authority projects are bringing new units on-line as a result of reuse of existing buildings. The Dummer Street project in

Brookline sited new housing on an underutilized HA parking lot.

The Newton HA began planning to create additional housing on an underutilized HA property. The project will create 32 permanently affordable one-bedroom rental units for seniors. Sixteen of the units will be designated for homeless. The Belmont HA and Belmont Housing Trust continue discussions on opportunities to redevelop the HA's properties to increase the number of dwelling units and to offer the units to a broader range of household incomes. The Town of Needham has been working with the Needham HA on plans for redeveloping its Linden-Chambers project by funding preliminary feasibility work.

- Leverage HOME funds with other resources.

Many communities support HA projects by leveraging HOME funds with state, Community Preservation Act, and other town funds. The Concord, Natick and Brookline projects described above utilize non-HOME funding to fund projects.

The Town of Natick, through its Affordable Housing Trust, coordinated with the Natick HA in committing \$166,350 in HOME funds to the rehabilitation of the Coolidge House in Natick Center. The Coolidge House includes 18 Single Room Occupancy units to be converted to ten one-bedroom affordable rental units. The DHCD provided the balance of the construction costs, a sum of \$638,645 in matching funds. The Natick Affordable Housing Trust is also working with the Natick HA to rehabilitate a two-family house at 6 Plain Street. The DHCD awarded the Natick HA \$330,000 in High Leverage Asset Preservation Program funding to provide the balance of construction costs. Work on Plain Street started in FY16, with \$31,000 in HOME funds spent on initial design work.

- Utilize CDBG Funds to support public housing.

Entitlement communities in the Consortium also utilize CDBG funds to support rehabilitation and capital improvement projects. In FY16, Brookline committed \$250,000 in CDBG funds to assist the Brookline HA with capital improvements. Newton CDBG housing rehabilitation program funds are available to the Newton HA for accessibility improvements and emergency maintenance. In FY16, the Waltham HA received \$9,148.35 in CDBG funds to update a community room in one of its developments.

Actions taken to encourage public housing residents to become more involved in management and participate in homeownership

Currently the Newton Housing Authority does not have any homeownership programs. The NHA Board of Commissioners is comprised of five individuals, one of which is a tenant of the Authority. The NHA also has a Resident Advisory Board for federal housing programs.

Needham HA has continued to reinvigorate the tenant groups. These groups create a communication channel to the administration and empower residents by giving them a role in decision-making. The Needham HA established Resident Advisory Boards for its Seabeds Way and Captain Robert Cook Drive developments which had input into the HA's Five-Year Strategic Plan and recent emergency repair issues. Through a recent election, the Needham HA has also been able to bring a tenant representative on to its Board.

In Waltham, residents may participate in the management and operations of the Waltham HA. The Waltham HA is required to have one of its residents sit on its Board of Governors. That person has one of five votes to determine policy and procedures for the Waltham HA and represents residents' interests in the decision-making process. Additionally, the Waltham HA maintains a Resident Advisory Board, comprised of Federal Public Housing residents and Section 8 participants. This Board meets twice a year to discuss proposed capital improvement projects and the application of federal funds. At these meetings, the residents have an opportunity to make proposals regarding the use of funds for the needs of the residents. Finally, the Board of Governors provides an opportunity for residents to address the Board at monthly meetings by setting aside time on the agenda for a discussion of resident issues.

Actions taken to provide assistance to troubled PHAs

N/A

CR-35 - Other Actions 91.220(j)-(k); 91.320(i)-(j)

Actions taken to remove or ameliorate the negative effects of public policies that serve as barriers to affordable housing such as land use controls, tax policies affecting land, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limitations, and policies affecting the return on residential investment. 91.220 (j); 91.320 (i)

Newton has continued to advance the Housing Strategy document, Housing Needs Analysis and Strategic Recommendations completed in June 2016 to address current and projected housing needs within the City. The report identified critical policy, regulatory and financial approaches to help the City become more efficient and effective in addressing these needs.

As in many other communities throughout greater Boston, one of the biggest challenges to the development of affordable housing in Newton is the Zoning Ordinance. In addressing such challenges, two policy recommendations pertain to the Accessory Apartment section of the Zoning Ordinance and revisions to Inclusionary Zoning.

Accessory apartments are often more affordable to rent because they tend to be smaller, but the process for approval of these units is complicated and typically requires a special permit. Recently the City's Zoning Ordinance was amended to streamline the permitting of illegal accessory apartments which can be proven to be pre-existing from 1999, if they can be made code compliant. In April 2017 the City adopted the Accessory Apartment Ordinance which allows internal accessory apartments by right in all Newton single and two-family homes. Allowing accessory apartments is one way to modestly increase the supply of affordable units and create opportunities for seniors to remain in place as they age.

During FY18 (FFY17), the City began pursuing amendments to the existing Inclusionary Zoning Ordinance to further leverage private development in its ongoing efforts to create affordable housing. The Newton Planning Department is currently developing revisions to the Ordinance and exploring various options that may successfully work within a variety of project types, scales and sizes, as well as other strategies including more flexible income targets as a way to reach a wider range of lower and middle income households. Newton also is working on parking and transportation strategies, which both investigate the necessity to reevaluate parking requirements for housing units.

In addition to pursuing amendments to the Inclusionary Zoning Ordinance, the City initiated regulatory changes in FY17 through the City's Council's Zoning Redesign Project. Zoning Redesign began in 2011 and Phase One of the project was completed in 2015. The initial phase successfully reorganized the existing ordinance, making it easier to understand and interpret.

Phase Two of the Zoning Redesign Project will create a new, context-based zoning ordinance that provides guidance and rules for the development and redevelopment of land in Newton. Context-based means the new zoning will sustain the City's existing development forms, reflect the building patterns of Newton's different neighborhoods and village centers, and allow new growth to occur in appropriate places and at appropriate scales. The Zoning Redesign Project intends to bring more predictability to land use regulation and the permitting process.

Belmont worked to increase production of affordable housing through approval of both special permit and comprehensive permit projects. These projects will create 72 units of affordable rental housing.

The Town of Brookline serves income-qualified renters by working with developers of rental properties obligated to provide units under the Town's inclusionary zoning requirements or through Chapter 40B. In FY16, a 64-unit mixed-income rental project was constructed at 45 Marion Street. This project contains 13 units affordable to households earning less than 50% of area median income. Nine 40B projects were submitted to the Town of Brookline in FY16 with the potential of the development of more than 200 units of affordable rental housing.

In FY17, Concord continued work on developing zoning and other local development incentives to promote the inclusion of affordable units in new developments. Under existing local zoning incentives, three multi-unit developments, all including new affordable units, made progress this year.

The Town of Needham has implemented several strategies to help address barriers to affordable housing, including new zoning for senior housing and a Mixed-Use Overlay District. Mandates within each of these zoning districts ensure that a percentage of any units developed will be affordable. Two 40B projects were approved in Needham in FY16. The first project, 2nd Avenue Residences, will provide 98 new affordable rental units. The other project is a large rental development, Modera Needham, that will include a total of 136 units, 34 of which will be affordable.

In FY17 Watertown changed the zoning in one of the Industrial zones to allow assisted living by special permit. Watertown's 2015 Comprehensive Plan recognizes the aging of the Town's population and the need for more assisted living facilities in the future.

Actions taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The City met or surpassed expected outcomes in its human services, supportive services and

architectural access goals. Actions and strategies to position the City and the WestMetro HOME Consortium to continue to address these goals as well as the other goals in the FY16-20 Consolidated Plan are identified in CR-20: Affordable Housing and CR-45: CDBG.

During FY17, funding was allocated to various projects designed to provide direct services, remove architectural barriers, improve accessibility and facilitate neighborhood improvements for low-and-moderate income individuals, families and traditionally underserved populations. Efforts include such projects as Newton Corner Pedestrian Safety Improvements. The scope of this project encompasses pedestrian safety improvements necessary to address public needs and concerns within the area. The project is underway in an attempt to slow traffic and increase pedestrian safety for individuals with disabilities.

Division staff also meets regularly with the Commission on Disability for recommendations concerning proposed CDBG-funded accessibility improvement projects. The commission recommends high priority areas for audible pedestrian systems, curb cuts, public infrastructure and parks that are in need of removal of architectural barriers and accessibility improvements. In the past program year, the commission assisted division staff by offering recommendations for curb cut and accessible pedestrian signal locations; they also assisted with recommendations for projects with accessibility needs such as with Highlands Playground, Newton Corner, and the Carroll Center for the Blind.

Actions taken to reduce lead-based paint hazards. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Newton's housing rehabilitation program currently uses CDBG funding to provide grants to income-eligible homeowners to remove lead-based paint, asbestos and other health hazards. The program also offers these homeowners zero-interest loans to fix building and safety code violations. The rehabilitation program was expanded in FY16 to offer assistance to income-eligible homeowners to bring pre-existing accessory apartments up to appropriate health and safety standards.

The WestMetro HOME Consortium ensures that all HOME-assisted projects comply with applicable requirements of the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act, 42 U.S.C. 4821, et. seq.; Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992 and all future revisions and amendments, as well as, comply with such Lead-Based Paint regulations as may be adopted pursuant to HOME Program regulations and with the requirements of the Massachusetts Lead Paint Statute, M.G.L. c.111, ss190-199A.

Actions taken to reduce the number of poverty-level families. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

Housing and Community Development Division staff administers the City's Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME), and Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) programs. The funds from these federal programs are used locally for programs and projects that target and provide the maximum benefit to extremely low- and moderate-income persons. The services provided include rental assistance, housing and relocation stabilization services, and emergency shelter, among other critical support services.

The City works closely with human services whose programs benefit low-to-moderate income residents and provide a critical network of supports to assist in moving vulnerable individuals and families out of poverty. Having adapted the Brookings Institution's "Benchmarks for Success" model in the implementation of its human service program, the City seeks proposals that support interventions focused on advancing low-to-moderate income populations toward the achievement of economic mobility and stability across the lifespan. The model outlines eight key benchmarks which correlate with a higher probability of becoming a successful, self-sufficient adult.

Economic Mobility Pathways (EMPath), formerly known as Crittenton Women's Union, was a newly funded agency for the City. Although the agency is based out of Boston, their program assists eight participants from the Newton Housing Authority. Their 5-year Career Family Opportunity Program (CFO) and "mobility mentoring" framework, promotes and fosters economic independence and self-sufficiency by providing intensive, long-term support aimed at disrupting the cycle of poverty. The CFO takes a comprehensive and personalized approach and provides ongoing support and tools to assist each family in reaching their career, education and financial goals.

In FY17, the Brookline, Newton, Watertown, Waltham Continuum of Care (CoC), newly merged with the MA Balance of State (CoC) collaborated to ensure the support services were well targeted, especially for chronically homeless persons within the geographic area. The CoC continued implementation of strategies designed to promote community-wide support to end homelessness. The strategies include: the provision of homeless prevention, housing for homeless and chronically homeless individuals and families, supportive services; engagement of key stakeholders and street outreach.

Actions taken to develop institutional structure. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

During FY17, efforts to strengthen the relationships with city departments, stakeholder groups, community organizations and City partners were ongoing. The City continues to review ways to revise its citizen participation plan in order to strengthen collaboration with low- and moderate-income persons and neighborhoods. Housing and Community Development Division (the Division) staff continued to work with the Fair Housing Committee in order to ensure fair and adequate housing options and develop training modules and opportunities. Division staff also meets with the Commission on Disability on a monthly basis to identify accessibility needs and projects for funding, as well as report on ongoing accessibility improvements. The Planning and Development Board regularly reviews funding recommendations for the CDBG and HOME programs, that are developed between staff and the advisory committees, in making final funding recommendations to the Mayor. This system provides a strong link between the City and its citizens, nonprofit organizations, business owners and other community agencies.

Community and regional relationships are critical to streamlining housing development, leveraging project funds and meeting community needs. Division staff forged a closer relationship with the Newton Housing Authority, meeting regularly to prioritize projects and public housing needs. City staff continues collaborative efforts with nonprofit housing organizations, state agencies, and housing developers to increase affordable housing opportunities in Newton. As the lead community for the WestMetro HOME Consortium, Newton also works with representatives from member communities to work on administrative matters and to exchange project ideas and information. The City partners with these aforementioned entities, and state and federal-level agencies, to enhance the coordination of services and to leverage additional funding to better assist low- and moderate-income residents.

During FY17, the City of Newton, lead agent of the former Brookline-Newton-Waltham-Watertown Continuum of Care (BNWW CoC), the MA Balance of State (BoS) CoC and the U.S Department of Housing and Urban Development, executed an agreement approving the BNWW CoC's merger with the BoS. This merger capitalizes on existing administrative resources. The CoC meets on a regular basis throughout the year to exchange information, best practices and forge partnerships in developing strategies to end and reduce homelessness within BoS communities.

Actions taken to enhance coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies. 91.220(k); 91.320(j)

The Housing and Community Development Division (the Division) has focused on developing and managing strong partnerships and relationships in order to enhance coordination between service providers. As mentioned above, strengthened collaboration with organizations like the Newton Housing Authority, human service providers, housing providers and regional entities, play a critical role in ensuring the cost-effective, efficient delivery of services to the public.

Since a number of housing rehabilitation applicants are economically disadvantaged, elderly, and/or in need of social services, Division staff work in close consultation with the Newton Senior Center, the community social worker, the Newton Hoarding Task Force and the Cousens Fund. The Hoarding Task Force is comprised of the Department of Public Health, the Fire Department, Police Department, Newton Housing Authority, Health and Human Services, Senior Center, and Child Protective Services. Together, this task force has established a protocol to ensure that cases are referred to the appropriate departments and more effectively serve the impacted clients.

Identify actions taken to overcome the effects of any impediments identified in the jurisdictions analysis of impediments to fair housing choice. 91.520(a)

In FY17 (FFY16) the City hosted a forum entitled “Is Fair Housing Still Relevant? Current Issues and Enforcement Activities”. The City, MetroWest Consortium and Regional Housing Service Office, and the Consortiums Fair Housing Commission worked with an consulting firm to create a training module on compliance entitled “Fair Housing Compliance: Considerations for Land Use and Planning Decisions.” The Fair Housing Committee also collaborated with Division staff to disseminate public information on fair housing, and reviewed and forwarded several letters informing and challenging developers on incoming affordable housing development projects.

In FY17 the WestMetro HOME Consortium continued to advance the actions and strategies identified in the WestMetro HOME Consortium Regional Fair Housing Plan. In FY16, the Consortium created a Fair Housing Committee which will monitor progress on implementing actions and strategies that are identified each year, as well as, provide guidance for the Consortium communities on the actions and goals identified for each community.

Each community in the consortium also works individually to promote fair housing and overcome impediments.

In FY16, Bedford participated in the consortium wide training sessions and in turn provided fair housing training to the Bedford Housing Partnership.

In Framingham, many of the Fair Housing Committee's meetings and workshops focused on accessibility in FY17. City staff created and presented a primer on the Fair Housing Act, specifically on Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Americans with Disabilities Act. A consultant also presented on the housing needs of older adults and affirmatively promoting housing choice. In addition, the Committee hosted a presentation on fair housing and land use for staff, officials, local boards, and the community. The Committee issued fair housing recommendations to two national developers introducing major housing project proposals in Framingham.

Needham continues to provide additional outreach on housing issues, maintain information on the town website and distribute a fair housing brochure throughout the community, including real estate agencies. Both the website and brochure include information and links related to fair housing.

The Waltham Housing Division hosted a fair housing training in FY16, sponsored by the Fair Housing Center of Greater Boston, for City employees and committee members. The training focused on funding sources and their requirements under fair housing law. In FY16, Waltham staff also attended a fair housing training, sponsored by the Regional Housing and Services Office, which addressed affirmative marketing and waitlists.

Watertown Community Development & Planning staff, Council on Aging, Housing Authority, Watertown for All Ages, and several local service organizations sponsored an informational forum on May 4, 2017 dealing with senior housing options in Watertown. The forum was attended by more than 100 people, with a resources fair and panelists on topics including public housing, senior affordable housing, senior living communities, in-home services, and accessibility strategies to help seniors stay in their home. This forum helped to implement key recommendations in Watertown's 2014 Housing Production Plan and the 2015 Comprehensive Plan.

CR-40 - Monitoring 91.220 and 91.230

Describe the standards and procedures used to monitor activities carried out in furtherance of the plan and used to ensure long-term compliance with requirements of the programs involved, including minority business outreach and the comprehensive planning requirements

Please refer to the Appendix for the monitoring policies and procedures of the City and WestMetro HOME Consortium.

In FY17 Newton Housing staff monitored all HOME funded First Time Homebuyer projects. Housing staff are in the process of monitoring all CDBG and HOME-funded rental projects in Newton.

The City of Newton is the lead entity of the 13-member WestMetro HOME Consortium. The Consortium communities are monitored by FinePoint Associates, a private firm that provides monitoring services and technical assistance to the members on an annual basis. In FY16, FinePoint monitoring HOME-funded activities (including Newton projects) that were identified through the WestMetro HOME Consortium's Risk-based Monitoring Plan. The Monitoring identified some minor issues and Finepoint and the City will work to assist communities to ensure compliance with all HOME regulations. Finally, the City's independent auditors initiated financial and compliance testing of the HOME and CDBG project files in FY16, the audit was completed and there were no significant findings.

Although only a minimum of ten percent of all human service projects must be monitored each year, fifty percent of the Newton human service portfolio was monitored during FY17. A risk assessment is prepared annually that takes into account the timing of the last monitoring visit performed, whether the project is new, staff turnover, previous concerns and any necessary follow-up, inconsistent reporting or requisitions or the agencies' ability to meet projected outputs and outcomes over the course of the program year. Two of the eight monitored projects were found to be out of compliance with City of Newton and HUD CDBG human service program regulations. Official notification was sent to these agencies requiring mandatory payback of CDBG funds based on the proportion of low-to-moderate income served by the respective activity undertaken.

Citizen Participation Plan 91.105(d); 91.115(d)

Describe the efforts to provide citizens with reasonable notice and an opportunity to comment on performance reports.

The draft Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) was posted to the City's website on September 20, 2017. It will also be presented at the Annual Performance Public Hearing on October 2, 2017 during the Planning and Development Board meeting.

Hard copies of the CAPER will be made available to the public throughout the 15-day comment period (October 2 through October 16, 2017). In addition, notice of the draft CAPER's availability and the date of the Annual Performance Hearing was included in the Planning and Development Department's weekly report that is distributed digitally to several hundred recipients. A notice for the public hearing was published in the Newton TAB, as well as in the newspapers of the twelve other WestMetro HOME Consortium communities during the week of September 17, 2017.

CR-45 - CDBG 91.520(c)

Specify the nature of, and reasons for, any changes in the jurisdiction's program objectives and indications of how the jurisdiction would change its programs as a result of its experiences.

The CDBG goals for the period covered by the FY16-20 Consolidated Plan include: production of new affordable units, rehabilitation of housing, increase awareness of fair housing policies and practices, provision of human services, provision of human services specific to age in place, implementation of improvements to target neighborhoods and implementation of architectural accessibility improvements. In FY17, the City made progress executing these goals through the completion and ongoing work of activities identified in section CR-05: Goals and Outcomes. Over the last year, strategic changes in the neighborhood improvement and economic development were made by the City to better position the CDBG program to advance these goals.

Newton Corner, Nonantum, Newtonville, West Newton, and Upper Falls were the original five target neighborhoods identified in the FY16-20 Consolidated Plan. Funds for neighborhood improvement projects were traditionally distributed among the target neighborhoods on a rotating basis, with one neighborhood receiving funds each year. The Upper Falls neighborhood, scheduled to receive funding in FY16, was determined to be ineligible because the project's service area did not reach the required threshold for meeting the Low Moderate Income Area benefit. Subsequently, the Upper Falls neighborhood was eliminated and the funding reprogrammed to the Newton Corner Pedestrian Safety Project within the Newton Corner target neighborhood.

Once the Newton Corner Pedestrian Safety Project was fully developed, a substantial amendment was made reprogramming \$88,431 from the FY16 planned Upper Falls neighborhood improvements and \$32,942.72 from previous Newton Corner funding, adding to the \$87,125 that was originally allocated towards the project. A new total of \$208,498.72 was subsequently appropriated to Newton Corner Pedestrian Safety Improvements.

Additionally, Newton discontinued the CDBG funded economic development program during FY17 and reprogrammed remaining funds to the reconstruction of a dilapidated and unsafe retaining wall in Pellegrini Park within a Nonantum neighborhood. The economic development program had focused on responding to the need for job training and job creation in the current economy. The FY16-20 Consolidated Plan proposed that CAN-DO, Newton's CHDO/CBDO, would develop and run an employment opportunity program to include job training and employment consistent with HUD regulations. The City collaborated with CAN-DO to structure this program,

but the regulatory requirements associated with job retention and creation presented a barrier to success.

The Division continued to work with its first, full-time ADA Coordinator, hired In May 2015. This position staffs the Commission on Disability, which provides project recommendations for the CDBG-funded architectural access program. The ADA Coordinator is also a valuable resource for ensuring that CDBG access projects comply with state and federal accessibility regulations and guidelines.

CR-50 - HOME 91.520(d)

Include the results of on-site inspections of affordable rental housing assisted under the program to determine compliance with housing codes and other applicable regulations

To be completed

**Provide an assessment of the jurisdiction's affirmative marketing actions for HOME units.
92.351(b)**

The WestMetro HOME Consortium's Affirmative Marketing Plan is utilized by all communities to guide the marketing process and requirements for all HOME-assisted units. The Plan was developed to align with the requirements of the 24 CFR 92.350 HOME regulations. In addition, many of the affordable units are developed under the M.G.L. 40B Guidelines and are subject to the stringent requirements of the state run program.

Each community's approach and oversight varies. For example in Bedford, the Bedford Housing Partnership approves all marketing plans for developments with affordable units for compliance with the appropriate regulations. For all communities, a large component of the marketing plan requires the developer to specifically list all of the methods they will use to affirmatively market the units. Brookline utilizes an extensive list of outreach methods required for affirmatively marketing new affordable units to ensure that persons in the housing market who are not likely to apply for housing in Brookline have access to the information. This includes advertising in local minority newspapers, and direct mailings to local community organizations, churches and synagogues as well as email distribution to a listserve of over 4,000 households interested in affordable housing opportunities in Brookline.

In Framingham the two developers with active HOME rental units have affirmative marketing plans that are either finalized or in process of finalization. The Framingham Housing Authority is in the midst of updating its plan, while the Jewish Community Housing for the Elderly has a plan in circulation among residents of its facility.

In an effort to diversify its current roster of contractors and vendors, the Framingham Community Development Department hosted the Commonwealth of Massachusetts Operational Services Division's minority-contracting event. Held in the Fall of 2016, the event allowed 80 certified minority- and women- owned enterprises to learn about growth opportunities for their businesses. Whenever possible, the town will continue to support efforts that connect minority and women enterprises to available opportunities.

The City of Waltham requires developers who offer affordable units through the special permit

process to contract with an approved lottery consultant to ensure that affirmative marketing procedures are in place. These procedures include minority outreach and a fair lottery process to select renters or buyers. This process is approved through the Massachusetts Department of Housing and Community Development. The City also requires a Minority Business Enterprise/Women's Business Enterprise (MBE/MWE) Report Form for each project as part of HOME and CDBG regulatory agreements. The City will continue to encourage the inclusion of minority and women owned enterprises in HOME and CDBG funded activities, consistent with 24 CFR 92.350.

Watertown had a potential income-eligible resale of a HOME-assisted two-bedroom unit at 39 Grant Avenue. Unfortunately, even with two rounds of aggressive marketing by Metro West Collaborative Development on behalf of the Town, the unit was sold at market rate. Funds were recaptured in accordance with the Town's policies.

Data on the amount and use of program income for projects, including the number of projects and owner and tenant characteristics

HOME program income is accounted for in two ways. First, each community in the Consortium has exclusive use of their program income for projects within their community. Some circumstances have led to communities relinquishing program income funds which are then made available consortium wide and allocated through an RFP process. The projects that were completed in FY17 that program income was allocated for include:

- Brookline: 85 Dummer Street, \$64,216.41 in Program Income
- Brookline: 51-57 Beals Street, \$135,369.11 in Program Income
- Framingham: 27 Coburn Street, \$11,485.30 in Program Income
- Waltham: 82 Orange Street, \$163,238.16 in Program Income

Separately, the IDIS system requires that program income be expended as it is received and replace entitlement funding for the project. Please refer to the Appendix for a table showing these expenditures.

Describe other actions taken to foster and maintain affordable housing. 91.220(k) (STATES ONLY: Including the coordination of LIHTC with the development of affordable housing). 91.320(j)

Many communities within the WestMetro HOME Consortium actively support the preservation of existing affordable housing. This is supported through HOME assisted rehabilitation of state owned public housing and through the implementation of Tenant Based Rental Assistance

programs. HOME funds were also used to support projects with Low-Income Housing Tax Credits in both Framingham and Brookline. Framingham, Waltham, Brookline and Newton utilize CDBG funding to assist in rehabilitation and capital improvements of affordable housing properties.

Many other actions that communities are taking to foster affordable housing production can be found in Section CR-35: Public Housing.

CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)

ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps*

For Paperwork Reduction Act

1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete

Basic Grant Information

Recipient Name	NEWTON
Organizational DUNS Number	076576826
EIN/TIN Number	046001404
Identify the Field Office	BOSTON
Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance	Boston CoC

ESG Contact Name

Prefix	Mrs
First Name	RACHEL
Middle Name	0
Last Name	POWERS
Suffix	0
Title	Community Development Programs Manager

ESG Contact Address

Street Address 1	1000 Commonwealth Avenue
Street Address 2	0
City	Newton
State	MA
ZIP Code	02459-
Phone Number	6177961125
Extension	0
Fax Number	6177961142
Email Address	rpowers@newtonma.gov

ESG Secondary Contact

Prefix	Mrs
First Name	DANIELLE
Last Name	BAILEY
Suffix	0
Title	Grants Manager
Phone Number	6177961156
Extension	0

Email Address

dbailey@newtonma.gov

2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 07/01/2016

Program Year End Date 06/30/2017

3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: NEWTON

City: Newton Centre

State: MA

Zip Code: 02459, 1449

DUNS Number: 076576826

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 0

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: BROOKLINE COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTER

City: Brookline

State: MA

Zip Code: 02445, 4445

DUNS Number: 097444186

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 59665

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Middlesex Human Service Agency, Inc.

City: Waltham

State: MA

Zip Code: 02453, 8509

DUNS Number: 170557474

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 15900

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: REACH Beyond Domestic Violence, Inc.

City: Waltham

State: MA

Zip Code: 02454, 0024

DUNS Number: 781777412

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 12666

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: The Second Step

City: Newton

State: MA

Zip Code: 02460, 0002

DUNS Number: 028016942

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: Y

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 27034

Subrecipient or Contractor Name: Community Day Center of Waltham, Inc.

City: Waltham

State: MA

Zip Code: 02454, 1066

DUNS Number: 791377612

Is subrecipient a victim services provider: N

Subrecipient Organization Type: Other Non-Profit Organization

ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount: 32005.17

CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

10. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	26,645
Total Number of bed-nights provided	26,099
Capacity Utilization	98%

Table 13 – Shelter Capacity

11. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

Housing and Community Development Division staff reviews all the responses to the RFP for ESG funding and uses data about proposed outcomes and past performance, when available, to determine whether to recommend funding for a particular program. In addition, the City recently adopted the Brookings Institute’s “Benchmarks for Success.” Staff have been working to create and implement a framework to focus city-controlled social service funding in areas and on programs that will substantially assist low- and moderate-income residents reach these benchmarks and ultimately attain self-sufficiency.

CR-75 – Expenditures

11. Expenditures

11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	\$4,565.93	\$4,562.15	\$19,785.07
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	\$1,351.61	\$7,307.21	\$1,157.99
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	\$17,448.75	\$4,161.79	\$20,938.50
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Homelessness Prevention	\$23,366.29	\$16,031.15	\$41,881.56

Table 14 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	\$0	\$2,297.50	\$1,492.50
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	\$0	\$11,147.02	\$0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	\$0	\$1,419.92	\$9,245.50
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	\$0	\$0	\$0
Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing	\$0	\$14,864.44	\$10,738.00

Table 15 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
Essential Services	\$	\$	\$16,936.50
Operations	\$	\$	\$5,043.75
Renovation	\$	\$	\$
Major Rehab	\$	\$	\$

Conversion	\$	\$	\$
Subtotal	\$	\$	\$21,980.25

Table 16 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

11d. Other Grant Expenditures

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	2013	2014	2015
HMIS	\$0	\$ 2,525.49	\$5,228.92
Administration	\$0	\$0	\$0
Street Outreach	\$0	\$0	\$10,232.61

Table 17 - Other Grant Expenditures

11e. Total ESG Grant Funds

Total ESG Funds Expended	2013	2014	2015
\$203,924.82	\$23,366.29	\$55,401.33	\$125,157.20

Table 18 - Total ESG Funds Expended

11f. Match Source

	2013	2014	2015
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	\$	\$	\$
Other Federal Funds	\$	\$	\$40,202
State Government	\$618,916	\$637,485	\$1,245,137
Local Government	\$17,000	\$17,000	\$18,100
Private Funds	\$	\$	\$51,735.96
Other	\$	\$	\$51,755.50
Fees	\$	\$	\$
Program Income	\$	\$	\$5,003
Total Match Amount	\$635,916	\$654,485	\$1,411,933.46

Table 19 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

11g. Total

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	2013	2014	2015
203,924.82	23,366.29	55,401.33	125,157.20

Table 20 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

APPENDIX

WestMetro HOME Consortium Public Housing Authority Projects

Project Name	Total Development Budget	Total HOME Funds	HOME Units	Total Units	HOME Funds Expended in FY17	Project Status
Brookline HA 86 Dummer St.	\$14,300,789	\$1,694,720	10	32	\$1,640,651	Completed
Concord HA Peter Bulkeley	\$937,040	\$368,355	4	4	\$483,884	Underway
Framingham HA FHDC – 27 Coburn	\$365,321	\$165,216	2	2	\$165,216	Completed
Natick HA Coolidge House	\$804,995	\$166,350	10	5	\$0	Underway
Natick HA 6 Plain St.	\$455,000	\$125,000	2	1	\$179,289	Completed
Waltham HA Banks St.	\$339,580	\$339,580	6	6	\$36,035	Underway
Waltham HA Orange St.	\$561,600	\$561,600	32	10	\$394,266	Completed

Monitoring Policies and Procedures for the City of Newton and the WestMetro HOME Consortium

Human Services

Annually, during the third quarter of each program year, Division staff monitors human service subrecipient agencies. All new projects are monitored during the first year of operation. Existing agencies/projects are selected based on the results of a risk analysis. At least ten percent of all human service projects are monitored each year. The monitoring process includes the following documentation:

- Risk analysis results and monitoring schedule,
- Notification letter sent to subrecipient agency,
- On-site monitoring visit documentation, including completion of steps outlined in the attached monitoring agenda, and
- Letter to the agency that outlines the result of the monitoring visit, including any follow up action required. All monitoring documentation shall be filed in the “monitoring” folder within the project file.

Although only a minimum of ten percent of all human service projects must be monitored each year, fifty percent of the Newton human service portfolio was monitored during FY17. Two of the eight monitored projects were found to be out of compliance with City of Newton and HUD CDBG human service program regulations. Official notification was sent to these agencies requiring mandatory payback of CDBG funds based on the proportion of low-to-moderate income served by the respective activity undertaken.

HOME/CDBG Housing Development Projects

Staff monitors every rental project assisted with HOME funds on an annual basis and does an on-site file review of CDBG-funded projects biennially. Except for HOME projects, staff does not conduct on-site file reviews of projects monitored by MassHousing, the MA Department of Housing and Community Development or other approved monitoring agencies. In these cases, staff contacts property managers or owners to verify that an annual inspection was conducted and requests written results of the monitoring visit.

In general, the monitoring process includes the following steps:

- Perform risk analysis if project is CDBG-funded and is not scheduled to be monitored. The risk analysis considers criteria such as past performance of the agency/project, experience level of staff administering the project, project-specific factors such as number of units and funding sources, and program complexity;
- Develop monitoring schedule based on risk analysis and if a project is funded with HOME or CDBG funds;
- Send letter notifying owner that units will be monitored for CDBG/HOME compliance and compliance with sub-recipient agreement. The letter

identifies the date and time of the monitoring visit and identifies specific items to be monitored (i.e. income limit documentation, lease agreements, unit inspection results, performance goals, if applicable, etc.);

- Complete on-site monitoring visit including completion of the following forms, as applicable: Lease Requirements Checklist, Housing Quality Standards inspection form, and CDBG or HOME Checklist Monitoring Form, including compliance with timely expenditure of funds, comprehensive planning, and minority business outreach as applicable;
- Send letter to the owner outlining the result of the monitoring visit including any follow-up action required.

WestMetro HOME Consortium

In order to ensure accountability, respond to community needs, and use HOME resources efficiently and effectively, the WestMetro HOME Consortium follows the comprehensive monitoring plan outlined below.

Monitoring Objectives and Strategy

The same risk-analysis and notification protocol identified above is used by the WestMetro HOME Consortium. Overall monitoring objectives of the HOME Consortium monitoring plan include:

- Identifying and tracking program and project results;
- Identifying technical assistance needs of member communities, CHDOs, and subrecipient staff;
- Ensuring timely expenditure of HOME funds, as well as compliance with comprehensive planning, and minority business outreach as applicable;
- Documenting compliance with program rules;
- Preventing fraud and abuse; and
- Identifying innovative tools and techniques that support affordable housing goals.

To ensure an appropriate level of staff effort, the Consortium's monitoring strategy involves a two-pronged approach – ongoing monitoring and on-site monitoring.

Ongoing Monitoring

Ongoing monitoring occurs for all HOME-assisted activities each program year. Basic ongoing monitoring involves the review of activities to ensure regulatory compliance and track program performance. Particular attention is paid to the consistent use of the Consortium's Project and IDIS Setup and Completion forms, and Comprehensive Project Checklists. At least annually, the Consortium assesses the financial condition of HOME-assisted rental projects with ten or more HOME-assisted units to determine the continued financial viability of the project.

On-Site Monitoring

On-site monitoring seeks to closely examine whether performance or compliance problems exist and to identify the aspects of the program or project that are contributing to the adverse

situation. A risk factor analysis is used to target certain HOME program areas or organizations for in-depth monitoring each year. Results of the monitoring are documented in HUD-provided HOME Monitoring checklists and reviewed with staff of the city/town. Any findings are discussed and a course of action is developed to resolve the problem going forward.

The Consortium uses a consultant with expertise in the HOME Final Rule to conduct the on-site monitoring of the HOME Consortium member communities.

HOME Program Income Expenditures in IDIS in FY17

Project Number	Project Name	FY17 Program Income Expenditures
3278	Natick- 6 Plain Street	\$40,348.97
3352	Waltham SDAP Cases	\$6,771.00
3352	Waltham SDAP Case	\$2,476.00
3352	Waltham SDAP Cases	\$7,819.00
3352	Waltham SDAP Cases	\$1,843.00
3352	Waltham SDAP Case	\$45.00
3291	Waltham: Orange St	\$3,400.00
3352	Waltham SDAP Cases	\$5,666.00
3291	Waltham: Orange St	\$2,535.50
3363	Waltham TBRA Case	\$3,182.00
3363	Waltham TBRA Case	\$882.00
3368	Waltham TBRA Case	\$3,669.00
3352	Waltham SDAP Case	\$2,045.00
3291	Waltham: Orange St	\$3,122.12
3363	Waltham TBRA Case	\$45.00
3352	Waltham SDAP Case	\$45.00
3369	Framingham SDAP Case	\$1,550.00
3352	Waltham SDAP Cases	\$4,307.00
3363	Waltham TBRA Case	\$882.00
3368	Waltham TBRA Case	\$1,298.00
3370	Framingham SDAP Case	\$3,387.00
3369	Framingham SDAP Case	\$1,372.00
3352	Waltham SDAP Case	\$5,776.00
3375	Waltham TBRA Case	\$980.00
3369	Framingham SDAP Cases	\$2,139.00
3363	Waltham TBRA Case	\$882.00
3368	Waltham TBRA Case	\$1,223.00
3370	Waltham TBRA Case	\$1,114.00
3375	Waltham TBRA Case	\$2,005.00
1914	Watertown Homebuyer Case Recapture	\$5,494.68
3380	Waltham TBRA Case	\$1,294.69
3375	Waltham TBRA Case	\$1,848.76

TOTAL PROGRAM INCOME EXPENDED

\$119,447.72

Q5. HMIS DQ & Participation

**5a. HMIS or
Comparable Database
Data Quality**

Q5a

Data Element	Client Doesn't Know or Client Refused	Data not collected
First name	0	0
Last name	0	0
SSN	1	70
Date of Birth	0	0
Race	4	3
Ethnicity	0	0
Gender	0	0
Veteran Status	0	0
Disabling condition	2	21
Living situation (Head of Household and Adults)	2	0
Relationship to Head of Household	0	0
Destination	4	0
Client location for project entry	0	0

Q6. Persons Served

6a. Report Validations

Table Q6a

a. Total number of persons served	131
b. Number of adults (age 18 or over)	71
c. Number of children (under age 18)	60
d. Number of persons with unknown age	0
e. Total number of leavers	95
f. Number of adult leavers	54
g. Total number of stayers	36
h. Number of adult stayers	17
i. Number of veterans	0
j. Number of chronically homeless persons	0
k. Number of adult heads of household	61
l. Number of child heads of household	0
m. Number of unaccompanied youth under age 25	0
n. Number of parenting youth under age 25 with children	1

6b. Number of Persons Served

Q6b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Adults	71	30	41	0	0
b. Children	60	0	60	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	131	30	101	0	0

Q7a. Households Served

7a. Number of Households Served Q7a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
Total Households	61	27	34	0	0

7b. Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday Q7b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
January	33	10	23	0	0
April	31	13	18	0	0
July	25	5	20	0	0
October	22	5	17	0	0

Q9. Contacts and Engagements

9a. Number of Persons Contacted Q9a

	Total	a. First contact was at a place not meant for human habitation	b. First contact was at a non-residential service setting	c. First contact was at a residential service setting	d. First contact place was missing
a1. Contacted once?	0	0	0	0	0
a2. Contacted 2-5 times?	0	0	0	0	0
a3. Contacted 6-9 times?	0	0	0	0	0
a4. Contacted 10 or more times?	0	0	0	0	0
az. Total persons contacted	0	0	0	0	0

9b. Number of Persons Engaged Q9b

	Total	a. First contact was at a place not meant for human habitation	b. First contact was at a non-residential service setting	c. First contact was at a residential service setting	d. First contact place was missing
b1. Engaged after 1 contact?	11	0	0	0	0
b2. Engaged after 2-5 contacts?	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Engaged after 6-9 contacts?	0	0	0	0	0
b4. Engaged after 10 or more contacts?	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total persons engaged	11	0	0	0	0
c. Rate of engagement (%)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Q10. Gender

10a. Gender of Adults Q10a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. Unknown household type
a. Male	13	7	6	0
b. Female	57	22	35	0
c. Transgender male to female	1	1	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	71	30	41	0

10b. Gender of Children

Q10b

	Total	a. With children and adults	b. With only children	c. Unknown household type
a. Male	31	31	0	0
b. Female	28	28	0	0
c. Transgender male to female	1	1	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	60	60	0	0

10c. Gender of Persons

Missing Age Information

Q10c

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Male	0	0	0	0	0
b. Female	0	0	0	0	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0

10d. Gender by Age Ranges

Q10d

	Total	a. Under age 18	b. Age 18-24	c. Age 25-61	d. Age 62 and over	e. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	f. Data not collected
a. Male	44	31	4	9	0	0	0
b. Female	85	28	4	52	1	0	0
c. Transgender male to female	2	1	0	1	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
h. Total	131	60	8	62	1	0	0

Q11. Age Q11

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Under 5	21	0	21	0	0
b. 5 - 12	28	0	28	0	0
c. 13 - 17	11	0	11	0	0
d. 18 - 24	8	3	5	0	0
e. 25 - 34	29	9	20	0	0
f. 35 - 44	18	7	11	0	0
g. 45 - 54	10	7	3	0	0
h. 55 - 61	5	3	2	0	0
i. 62+	1	1	0	0	0
j. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
k. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
l. Total	131	30	101	0	0

Q12. Race & Ethnicity

12a. Race Q12a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. White	56	9	47	0	0
b. Black or African-American	49	16	33	0	0
c. Asian	8	1	7	0	0
d. American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
e. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
f. Multiple races	11	3	8	0	0
g. Don't know / refused	4	1	3	0	0
h. Information missing	3	0	3	0	0
i. Total	131	30	101	0	0

12b. Ethnicity Q12b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Non-Hispanic/non-Latino	99	27	72	0	0
b. Hispanic/Latino	32	3	29	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	131	30	101	0	0

Q13. Physical and Mental Health Conditions

13a1. Physical and Mental Health

Conditions at Entry

Q13a1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	23	10	13	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	1	1	0	0	0
c. Drug abuse	2	1	1	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	0	0	0	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	18	9	9	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	0	0	0	0	0
g. Developmental disability	20	4	16	0	0
h. Physical disability	9	7	2	0	0

13b1. Physical and Mental Health

Conditions of Leavers

Q13b1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	17	10	7	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	1	1	0	0	0
c. Drug abuse	3	1	2	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	0	0	0	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	16	8	8	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	0	0	0	0	0
g. Developmental disability	17	3	14	0	0
h. Physical disability	6	6	0	0	0

13c1. Physical and Mental Health

Conditions of Stayers

Q13c1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	6	0	6	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	0	0	0	0	0
c. Drug abuse	0	0	0	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	0	0	0	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	2	1	1	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	0	0	0	0	0
g. Developmental disability	3	1	2	0	0
h. Physical disability	2	1	1	0	0

Q14. Domestic Violence

14a. Persons with Domestic Violence History

Q14a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Yes	46	20	26	0	0
b. No	25	10	15	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	71	30	41	0	0

14b. Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence

Q14b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Yes	37	16	21	0	0
b. No	9	4	5	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	46	20	26	0	0

Q15. Living Situation Q15

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Homeless situations					
a1. Emergency shelter	11	3	8	0	0
a2. Transitional housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
a3. Place not meant for human habitation	5	5	0	0	0
a4. Safe haven	3	1	2	0	0
a5. Interim housing	0	0	0	0	0
az. Total	19	9	10	0	0
b. Institutional settings					
b1. Psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
b2. Substance abuse or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Hospital (non-psychiatric)	1	1	0	0	0
b4. Jail, prison or juvenile detention	0	0	0	0	0
b5. Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0
b6. Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0
b7. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	1	1	0	0	0

c. Other locations					
c01. PH for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
c02. Owned by client, no subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c03. Owned by client, with subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c04. Rental by client, no subsidy	5	1	4	0	0
c05. Rental by client, with VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
c07. Rental by client, with other subsidy	30	12	18	0	0
c08. Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0	0	0	0
c09. Staying or living with friend(s)	8	5	3	0	0
c10. Staying or living with family	6	1	5	0	0
c11. Don't know / refused	2	1	1	0	0
c12. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
cz. Total	51	20	31	0	0
d. Total	71	30	41	0	0

Q20. Non-Cash Benefits

20a. Type of Non-Cash Benefit Sources

Q20a

	At entry	At Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
a. Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program	38	0	32
b. WIC	1	0	0
c. TANF Child Care services	1	1	0
d. TANF transportation services	0	0	0
e. Other TANF-funded services	0	0	0
f. Other source	0	0	0

Q21. Health Insurance Q21

	At entry	At Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers
a. MEDICAID health insurance	71	5	49
b. MEDICARE health insurance	5	0	3
c. State Children's Health Insurance	28	0	23
d. VA Medical Services	0	0	0
e. Employer-provided health insurance	1	0	0
f. Health insurance through COBRA	0	0	0
g. Private pay health insurance	3	0	3
h. State Health Insurance for Adults	19	0	17
i. Indian Health Services Program	0	0	0
j. Other	0	0	0
k. No health insurance	4	0	3
l. Client doesn't know/Client refused	14	0	12
m. Data not collected	7	1	3
n. Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment	0	30	0
o. 1 source of health insurance	85	5	58
p. More than 1 source of health insurance	20	0	18

Q22. Length of Participation

Q22a2. Length of Participation—ESG projects

Q22a2

	Total	Leavers	Stayers
a. 0 to 7 days	3	2	1
b. 8 to 14 days	3	1	2
c. 15 to 21 days	5	0	5
d. 22 to 30 days	4	4	0
e. 31 to 60 days	26	19	7
f. 61 to 90 days	20	19	1
g. 91 to 180 days	28	18	10
h. 181 to 365 days	19	15	4
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	17	11	6
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)	6	6	0
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)	0	0	0
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)	0	0	0
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)	0	0	0
n. Information missing	0	0	0
o. Total	131	95	36

Q22c. RRH Length of Time between Project Entry Date and Residential Move-in Date

Q22c

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. 0-7 days	0	0	0	0	0
b. 8-14 days	1	0	1	0	0
c. 15-21 days	0	0	0	0	0
d. 22 to 30 days	1	1	0	0	0
e. 31 to 60 days	0	0	0	0	0
f. 61 to 180 days	0	0	0	0	0
g. 181 to 365 days	0	0	0	0	0
h. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
i. Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0
j. Total	5	2	3	0	0

**Q22d. Length of
Participation by
Household type**

Q22d

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. 0 to 7 days	3	1	2	0	0
b. 8 to 14 days	3	1	2	0	0
c. 15 to 21 days	5	0	5	0	0
d. 22 to 30 days	4	2	2	0	0
e. 31 to 60 days	26	6	20	0	0
f. 61 to 90 days	20	4	16	0	0
g. 91 to 180 days	28	9	19	0	0
h. 181 to 365 days	19	5	14	0	0
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)	17	2	15	0	0
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)	6	0	6	0	0
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)	0	0	0	0	0
n. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
o. Total	131	30	101	0	0

**Q23. Exit Destination –
More than 90 Days** Q23

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent destinations					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0

az. Total	0	0	0	0	0
b. Temporary destinations					
b1. Emergency shelter	0	0	0	0	0
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b6. Place not meant for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
b7. Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	0	0	0	0	0
c. Institutional settings					
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0

cz. Total	0	0	0	0	0
d. Other destinations					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
d2. Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
d3. Other	1	1	0	0	0
d4. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d5. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
dz. Total	1	1	0	0	0
e. Total	1	1	0	0	0

Q23a. Exit

Destination—All persons

Q23a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent destinations					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	12	3	9	0	0
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	51	10	41	0	0
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	10	3	7	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	3	0	3	0	0

az. Total	76	16	60	0	0
b. Temporary destinations					
b1. Emergency shelter	3	1	2	0	0
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons	6	1	5	0	0
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b6. Place not meant for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
b7. Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	9	2	7	0	0
c. Institutional settings					
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	1	1	0	0	0
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0

cz. Total	1	1	0	0	0
d. Other destinations					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
d2. Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
d3. Other	3	3	0	0	0
d4. Don't know / refused	1	1	0	0	0
d5. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
dz. Total	4	4	0	0	0
e. Total	90	23	67	0	0

**Q23b. Homeless
Prevention Housing
Assessment at Exit**

Q23b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--Without a subsidy	12	3	9	0	0
b. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--With the subsidy they had at project entry	37	8	29	0	0
c. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--With an on-going subsidy acquired since project entry	0	0	0	0	0
d. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--Only with financial assistance other than a subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
e. Moved to new housing unit--With on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
f. Moved to new housing unit--Without an on-going subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
g. Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis	0	0	0	0	0
h. Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis	0	0	0	0	0
i. Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program	0	0	0	0	0
j. Client became homeless-moving to a shelter or other place unfit for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0

k. Client went to jail/prison	0	0	0	0	0
l. Client died	0	0	0	0	0
m. Client doesn't know/Client refused	0	0	0	0	0
n. Data not collected (no exit interview completed)	0	0	0	0	0
o. Total	49	11	38	0	0

**Q24. Exit Destination –
90 Days or Less** Q24

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Permanent destinations					
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH	0	0	0	0	0
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy	0	0	0	0	0
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy	4	1	3	0	0
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	0	0	0	0	0

az. Total	4	1	3	0	0
b. Temporary destinations					
b1. Emergency shelter	0	0	0	0	0
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH	0	0	0	0	0
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure	0	0	0	0	0
b6. Place not meant for human habitation	0	0	0	0	0
b7. Safe Haven	0	0	0	0	0
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0	0	0	0
bz. Total	0	0	0	0	0
c. Institutional settings					
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home	0	0	0	0	0
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center	0	0	0	0	0
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility	0	0	0	0	0
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility	0	0	0	0	0
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0

cz. Total	0	0	0	0	0
d. Other destinations					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0
d2. Deceased	0	0	0	0	0
d3. Other	0	0	0	0	0
d4. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d5. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0
dz. Total	0	0	0	0	0
e. Total	4	1	3	0	0

25a. Number of Veterans Q25a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. Unknown household type
a. Chronically homeless veteran	0	0	0	0
b. Non-chronically homeless veteran	0	0	0	0
c. Not a veteran	45	17	28	0
d. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0
e. Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0
f. Total	71	30	41	0

Q26b. Number of Chronically Homeless Persons by Household Q26b

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Chronically homeless	0	0	0	0	0
b. Not chronically homeless	131	30	101	0	0
c. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Data Not Collected	0	0	0	0	0

6a. Report Validations Table		Q6a	
a. Total number of persons served		15	
b. Number of adults (age 18 or over)		15	
c. Number of children (under age 18)		0	
d. Number of persons with unknown age		0	
e. Total number of leavers		9	
f. Number of adult leavers		9	
g. Total number of stayers		6	
h. Number of adult stayers		6	
i. Number of veterans		0	
j. Number of chronically homeless persons		6	
k. Number of adult heads of household		15	
l. Number of child heads of household		0	
m. Number of unaccompanied youth under age 25		0	
n. Number of parenting youth under age 25 with children		0	
6b. Number of Persons Served		Q6b	
	Total		b. With children and adults
a. Adults	15		0
b. Children	0		0
c. Don't know / refused	0		0
d. Information missing	0		0
e. Total	0		0
Q7a. Households Served			
7a. Number of Households Served		Q7a	
	Total		b. With children and adults
Total Households	N/A		0

7b. Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday	Q7b	
	Total	b. With children and adults
January	N/A	
April	N/A	
July	N/A	
October	N/A	
Q9. Contacts and Engagements		
9a. Number of Persons Contacted	Q9a	
	Total	b. First contact was at a non-residential service setting
a1. Contacted once?	0	0
a2. Contacted 2-5 times?	2	2
a3. Contacted 6-9 times?	4	4
a4. Contacted 10 or more times?	9	9
az. Total persons contacted	15	15
9b. Number of Persons Engaged		
	Q9b	
	Total	b. First contact was at a non-residential service setting
b1. Engaged after 1 contact?	0	0
b2. Engaged after 2-5 contacts?	2	2
b3. Engaged after 6-9 contacts?	7	7
b4. Engaged after 10 or more contacts?		
bz. Total persons engaged	9	9
c. Rate of engagement (%)	60%	60%
Q10. Gender		
10a. Gender of Adults	Q10a	
	Total	b. With children and adults
a. Male	10	0

b. Female	5	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0
h. Subtotal	15	0

10b. Gender of Children		
	Q10b	
	Total	b. With only children
a. Male	0	0
b. Female	0	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0
h. Subtotal	0	0

10c. Gender of Persons Missing Age Information		
	Q10c	
	Total	b. With children and adults
a. Male	0	0
b. Female	0	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0
h. Subtotal	0	0

10d. Gender by Age Ranges		
	Q10d	
	Total	b. Age 18-24

Waltham Community Day Shelter

a. Male	10	2
b. Female	5	1
c. Transgender male to female	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0
g. Information missing	0	0
h. Total	15	3

Q11. Age		Q11	
	Total	b. With children and adults	
a. Under 5	0	0	
b. 5 - 12	0	0	
c. 13 - 17	0	0	
d. 18 - 24	3	0	
e. 25 - 34	2	0	
f. 35 - 44	1	0	
g. 45 - 54	7	0	
h. 55 - 61	1	0	
i. 62+	1	0	
j. Don't know / refused	0	0	
k. Information missing		0	
l. Total	15	0	

Q12. Race & Ethnicity			
12a. Race		Q12a	
	Total	b. With children and adults	
a. White	12	0	
b. Black or African-American	2	0	
c. Asian	0	0	
d. American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	
e. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	
f. Multiple races	1	0	
g. Don't know / refused	0	0	
h. Information missing	0	0	
i. Total	15	0	

12b. Ethnicity		Q12b	
-----------------------	--	------	--

	Total	b. With children and adults
a. Non-Hispanic/non-Latino	14	0
b. Hispanic/Latino	1	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0
d. Information missing	15	0
e. Total	15	0

Q13. Physical and Mental Health Conditions**13a1. Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Entry**

Q13a1

	Total persons	b. With children and adults
a. Mental illness	3	
b. Alcohol abuse	7	
c. Drug abuse	3	
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse		
e. Chronic health condition		
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases		
g. Developmental disability		
h. Physical disability	1	

13b1. Physical and Mental Health Conditions of Leavers

Q13b1

	Total persons	b. With children and adults
a. Mental illness	3	
b. Alcohol abuse	2	
c. Drug abuse	2	
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse		
e. Chronic health condition		
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases		
g. Developmental disability		
h. Physical disability		

13c1. Physical and Mental Health Conditions of Stayers

Q13c1

	Total persons	b. With children and adults
a. Mental illness	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	5	0
c. Drug abuse	2	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse		0
e. Chronic health condition		0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases		0
g. Developmental disability		0
h. Physical disability		0
Q14. Domestic Violence		
14a. Persons with Domestic Violence History Q14a		
	Total	b. With children and adults
a. Yes	1	0
b. No	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0
d. Information missing	0	0
e. Total	1	0
14b. Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence Q14b		
	Total	b. With children and adults
a. Yes	1	
b. No		
c. Don't know / refused		
d. Information missing		
e. Total	1	
Q15. Living Situation Q15		
	Total	b. With children and adults
a. Homeless situations	11	0
a1. Emergency shelter	2	0
a2. Transitional housing for homeless persons	0	0
a3. Place not meant for human habitation	0	0
a4. Safe haven	0	0

Waltham Community Day Shelter

a5. Interim housing	0	0
az. Total	13	0
b. Institutional settings	0	0
b1. Psychiatric facility	0	0
b2. Substance abuse or detox center	0	0
b3. Hospital (non-psychiatric)	2	0
b4. Jail, prison or juvenile detention	0	0
b5. Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0
b6. Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0
b7. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0
bz. Total	15	0
c. Other locations	0	0
c01. PH for homeless persons	0	0
c02. Owned by client, no subsidy	0	0
c03. Owned by client, with subsidy	0	0
c04. Rental by client, no subsidy	0	0
c05. Rental by client, with VASH subsidy	0	0
c06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP subsidy	0	0
c07. Rental by client, with other subsidy	0	0
c08. Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0
c09. Staying or living with friend(s)	0	0
c10. Staying or living with family	0	0
c11. Don't know / refused	0	0
c12. Information missing	0	0
cz. Total	15	0
d. Total	15	0
Q20. Non-Cash Benefits		
20a. Type of Non-Cash Benefit Sources	Q20a	

	At entry	At Exit for Leavers
a. Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program	0	0
b. WIC	0	0
c. TANF Child Care services	0	0
d. TANF transportation services	0	0
e. Other TANF-funded services	0	0
f. Other source	15	0

Q21. Health Insurance Q21

	At entry	At Exit for Leavers
a. MEDICAID health insurance		
b. MEDICARE health insurance		
c. State Children's Health Insurance		
d. VA Medical Services		
e. Employer-provided health insurance		
f. Health insurance through COBRA		
g. Private pay health insurance		
h. State Health Insurance for Adults		
i. Indian Health Services Program		
j. Other		
k. No health insurance		
l. Client doesn't know/Client refused		
m. Data not collected	15	
n. Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment		
o. 1 source of health insurance		
p. More than 1 source of health insurance		

Q22. Length of Participation

Q22a2. Length of Participation—ESG projects	Q22a2	
--	-------	--

	Total	Stayers
a. 0 to 7 days		
b. 8 to 14 days		
c. 15 to 21 days		
d. 22 to 30 days		
e. 31 to 60 days		
f. 61 to 90 days		
g. 91 to 180 days		
h. 181 to 365 days		
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)		
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)		
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)		
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)		
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)		
n. Information missing	15	
o. Total	15	

Q22c. RRH Length of Time between Project Entry Date and Residential Move-in Date

Q22c

	Total	b. With children and adults
a. 0-7 days		
b. 8-14 days		
c. 15-21 days		
d. 22 to 30 days		
e. 31 to 60 days		
f. 61 to 180 days		
g. 181 to 365 days		
h. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)		
i. Data Not Collected	15	
j. Total	15	

Q22d. Length of Participation by Household type

Q22d

	Total	b. With children and adults
a. 0 to 7 days		
b. 8 to 14 days		
c. 15 to 21 days		

d. 22 to 30 days		
e. 31 to 60 days		
f. 61 to 90 days		
g. 91 to 180 days		
h. 181 to 365 days		
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)		
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)		
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)		
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)		
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)		
n. Information missing	15	
o. Total	15	

Q23. Exit Destination – More than 90 Days Q23

	Total	b. With children and adults
a. Permanent destinations		
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH		
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy		
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	4	
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy		
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy		
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy		
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy		
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons		
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure	1	
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure		
az. Total	5	
b. Temporary destinations		

b1. Emergency shelter	2	
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH		
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons		
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure		
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure		
b6. Place not meant for human habitation		
b7. Safe Haven		
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client		
bz. Total	2	
c. Institutional settings		
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home		
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility		
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center		
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility		
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility		
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home		
cz. Total	0	
d. Other destinations		
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria		
d2. Deceased		
d3. Other		
d4. Don't know / refused		
d5. Information missing		
dz. Total	0	

e. Total	7	
Q23a. Exit Destination—All persons	Q23a	
	Total	b. With children and adults
a. Permanent destinations		
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH		
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy		
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy		
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy		
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy		
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy		
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy		
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons		
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure		
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure		
az. Total		
b. Temporary destinations		
b1. Emergency shelter		
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH		
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons		
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure		
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure		
b6. Place not meant for human habitation		
b7. Safe Haven		

b8. Hotel or motel paid by client		
bz. Total		
c. Institutional settings		
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home		
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility		
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center		
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility		
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility		
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home		
cz. Total		
d. Other destinations		
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria		
d2. Deceased		
d3. Other		
d4. Don't know / refused		
d5. Information missing	15	
dz. Total		
e. Total	15	

Q23b. Homeless Prevention Housing Assessment at Exit

Q23b

	Total	b. With children and adults
a. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--Without a subsidy		
b. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--With the subsidy they had at project entry		

c. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--With an on-going subsidy acquired since project entry		
d. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--Only with financial assistance other than a subsidy		
e. Moved to new housing unit--With on-going subsidy		
f. Moved to new housing unit--Without an on-going subsidy		
g. Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis		
h. Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis		
i. Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program		
j. Client became homeless-moving to a shelter or other place unfit for human habitation		
k. Client went to jail/prison		
l. Client died		
m. Client doesn't know/Client refused		
n. Data not collected (no exit interview completed)	15	
o. Total	15	
Q24. Exit Destination – 90 Days or Less		
	Q24	
	Total	b. With children and adults
a. Permanent destinations		
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH		
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy		

a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy		
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy		
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy		
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy		
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy		
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons		
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure		
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure		
az. Total		
b. Temporary destinations		
b1. Emergency shelter		
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH		
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons		
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure		
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure		
b6. Place not meant for human habitation		
b7. Safe Haven		
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client		
bz. Total		
c. Institutional settings		
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home		
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility		
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center		

c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility		
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility		
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home		
cz. Total		
d. Other destinations		
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria		
d2. Deceased		
d3. Other		
d4. Don't know / refused		
d5. Information missing		
dz. Total	0	
e. Total	0	

25a. Number of Veterans	Q25a	
	Total	b. With children and adults
a. Chronically homeless veteran		
b. Non-chronically homeless veteran		
c. Not a veteran	0	
d. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused		
e. Data Not Collected		
f. Total	0	

Q26b. Number of Chronically Homeless Persons by Household	Q26b	
	Total	b. With children and adults
a. Chronically homeless	7	
b. Not chronically homeless		
c. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused		
d. Data Not Collected		
e. Total	7	

Q5. HMIS DQ & Participation							
5a. HMIS or Comparable Database Data Quality	Q5a						
Data Element	Client Doesn't Know or Client Refused	Data not collected					
First name							
Last name							
SSN							
Date of Birth							
Race							
Ethnicity							
Gender							
Veteran Status							
Disabling condition							
Living situation (Head of Household and Adults)							
Relationship to Head of Household							
Destination							
Client location for project entry							
Q6. Persons Served							
6a. Report Validations Table	Q6a						
a. Total number of persons served	232						
b. Number of adults (age 18 or over)	232						
c. Number of children (under age 18)	0						

Waltham Community Day Shelter

d. Number of persons with unknown age	20					
e. Total number of leavers	n/a					
f. Number of adult leavers	n/a					
g. Total number of stayers	n/a					
h. Number of adult stayers	n/a					
i. Number of veterans	2					
j. Number of chronically homeless persons	161					
k. Number of adult heads of household	232					
l. Number of child heads of household	0					
m. Number of unaccompanied youth under age 25	0					
n. Number of parenting youth under age 25 with children	0					
6b. Number of Persons Served						
	Q6b					
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type	
a. Adults	232	232	0	0	0	
b. Children	0	0	0	0	0	
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0	
d. Information missing	0	0	0	0	0	
e. Total	0	0	0	0	0	

Waltham Community Day Shelter

Q7a. Households Served					
7a. Number of Households Served					
	Q7a				
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
Total Households		232	0	0	0
7b. Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday					
	Q7b				
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
January					
April					
July					
October					
Q9. Contacts and Engagements					
9a. Number of Persons Contacted					
	Q9a				
	Total	a. First contact was at a place not meant for human habitation	b. First contact was at a non-residential service setting	c. First contact was at a residential service setting	d. First contact place was missing
a1. Contacted once?					
a2. Contacted 2-5 times?					
a3. Contacted 6-9 times?					

Waltham Community Day Shelter

a4. Contacted 10 or more times?					
az. Total persons contacted	Data not collected				
9b. Number of Persons Engaged					
	Q9b				
	Total	a. First contact was at a place not meant for human habitation	b. First contact was at a non-residential service setting	c. First contact was at a residential service setting	d. First contact place was missing
b1. Engaged after 1 contact?					
b2. Engaged after 2-5 contacts?					
b3. Engaged after 6-9 contacts?					
b4. Engaged after 10 or more contacts?					
bz. Total persons engaged					
c. Rate of engagement (%)	Data not collected				
Q10. Gender					
10a. Gender of Adults					
	Q10a				
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. Unknown household type	
a. Male	168	168	0	0	
b. Female	39	39	0	0	
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0	

Waltham Community Day Shelter

d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0			
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0			
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0			
g. Information missing	25	25	0	0			
h. Subtotal	232	232	0	0			
10b. Gender of Children							
	Q10b						
	Total	a. With children and adults	b. With only children	c. Unknown household type			
a. Male	0	0	0	0			
b. Female	0	0	0	0			
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0			
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0			
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0			
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0			
g. Information missing	0	0	0	0			
h. Subtotal	0	0	0	0			
10c. Gender of Persons Missing Age Information							
	Q10c						
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type		
a. Male	6	6	0	0	0		
b. Female	3	3	0	0	0		

Waltham Community Day Shelter

c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	11	11	0	0	0
h. Subtotal	20	20	0	0	0

10d. Gender by Age Ranges		Q10d					
	Total	a. Under age 18	b. Age 18-24	c. Age 25-61	d. Age 62 and over	e. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused	f. Data not collected
a. Male	161	0	12	140	9	0	0
b. Female	36	0	5	30	1	0	0
c. Transgender male to female	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d. Transgender female to male	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e. Doesn't identify as male, female, or transgender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
f. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
g. Information missing	35	0	0	34	1	0	0
h. Total	232	0	17	204	11	0	0

Q11. Age		Q11			
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Under 5	0	0	0	0	0
b. 5 - 12	0	0	0	0	0

Waltham Community Day Shelter

c. 13 - 17	0	0	0	0	0
d. 18 - 24	17	17	0	0	0
e. 25 - 34	42	42	0	0	0
f. 35 - 44	47	47	0	0	0
g. 45 - 54	72	72	0	0	0
h. 55 - 61	42	42	0	0	0
i. 62+	11	11	0	0	0
j. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
k. Information missing	1	1	0	0	0
l. Total	232	232	0	0	0
Q12. Race & Ethnicity					
12a. Race Q12a					
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. White	175	175	0	0	0
b. Black or African-American	0	0	0	0	0
c. Asian	0	0	0	0	0
d. American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0	0	0
e. Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0	0
f. Multiple races	0	0	0	0	0
g. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
h. Information missing	57	0	0	0	0
i. Total	232	232	0	0	0
12b. Ethnicity Q12b					
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type

Waltham Community Day Shelter

a. Non-Hispanic/non-Latino	0	0	0	0	0
b. Hispanic/Latino	21	21	0	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	211	211	0	0	0
e. Total	232	232	0	0	0

Q13. Physical and Mental Health Conditions

13a1. Physical and Mental Health Conditions at Entry

Q13a1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness					
b. Alcohol abuse					
c. Drug abuse					
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse					
e. Chronic health condition					
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases					
g. Developmental disability					
h. Physical disability	Data not collected				

13b1. Physical and Mental Health Conditions of Leavers

Q13b1

	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness					

Waltham Community Day Shelter

b. Alcohol abuse					
c. Drug abuse					
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse					
e. Chronic health condition					
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases					
g. Developmental disability					
h. Physical disability	Data not collected				
13c1. Physical and Mental Health Conditions of Stayers					
	Q13c1				
	Total persons	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Mental illness	80	80	0	0	0
b. Alcohol abuse	67	67	0	0	0
c. Drug abuse	63	63	0	0	0
d. Both alcohol and drug abuse	35	35	0	0	0
e. Chronic health condition	0	0	0	0	0
f. HIV/AIDS and related diseases	1	1	0	0	0
g. Developmental disability	0	0	0	0	0
h. Physical disability	58	58	0	0	0
Q14. Domestic Violence					
14a. Persons with Domestic Violence History	Q14a				

Waltham Community Day Shelter

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Yes	27	27	0	0	0
b. No	0	0	0	0	0
c. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
d. Information missing	205	205	0	0	0
e. Total	232	232	0	0	0
14b. Persons Fleeing Domestic Violence Q14b					
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Yes	5				
b. No	227				
c. Don't know / refused					
d. Information missing					
e. Total	232				
Q15. Living Situation Q15					
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. Homeless situations	161	161	0	0	0
a1. Emergency shelter	105	105	0	0	0
a2. Transitional housing for homeless persons	2	2	0	0	0
a3. Place not meant for human habitation	25	25	0	0	0
a4. Safe haven	0	0	0	0	0

Waltham Community Day Shelter

a5. Interim housing	0	0	0	0	0		
az. Total	132	132	0	0	0		
b. Institutional settings	2	2	0	0	0		
b1. Psychiatric facility	0	0	0	0	0		
b2. Substance abuse or detox center	2	2	0	0	0		
b3. Hospital (non-psychiatric)	0	0	0	0	0		
b4. Jail, prison or juvenile detention	0	0	0	0	0		
b5. Foster care home or foster care group home	0	0	0	0	0		
b6. Long-term care facility or nursing home	0	0	0	0	0		
b7. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria	0	0	0	0	0		
bz. Total	0	0	0	0	0		
c. Other locations	51	51	0	0	0		
c01. PH for homeless persons	0	0	0	0	0		
c02. Owned by client, no subsidy	0	0	0	0	0		
c03. Owned by client, with subsidy	0	0	0	0	0		
c04. Rental by client, no subsidy	0	0	0	0	0		
c05. Rental by client, with VASH subsidy	0	0	0	0	0		
c06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP subsidy	0	0	0	0	0		
c07. Rental by client, with other subsidy	0	0	0	0	0		
c08. Hotel or motel paid by client	0	0	0	0	0		

Waltham Community Day Shelter

c09. Staying or living with friend(s)	0	0	0	0	0
c10. Staying or living with family	0	0	0	0	0
c11. Don't know / refused	0	0	0	0	0
c12. Information missing	51	51	0	0	0
cz. Total	51	51	0	0	0
d. Total	183	183	0	0	0
Q20. Non-Cash Benefits					
20a. Type of Non-Cash Benefit Sources					
	Q20a				
	At entry	At Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers		
a. Supplemental Nutritional Assistance Program	0	0	0		
b. WIC	0	0	0		
c. TANF Child Care services	0	0	0		
d. TANF transportation services	0	0	0		
e. Other TANF-funded services	0	0	0		
f. Other source	71	0	0		
Q21. Health Insurance					
	Q21				
	At entry	At Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers	At Exit for Leavers		
a. MEDICAID health insurance					

Waltham Community Day Shelter

d. 22 to 30 days								
e. 31 to 60 days								
f. 61 to 90 days								
g. 91 to 180 days								
h. 181 to 365 days								
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)								
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)								
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)								
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)								
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)								
n. Information missing								
o. Total	Data not collected							

Q22c. RRH Length of Time between Project Entry Date and Residential Move-in Date

Q22c

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type
a. 0-7 days					
b. 8-14 days					
c. 15-21 days					
d. 22 to 30 days					
e. 31 to 60 days					
f. 61 to 180 days					
g. 181 to 365 days					
h. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)					

Waltham Community Day Shelter

i. Data Not Collected							
j. Total	Data not collected						
Q22d. Length of Participation by Household type							
	Q22d						
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type		
a. 0 to 7 days							
b. 8 to 14 days							
c. 15 to 21 days							
d. 22 to 30 days							
e. 31 to 60 days							
f. 61 to 90 days							
g. 91 to 180 days							
h. 181 to 365 days							
i. 366 to 730 days (1-2 yrs.)							
j. 731 to 1095 days (2-3 yrs.)							
k. 1096 to 1460 days (3-4 yrs.)							
l. 1461 to 1825 days (4-5 yrs.)							
m. More than 1825 days (>5 yrs.)							
n. Information missing							
o. Total	data not collected						
Q23. Exit Destination – More than 90 Days							
	Q23						

Waltham Community Day Shelter

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type		
a. Permanent destinations							
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH							
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy							
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy							
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy							
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy							
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy							
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy							
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons							
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure							
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure							
az. Total							
b. Temporary destinations							
b1. Emergency shelter							
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH							

Waltham Community Day Shelter

b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons							
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure							
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure							
b6. Place not meant for human habitation							
b7. Safe Haven							
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client							
bz. Total							
c. Institutional settings							
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home							
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility							
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center							
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility							
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility							
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home							
cz. Total							
d. Other destinations							

Waltham Community Day Shelter

d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria							
d2. Deceased							
d3. Other							
d4. Don't know / refused							
d5. Information missing							
dz. Total							
e. Total	Data not collected						

Q23a. Exit Destination—All persons	Q23a						
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type		
a. Permanent destinations							
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH							
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy							
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy							
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy							
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy							
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy							
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy							

Waltham Community Day Shelter

a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons							
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure							
a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure							
az. Total							
b. Temporary destinations							
b1. Emergency shelter							
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH							
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons							
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure							
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure							
b6. Place not meant for human habitation							
b7. Safe Haven							
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client							
bz. Total							
c. Institutional settings							
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home							
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility							

Waltham Community Day Shelter

c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center							
c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility							
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility							
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home							
cz. Total							
d. Other destinations							
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria							
d2. Deceased							
d3. Other							
d4. Don't know / refused							
d5. Information missing							
dz. Total							
e. Total	Data not collected						
Q23b. Homeless Prevention Housing Assessment at Exit	Q23b						
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type		
a. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--Without a subsidy							

Waltham Community Day Shelter

b. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--With the subsidy they had at project entry							
c. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--With an on-going subsidy acquired since project entry							
d. Able to maintain the housing they had at project entry--Only with financial assistance other than a subsidy							
e. Moved to new housing unit--With on-going subsidy							
f. Moved to new housing unit--Without an on-going subsidy							
g. Moved in with family/friends on a temporary basis							
h. Moved in with family/friends on a permanent basis							
i. Moved to a transitional or temporary housing facility or program							
j. Client became homeless-moving to a shelter or other place unfit for human habitation							
k. Client went to jail/prison							

Waltham Community Day Shelter

I. Client died							
m. Client doesn't know/Client refused							
n. Data not collected (no exit interview completed)							
o. Total	data not collected						
Q24. Exit Destination – 90 Days or Less							
	Q24						
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type		
a. Permanent destinations							
a01. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA PH							
a02. Owned by client, no ongoing subsidy							
a03. Owned by client, with ongoing subsidy	30						
a04. Rental by client, no ongoing subsidy	35						
a05. Rental by client, VASH subsidy							
a06. Rental by client, with GPD TIP housing subsidy							
a07. Rental by client, other ongoing subsidy							
a08. Permanent housing for homeless persons	18						
a09. Staying or living with family, permanent tenure							

Waltham Community Day Shelter

a10. Staying or living with friends, permanent tenure	30						
az. Total	113						
b. Temporary destinations							
b1. Emergency shelter	56						
b2. Moved from one HOPWA funded project to HOPWA TH							
b3. Transitional housing for homeless persons	5						
b4. Staying with family, temporary tenure							
b5. Staying with friends, temporary tenure							
b6. Place not meant for human habitation	52						
b7. Safe Haven	6						
b8. Hotel or motel paid by client							
bz. Total	232						
c. Institutional settings							
c1. Foster care home or group foster care home							
c2. Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility							
c3. Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center							

Waltham Community Day Shelter

c4. Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility					
c5. Jail, prison or juvenile detention facility					
c6. Long term care facility or nursing home					
cz. Total					
d. Other destinations					
d1. Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria					
d2. Deceased					
d3. Other					
d4. Don't know / refused					
d5. Information missing					
dz. Total					
e. Total					

25a. Number of Veterans

Q25a

	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. Unknown household type
a. Chronically homeless veteran	24			
b. Non-chronically homeless veteran				
c. Not a veteran	208			
d. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused				
e. Data Not Collected				

Waltham Community Day Shelter

f. Total	232						
Q26b. Number of Chronically Homeless Persons by Household	Q26b						
	Total	a. Without children	b. With children and adults	c. With only children	d. Unknown household type		
a. Chronically homeless	192						
b. Not chronically homeless	40						
c. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused							
d. Data Not Collected							
e. Total	232						