Underwriting Review 236 Auburn Street, Newton, MA

Performed by: Daniel R. Gaulin, subcontractor to FinePoint Associates, May 9, 2017 Updated by: Elizabeth Valenta, City of Newton HOME Program Manager, July 6, 2017

I reviewed the proforma and supporting documentation submitted as part of the full proposal from Citizens for Affordable Housing in Newton Development Organization (CAN-DO) to the City of Newton dated April 28, 2017. This analysis follows the underwriting guidelines of the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development and the WestMetro HOME Consortium. *The underwriting was updated based on a revised proforma dated May 18, 2017, submitted by CAN-DO and also to reflect updated HOME per-unit subsidy limits.*

Total Development Costs: \$3,555,595.00

Project Funding Sources:

Newton CPA Funds	\$ 977,700.00
Newton CDBG Funds	\$ 1,020,000.00
Newton HOME funds	\$ 276,300.90
WestMetro HOME Consortium CHDO-Set Aside	\$ 171,599.10
DHCD – Facilities Consolidation Funds (FCF)	\$ 659,995.00
Sales Proceeds – Price Center	\$ 450,000.00
Total Funding Sources	\$3,555,595.00

Project Description

CAN-DO purchased 236 Auburn Street, a historic single-family house containing three units located on 18,760 sf lot in a Multi-family 1 zoning district. CAN-DO is proposing to rehabilitate the house into a 3-BR rental unit and build two new structures: a duplex containing one 2-BR and one 3-BR unit and a 5-BR group home for individuals with medically intensive disabilities. The project is seeking Facilities Consolidation Funding from the State and HOME, CDBG and Community Preservation funding from the City of Newton.

Total HOME funding request:	\$ 447,900
HOME Maximum Per-Unit Subsidy Limit:	\$ 196,674 - 2 BR <u>\$ 254,531 - 3 BR</u>
	\$ 451,105 Maximum HOME Subsidy

The requested HOME funding is within the current HOME subsidy limits. There will be a total of <u>2 fixed HOME units (the 2-BR unit and a 3-BR unit)</u> which the developer has proposed to designate as High HOME units. However, the units will set a minimum rent based on the published Low HOME rent limits.

The proposed 5-BR group home will be developed by CAN-DO and sold to The Price Center, a large non-profit that specializes in providing housing support services and residents to disabled.

Market Risk Analyses:

There is negligible market risk. The proposed net rent for the 2-BR is \$953/month and the 3-BRs are \$1,084/month. These rents are a third of the market rents in Newton.

The proposed group home has a letter of support from the Massachusetts Department of Developmental Services (DDS) which is willing to refer residents to the development as well as a contract for residential services and support. DSS reports it has identified potential residents for the group home.

Developer Risk Analyses:

The project has a complicated development plan that involves three development entities: CAN-DO, Metro West Collaborative Development (MWCD) and The Price Center. The success of the project depends on the ability of each entity to execute its part of the development.

CAN-DO, the project sponsor, was founded in 1994 and has focused on increasing the supply of affordable rental housing in Newton. MWCD, founded in 1991 as Watertown Community Housing, initially focused on the development and preservation of ownership and rental affordable housing in Watertown, but it has recently taken on development and consulting projects in nearby towns (Belmont, Lexington and Weston) as well somewhat further away (Norwell and Medway). CAN-DO owns and operates 39 scattered site units in Newton. Metro West owns and operates 44 units in two developments in Watertown and it has two projects in development outside of Watertown that are projected to add 66 units to their portfolio.

Two years ago, the Board of Directors of CAN-DO began to plan for the retirement of Josephine McNeil, the founding Executive Director. The Board wanted to retain CAN-DO as an organization but to affiliate with MWCD, sharing a board of directors and executive director. CAN-DO entered into a contract for services for MWCD to operate CAN-DO's rental portfolio and provide development project management services for 236 Auburn Street.

CAN-DO now shares an Executive Director with MWCD: Jennifer Van Campen. Ms. Van Campen led Metro West's developments at St. Joseph Hall and Belmont Street which had larger, more complicated, financing than Auburn Street. Metro West also employs Linda Moody, a part-time project manager who is a licensed architect and continues to operate her own architectural firm. The development team is further strengthened by the addition of Daniel J Violi, a consultant in affordable housing financing who has experience in all types of affordable housing, including group homes.

The Price Center is a Newton-based nonprofit provider of services for individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities and their families, including group homes for the individuals with disabilities. Established in 1977, it has grown into an organization with annual revenue of over \$7,000,000 and currently operates four group homes. Its role in the process is to help obtain \$659,995 in state financing for the group home and to purchase the completed the group home from CAN-DO for \$450,000. The Price Center has a strong track record with the

Underwriting Review for 236 Auburn Street (CAN-DO), Newton, MA

state and it has a strong balance sheet and strong letter of interest from Village Bank to provide mortgage financing for the purchase price. Prior to closing on the HOME/CDBG/CPA funds, Newton should require that The Price Center provide a firm commitment for financing and evidence of sufficient cash to complete the purchase of the group home.

The architect for this project is Terrence Heinlein. Mr. Heinlein specializes in residential construction and has extensive experience with historic preservation and modular construction.

The contractor will be chosen in an open bidding process, managed by the City of Newton Purchasing Dept.

This development team has completed projects of larger size and complexity. Newton should review the final plans and specifications and review the qualifications of the contractor selected in the bid process.

Project Risk Analyses

The project as proposed is not allowed under current zoning. It will need to obtain a comprehensive permit from the City of Newton. There is a chance that the project will not obtain a permit. To mitigate against this risk, the City of Newton should condition its HOME funds on the receipt of a comprehensive permit for the project as proposed.

Sources and Uses

The total development cost is projected to be \$3,555,595 (\$444,449/unit). The project sources are also projected to be \$3,555,595.

CAN-DO purchased the property for \$900,000 on December 29, 2016. The acquisition lender, Village Bank, commissioned an appraisal performed by William J. Lanciloti by Village Bank which indicates an as-is Market Value of \$950,000 as of September 29, 2016. The appraisal assumed that the building which is currently vacant but was last used as a three-family house could be demolished and replaced with an attached two-family structure. The appraisal considered five comparable sales – four two-family houses and one two-family lot. All sales were within Newton with appropriate adjustments for location, size of the lots and inflation of .5% per month. The adjusted values ranged from \$942,000 to \$975,000, which provides strong support for the value of \$950,000.

The hard costs excluding contingency are projected at \$1,782,876.00 or \$161.86/sf. The contingency is 8.65% which is a blended rate of 10% for the new group home, 15% for the historic rehabilitation and 5% for the new modular duplex. The estimated hard cost in the proforma is \$105,121 (5%) lower than the cost estimate prepared by D G Jones International.

The soft costs are projected at \$336,594 or 9.47% of the total development costs. This project has marginally higher than typical soft costs since it requires a comprehensive permit and its architectural fees reflect that there are three distinct projects: a historic rehabilitation, a new group home and a modular duplex. The projected soft costs are reasonable for the size and complexity of the project.

Underwriting Review for 236 Auburn Street (CAN-DO), Newton, MA

The gross developer fee and overhead and consultant fee is projected at \$331,594 or 9.3% of the Total Development costs. This is fee is below the maximum fee allowed under DHCD's Tax Credit Qualified Allocation Plan (\$391,308). It is a reasonable fee for the amount of work it takes to put together a transaction of this size and complexity.

There are 6 sources of funds involved in the project:

WestMetro HOME:	\$ 447,900
Newton CDBG :	\$1,020,000
Newton CPA - Historic:	\$ 300,000
Newton CPA - Housing:	\$ 677,700
Mass Facilities Consolidation Fund	\$ 659,995
The Price Center	\$ 450,000

Income and Expenses

All income and expenses are noted on the Operating ProForma submitted with the development proposal on April 28, 2017 and revised May 31, 2017.

The projections for income and expense inflation are reasonable: 2% for affordable rents, 3% for all expenses. The vacancy rate assumption for residential units is a reasonable 5%.

The maintenance and operating budget of the three family units is projected at \$30,408 or \$10,040/unit/year. This is based on the actual costs in CAN-DO's portfolio and includes \$500/unit for supportive services and an estimate of \$1,500/unit for property taxes. The Consortium may want to confirm with the City Assessor that the value of the property as restricted by the HOME/ CDBG/ CPA funds is in line with a tax bill of \$4,500/year. At Newton's current tax rate of \$11.12 per thousand, the development team is estimating an assessed value of \$404,675 for the three family units.

Metro West intends to manage the property and the rest of the CAN-DO portfolio utilizing a maintenance service available 24 hours a day and its office staff to collect rent and pay bills. Metro West will re-evaluate this approach after a trial run of 6-12 months and determine whether to continue or seek a property management company to handle all of the management tasks. Since all of CAN-DO's current properties for which Metro West will be responsible have some amount of financing from the City of Newton, the Consortium should monitor Metro West's management performance prior to final commitment.

Cash Flow - Return on Equity

Since there is no debt service on the three family units, a debt service coverage ratio cannot be calculated. We can note that the projected initial cash flow is \$5,331 per year represents a cushion of 17.5% above all other expenses.

The proforma does not explicitly identify developer equity; however, two sources totaling \$109,436 can be imputed from the proposal. The appraised value of the property is \$50,000 higher than carried on the proforma and the developer is taking a \$59,436 lower fee than would be allowed under the Massachusetts Tax Credit Allocation Plan, a widely used underwriting benchmark in the state. The projected cash flow results in a modest 4.9% return on imputed equity.

	Risk Category – Risk Factor	Acceptable Risk	Reducible Risk	Unacceptable
1	Market Risk			
	<u>Market Trends</u> – General market trends (vacancy levels, prices) support the development of the additional units at the proposed pricing			
	<u>Neighborhood market</u> – The immediate project area market conditions are positive and support development of the units at the proposed pricing			
	<u>Community conditions</u> – The physical and social conditions in the immediate environment surrounding the proposed project are suitable for the target audience			
	Target population pool – A sufficient pool of target households exists in the primary geographic market area to support the project; or sufficient primary data (waiting lists or client lists) is presented to support timely occupancy of the development upon completion			
	Affordability – The prices are affordable to a reasonable range of income levels (range = minimum income required to afford: maximum eligibility income)	\checkmark		
	<u>Needs</u> – The project design (unit types, sizes, amenities, services) reflects the apparent needs & desires of the target population			
	<u>Competition</u> – The project's price, location, condition and amenities are reasonably attractive in comparison to other housing choices available to low-income area HHs	\checkmark		

Risk Category – Ris		Acceptable Risk	Reducible Risk	Unacceptable
Other market risk fac	ctors:			
				· · · .
None				
None				
2 Borrower Risk		1		1
<u>Compatibility</u> – The p				
compatible with the				
strategic focus of the	e applicant			
Board capacity – The	board (if a	Merger of two		
nonprofit) is stable a	nd has the skills	Merger of two boards results in,	,	
and experience to ov	ersee	stronger oversicht	ł	
development		- 9. <u></u>		
Staff development ca	apacity – The			
applicant's staff & de			Dear has	
have the required ski	•		Developer has reduced visk by	
& complete the proje				4
			hiring a consultan	
Past performance – 1			~	
performed adequate				
projects of a similar r	lature			
Backlog/current proj	ect – The current			
workload will not aff	ect the ability of			
the applicant to com	plete this project			
Equity/pre-dev funds The applicant has the	avaliability –	· · //	0	
for its equity contribution	tions pro	Developer obtained	K.	
development advanc	or 8.	acquestion and		
organizational overh	es a and to support	Developer obtaine cecquestin and pe-development		
the project during pla	anning and	financine from	r	
implementation (5 –		VII OPI		
		Villege Bank		
Liquidity/financial ab		· ·	A VA	
overruns/delays – Th	,		Budget contains	
sufficient financial st	-		adapto hand	
reasonable project de			and a ft	
overruns (check curre			and soft	
liquidity of current as		·	contragency.	
Opportunity costs – 1			V AV	
not be prevented fro	–	Proposed project y		
its other key activitie		of the heart of		
undertaking this deve	elopment	developers mission		

Risk Category – Risk Factor	Acceptable Risk	Reducible Risk	Unacceptable
project			<u>.</u>
<u>Contingent/portfolio liabilities</u> – The financial obligations of the organization with respect to its portfolio or other programs present no significant risks to the proposed project		· ·	
Ongoing management capacity – The applicant (or its identified management agent) has the capacity to manage the ongoing real estate, and to provide services as proposed		Review Metro West's managemen performance Ott the next 6 mail	t er
Other Borrower risk factors:		the next 6 mail If will be manage Ne CAN-DO por	fio,
3 Project Risk			
Development budget cost reasonableness:			
<u>Acquisition cost</u> – The acquisition cost is supported by appraisal or other evidence of market value, and is reasonable given site remediation, infrastructure and prep costs		· · ·	
 <u>Hard costs</u> – Construction/rehab costs: The design incorporates "green principles" for energy-efficiency; 	V	· · ·	
 The scope will ensure property standards compliance & economic useful life for at least the compliance period; 			
 Costs are reasonably related to scope; 			
 Costs are final or based on detailed specifications to be considered reliable; and 			
 There is a reasonable contingency provision for construction costs 			

Risk Category – Risk Factor	Acceptable Risk	Reducible Risk	Unacceptable
Soft costs – All non-construction line items are reasonable and supported, and reflect all expected project- related costs			
<u>Developer/consultant fees</u> – Total fees (including other fees collected by the developer or related parties) are reasonable compared to other projects			
reasonable rent-up periodReserves are capitalized or	#5,000 cupituliza réserves for 3 units		· ·
reasonable annual contributions are in the operating budget <u>Operating budget cost</u> <u>reasonableness:</u> <u>Rental Revenue</u> – Rents comply with HOME limits and are reasonable compared to market rents; vacancy/collection loss allowance is adequate	Low HOME are well below market		
 <u>Operating Expenses</u> – Total operating expenses (per unit per annum, or month) are reasonable for this type of project; all individual line items are reasonable 		Contran realestate taxes	
 <u>Management Expenses</u> – sufficient allowance for management costs for this type of project 			
 <u>Reserve Contributions</u> – Reasonable contributions to operating and replacement reserves (taking into account capitalized contributions to 			

Risk Category – Risk Factor	Acceptable Risk	Reducible Risk	Unacceptable
 reserves – see above) <u>Net Operating Income</u> – NOI and net available for debt service are reasonably calculated to maximum borrowing potential; debt service coverage ratio is reasonable or reflects lender requirements 			
 <u>Cash Flow</u> – Cash flow projections are reasonable and not excessive for equity invested (if any) 		:	
 <u>Services</u> – Adequate provision for services to reflect the target population's needs; service revenue sources identified sufficient to cover service expenses (separate from real estate operations) 	rents projected to cover service budget of 1,500/7".		

Risk Category – Risk Factor	Acceptable Risk	Reducible Risk	Unacceptable
<u>Readiness to Proceed</u> – Project plans are sufficiently advanced to ensure timely commencement of project upon award: <u>Site Control</u> – applicant owns or controls the site			
 <u>Status of Approvals</u> – local approvals are in place or strong support is in evidence 		condition of obtaining permit	1 4
Funds are committedProject designs are completed		condition of c	myletion
 Completion Risk – Completion of the project on time and within budgeted resources is likely, taking into account the following risk factors: <u>Site Acquisition</u> – The risk of not being able to complete acquisition of the site or require environmental remediation <u>Likelihood of Approvals</u> – The risk of obtaining all required approvals to develop the site <u>Adequacy of Funds</u> – The risk of losing or not being able to finalize all critical funding commitments 	Site purchased Clean 21-E	condition of obtaining permin condition on FCF + Village Bout	
 <u>Firmness of Budget</u> – The risk that budget estimates are insufficient to cover construction scope or unfunded cost overruns <u>Realistic Schedule</u> – The risk of failing significantly behind on the proposed implementation 		Condition on Final construction COST within budget	

Risk Category – Risk Factor	Acceptable Risk	Reducible Risk	Unacceptable
Viability Risk – The risk that the	ACCEPTADIE NISK		Unacceptable
project, upon completion, will not be			
able to be maintained as affordable			
housing for at least the compliance			
period:			
 Long-term operating projections 			
(based on reasonable year-to- year rent and expense increases)	\checkmark		
provide adequate reserve			
contributions and positive cash			
flow;			
	•		
 Management & maintenance 			
plans are sufficient to protect			
physical property long-term			
Overall Risk Rating & Project Changes			
Recommended project changes and co	nditions for commitm	ent based on risk fact	ors identified:
	•	·	
Review of final p	ans and s	pec	
	, ,	elected	
· Obtaining compre	Lancine no	min. +	
Statute Comple	hensio pe		
Review of Metrollest	management	ceracity of	CAN-10's porto
I r' I J		CN	
tim commitment	of FCF	funds	
"If control tax "	scamption is for	. Hu conside	r allowing
High HOME resils on			1
right the react on		- 11	
. Final construct	ton budget	withinproje	cted a moun l
· Firm commitme	w of mor	toage finan	cing to The
Price Center in	connection	with its	putchase &
· Firm commitme Price Center in the group how	e		
-			