### SCHLESINGER AND BUCHBINDER, LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW

STEPHEN J. BUCHBINDER ALAN J. SCHLESINGER LEONARD M. DAVIDSON A MIRIAM JAFFE SHERMAN H. STARR, JR. JUDITH L. MELIDEO-PREBLE BARBARA D. DALLIS PAUL N. BELL KATHERINE BRAUCHER ADAMS FRANKLIN J. SCHWARZER RACHAEL C. CARVER ADAM M. SCHECTER 1200 Walnut Street Newton, Massachusetts 02461-1267 Telephone (617) 965-3500

www.sab-law.com Email: sjbuchbinder@sab-law.com

April 21, 2020

### **BY ELECTRONIC MAIL**

Ms. Nadia Khan Committee Clerk Land Use Committee Newton City Council 1000 Commonwealth Avenue Newton, MA 02459-1449

Re: Riverside Station/355 Grove Street and 399 Grove Street / #26-20 and #27-20

Dear Nadia,

I am forwarding herewith a revised Parking Program, partially revised April 21, 2020 relative to the above matter, prepared by 128 Business Council.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Stephen J. Buchbinder/mer

Stephen J. Buchbinder

SJB/mer Attachment

cc: (By Email w/attachment) Mr. Neil Cronin Mr. Damien Chaviano Mr. David Roach **BUILDING 1 OFFICE** 14 LEVELS OF OFFICE

SEE A-1.01 - A-1.04

# RIVERSIDE MASTER PLAN

### HOTEL CONDOMINIUM PARKING (UNDERGROUND)

**GROVE STREET** 

4-2.03

### OFFICE PARKING

4-3.06



SEE A-10.01 - A-10.04

**BUILDING 9** 7 LEVELS OF PARKING **BUILDING 10 GARAGE** 8 LEVELS OF PARKING **1 LEVEL OF RETAIL** 

PARKING PROGRAM - A-9.05

**BUILDING 8** 6 LEVELS OF RESIDENTIAL 1 LEVEL OF RETAIL

SEE A-8.01

prepared by 128 Business Council on behalf of Mark Development, LLC AIN STREET Revision: 2020-04-21

**GROVE STREET** 



Do the 2013 panned parking spaces meet the needs of this development?



	development total	initia	initial es	
Retail	38,895* ft <sup>2</sup>	X	1.95 s	spa
Hotel	150 keys	Х	0.74 s	spa
Residential	582 units	X	1.12 s	spa
Office	253,838* ft <sup>2</sup>	X	2.39 s	spa
MBTA				

\* Square footage cited here does not include mechanical penthouse space.
\*\* This is not a peak demand number, but rather an agreed-upon number of dedicated spaces.





## Total: 2445.36





Where do these peak demand rates come from?



These rates are based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Parking Generation Manual, 5th edition,\* which is widely considered the national standard for evaluating parking demand.

This manual is built upon documented usage comparisons gathered nationwide.

ITE's rates will <u>over-calculate</u> parking needs for:

- shifting trends in mode usage.
- average.
- developments.

For all of these reasons, the ITE rates cited are meant to be used in conjunction with an in-depth consideration of local conditions. We will return to these local conditions below.

(a) more modern developments, thanks to the necessarily historic nature of the data pool and

(b) environments that have higher rates of alternative transportation usage than the nationwide

(c) mixed-use environments, since the majority of the cited studies are of single-use suburban

\* This is an update from earlier parking study numbers which used the 4th edition.





# Why aren't we providing 2445 parking spaces?



Just looking at total <u>peak</u> demand is misleading, because each of these peaks occur at a different time of day. For example, many residential users will have left before most of the office users arrive. When we take into account time of day, the demand on an average weekday looks more like this:



\* Per agreement with the MBTA, 1000 spaces are reserved. Current observed demand (shown by the white demand curve) is lower and therefore allows for significant future MBTA ridership growth.





# course of a day means a lot of empty parking spaces.



Looking only at peak demand numbers without considering how those numbers play out over the

- C. 600 2010 Current Riverside Parking Lot at Projected Weekday Peak 8 of 29



Why is too much parking bad?



- There is a predictive <u>correla</u> increased car use.\*
- The construction of parking drives up the cost of housing in the midst of an affordability and supply crisis.
- The construction of parking competes for financial and spacial resources with more productive (e.g. tax-producing) land uses.

\* See, for example, C. McCahill & N. Garrick, "Automobile Use and Land Consumption: Empirical Evidence from 12 Cities" (2012) and R. Weinberger et. al., "Guaranteed Parking, Guaranteed Driving" (2008).

## • There is a predictive <u>correlation</u> between more parking and



Why is too little parking bad?



- can cause a nuisance for surrounding neighborhoods.
- even leaving their cars.
- Cars backed up looking for parking is an efficiency issue.
- The <u>perception</u> of too little parking makes residential and commercial units harder to rent.

Users end up parking where they aren't supposed to, which

• Users drive away from the development's businesses without



# So how do we figure out what is 'just right'?



In order to determine <u>actual</u> peak demand – peak demand that considers how different types of demand change over the course of the day – we need to identify when combined demand will be the highest. For this development, peak demand across all parking types will occur at **10am**.



\* 691 residential spaces are 100% reserved 6pm-8am.





If peak demand is 2108, then how could 2013 spaces be sufficient?



It wouldn't be. However, this number still isn't realistic, because it does not yet take into account this particular development's local conditions, nor any TDM measures:

1. This is a transit-oriented development located directly alongside (and providing significant support for) a major MBTA station.

It will be a natural destination for folks seeking to live, work, shop, eat or stay without the need for a car, or with reduced reliance upon their car.



## 2. We know that people in Newton regularly use other modes.

According to the 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS) conducted by the U.S. Census Bureau 31-45% (depending upon how you bound the geography) of folks commuting in and out of the area surrounding the development are doing so by some means other than driving alone.

This might mean that they are carpooling or vanpooling, taking public transportation, walking or biking, or working from home. We would expect rates of alternative transportation usage to be especially high near a light rail station.





## 3. This is a mixed-use development.

Residents and employees can just walk down the block to fulfill many of the daily needs that would otherwise require a car trip ("Internal Capture"). Internal Capture is a little bit about lifestyle choices. After all, many people will make the choice to live as close as possible to where they work if that option is available to them.

But it is also a lot about basic human laziness. Why would you drive to get coffee if you can just walk around the corner? Similarly, why would you drive into a development to stop for coffee if you know that that coffee shop will already be full of the development's own residents?



## Therefore:

- Employee parking demand (which accounts for most of the office demand and some of the retail) was adjusted downward by 15% for not driving alone.
- Residential parking demand was adjusted downward by 5% for less driving alone leading to lower car ownership on-site.
- Visitor parking demand (which accounts for most of the retail demand and some of the office) was likewise adjusted downward by 5% for not driving alone.

## These are far more conservative reductions than would be implied by the American Community Survey (ACS) statistics just cited.

- Retail parking demand was further reduced by 20% for internal capture. • Residential parking demand was further reduced by 5% for internal capture

These are, again, conservative estimates for internal capture, especially for a transit-oriented lower reduction than would be justifiable just on the basis of ACS data.

development. However, even for those who are skeptical of this latter category of deductions, the <u>combined</u> reduction for alternative transportation modes plus internal capture still comes out to be a







### Updated rates and resulting reduced peak values:

	reduced demand rate		reduced peak demand	effective 10am demand rate	10am demand		
Retail	38,895* ft <sup>2</sup>	Х	1.466	spaces/1000 ft <sup>2</sup>	57.02	0.792	<b>30.79</b> ટ્રે
Hotel	150 keys	Х	0.626	spaces/key	93.90	0.613	92.02 🚊
Residential	582 units	X	1.011	spaces/unit	588.40	0.546	317.74
Office	253,838* ft <sup>2</sup>	Х	2.055	spaces/1000 ft <sup>2</sup>	521.64	2.055	<b>521.64</b>
MBTA							1000**

\* Square footage cited here does not include mechanical penthouse space. \*\* This is not a peak demand number, but rather an agreed-upon number of dedicated spaces.



Total: 1962.19





occurs at **10am**.



\* 624 residential spaces are 100% reserved 6pm-8am, which is the adjusted peak (overnight) demand for 582 residential units.

### Taking into account these reductions, our updated graphs look like this. Peak demand across all parking types still



Here is the full data table behind the graph on the previous slide, with the assumed minimum daytime residential parking highlighted.

	MBTA	MBTA									
	(Observed)	(Dedicated)	Office		Residential		Hotel		Retail		Total
6:00 AM	355	1000	5%	26.08	nested	588.40	91%	85.45	0% 0.00		1699.93
7:00 AM	371	1000	13%	67.81	nested	588.40	89%	83.57	5%	2.85	1742.64
8:00 AM	458	1000	48%	250.39	nested	588.40	90%	84.51	15%	8.55	1931.85
9:00 AM	562	1000	88%	459.04	55%	323.62	100%	93.90	32%	18.25	1894.81
10:00 AM	602	1000	100%	521.64	54%	317.74	98%	92.02	54%	30.79	1962.19
11:00 AM	636	1000	100%	521.64	53%	311.85	89%	83.57	71%	40.48	1957.55
12:00 PM	636	1000	85%	443.39	50%	294.20	85%	79.82	99%	56.45	1873.86
1:00 PM	635	1000	84%	438.18	<b>49</b> %	288.32	75%	70.43	100%	57.02	1853.94
2:00 PM	630	1000	93%	485.12	<b>49</b> %	288.32	81%	76.06	90%	51.32	1900.82
3:00 PM	626	1000	94%	490.34	50%	294.20	70%	65.73	83%	47.33	1897.60
4:00 PM	613	1000	85%	443.39	58%	341.27	74%	69.49	81%	46.19	1900.34
5:00 PM	591	1000	56%	292.12	64%	376.58	65%	61.04	84%	47.90	1777.63
6:00 PM	559	1000	20%	104.33	nested	588.40	73%	68.55	86%	49.04	1810.31
7:00 PM	419	1000	11%	57.38	nested	588.40	78%	73.24	80%	45.62	1764.64
8:00 PM	314	1000	0%	0.00	nested	588.40	93%	87.33	63%	35.92	1711.65
9:00 PM	236	1000	0%	0.00	nested	588.40	96%	90.14	42%	23.95	1702.49
10:00 PM	177	1000	0%	0.00	nested	588.40	95%	89.21	15%	8.55	1686.16
11:00 PM	133	1000	0%	0.00	nested	588.40	95%	89.21	5%	2.85	1680.46
12:00 AM	99	1000	0%	0.00	nested	588.40	96%	90.14	5%	2.85	1681.40



# That means that at the peak period of 10am, there would be <u>51</u> surplus spaces in the non-MBTA parking areas.

Non-MBTA parking would be 95.0% full, which provides sufficient buffer now that all of the parking is centralized in Buildings 9 & 10

and given the availability of digital, automated, and smart parking systems that can guide drivers toward available spots.



# How does this work in practice?





Digital Parking Signage at Providence Place Mall 25



in unusual situations or high-volume environments.



# VPNE also has extensive experience with floor valet systems for maximizing parking garage space

## Floor Valet Example



# What about a 'worst case scenario'?



The graphs below highlight the parking "surplus" across the workday, combining observed surplus from the MBTA parking field (yellow, which would be used first for most special events) with the planned non-MBTA surplus (pink). Values are provided for the MBTA-specific surplus and the total surplus.









Looking at both observational data and MBTA revenue data, <u>a weekday Red Sox double-header</u> constitutes the currently-known 'worst case scenario' for special event parking at Riverside. However, as shown below, the parking surplus is more than adequate for this situation.



The heavy black line shows the increase in cumulative MBTA transactions at Riverside during a weekday doubleheader, as compared to cumulative transactions on a normal weekday. This model shows 223 additional surplus spaces at 6pm.



