### Zoning and Planning Committee September 12, 2018

1

### SECTION 5.11 INCLUSIONARY ZONING ORDINANCE

### MAKING IT WORK FOR TODAY'S NEWTON

#### **Question #1:**

How would the IZ requirement change if we were to favor Tier 1 units (at or below 50% AMI)?

Number of Inclusionary Units Required: Favor Tier 1 Units (Sept. 2018)											
T: l l	10-20 ne	ew units	21-34 new units		35-64 new units		65-100 new units		101+ new units		
Tier Level	Rental	Owner	Rental	Owner	Rental	Owner	Rental	Owner	Rental		
Tier 1, up to 50% AMI	15.0%	15.0%	12.5%	15.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	12.5%	15.0%		
Tier 2, 51%-80% AMI	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Tier 3, 81%-110% AMI	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
Total	15.0%	15.0%	17.5%	15.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	12.5%	<i>15.0%</i>		

Number of Inclusionary Units Required: 2018 Proposal										
Tientenel	10-20 new units 21-34 new uni			ew units	35-64 ne	ew units	65-100 new units		101+ new units	
Tier Level	Rental	Owner	Rental	Owner	Rental	Owner	Rental	Owner	Rental	
Tier 1, up to 50% AMI	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	2.5%	
Tier 2, 51%-80% AMI	17.5%	5.0%	7.5%	10.0%	2.5%	7.5%	10.0%	10.0%	12.5%	
Tier 3, 81%-110% AMI	0.0%	10.0%	5.0%	7.5%	15.0%	10.0%	5.0%	7.5%	2.5%	
Total	17.5%	15.0%	17.5%	17.5%	17.5%	17.5%	17.5%	17.5%	17.5%	

Number of Inclusionary Units Required: Examples - Favor Tier 1 Units (Sept. 2018)										
Tientenel	16 new units		24 new units		47 new units		78 new units		225 new units	
Tier Level	Rental	Owner	Rental	Owner	Rental	Owner	Rental	Owner	Rental	
Tier 1, up to 50% AMI	2	2	3	4	0	0	10	10	34	
Tier 2, 51%-80% AMI	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Tier 3, 81%-110% AMI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	2	2	4	4	0	0	10	10	34	

Number of Inclusionary Units Required: 2018 Proposal Examples										
T: I I	16 new units		24 new units		47 new units		78 new units		225 new units	
Tier Level	Rental	Owner	Rental	Owner	Rental	Owner	Rental	Owner	Rental	
Tier 1, up to 50% AMI	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	6	
Tier 2, 51%-80% AMI	3	1	2	2	1	4	8	8	28	
Tier 3, 81%-110% AMI	0	2	1	2	7	5	4	6	6	
Total	3	2	4	4	8	8	14	14	<b>39</b>	

### Question #1:

How would the IZ requirement change if we were to favor Tier 1 units (at or below 50% AMI)?

#### **Policy Decision for ZAP:** →

- Option 1: Favor Tier 1 Units
- Option 2: Balance across all three tiers of affordability
- Option 3: Favor Tier 3 and Tier 2 units

#### **Question #2:**

How would the IZ requirement change if we reduced the parking ratio from 1.25 to 1?

- **Policy Decision for ZAP:** →
  - Option 1: Base IZ requirement on current parking requirements and development realities
  - Option 2: Base IZ requirement on lower parking requirement (hypothetical)

#### **Question #3:**

Elder Housing with Services projects – require affordable beds or fee-in-lieu?

- **Policy Decision for ZAP:** →
  - Option 1: Require affordable beds on site
  - Option 2: Require cash payment to IZ Fund

8

#### **Question #4:**

How would the IZ requirement change if we required the building of units *and* a cash payment for fractional requirements under 0.5?

#### > 2017 IZ Proposal Cash Payment Calculation:

Inclusionary Housing Cash Payment Calculation:									
A = # of new dwelli	X								
Multiplied by									
B = 2017 DHCD Tot	\$389,000								
Total Cash Payment Due for Project									

#### > 2018 IZ Proposal Fractional Cash Payment Calculation:

IZ / Cash Payment requirement for a rental project with 48 new dwelling units:

Tier 1:  $48 \times 0\% = 0$ 

Tier 2:  $48 \times 2.5\% = 1.2$ , so the fractional requirement would be 0.2

 $(2 \times \$20,000 = \$40,000)$ 

Tier 3:  $48 \times 15\% = 7.2$ , so the fractional requirement would be 0.2

 $(2 \times \$20,000 = \$40,000)$ 

= Total IZ / Cash Payment Requirement for Project =

Tier 1: 0 Inclusionary Units

Tier 2: 1 Inclusionary Unit *plus* a Cash Payment of \$40,000

Tier 3: 7 Inclusionary Units *plus* a Cash Payment of \$40,000

= 8 Inclusionary Units plus a total Cash Payment of \$80,000

(10)

#### **Question #4:**

How would the IZ requirement change if we required the building of units *and* a cash payment for fractional requirements under 0.5?

- > Policy Decision for ZAP:
  - Option 1: Institute Round-Up and Build Units methodology (current staff proposal)
  - Option 2: Institute Round-Up and Build Units plus the Fractional Cash Payments (under 0.5) methodology

(11)

#### **Question #5:**

How effective has our existing IZ ordinance been?

#### **>** Between 2003 − 2017:

- Building permits issued for 117 affordable units
- 14 of these 117 units were a result of IZ

#### > Currently:

 240 affordable units under construction, permitted, or in the development review / permitting process (projects connected to IZ)

### Zoning and Planning Committee September 12, 2018

12

**QUESTIONS?**