PETITION #179-16 & #180-16

Response-Local Tab Article_Orrblock-Contamination_Ver10jan2017.Docx

Good Evening chairman, council members, fellow Newtonites and concerned parties.

My name is James Pacheco. I reside in Newton Highlands on Circuit Ave. I'm a retired Engineering Consultant with over 40 years experience.

This is my response and review of the issues discovered in a recent Local Tab article, 29 July 2016, by Jonathan Dame: "Developer to address low-level contamination at Newtonville's Orr block." Due to this contamination, I believe there should be no zoning change and that residential housing should be prohibited at this site to protect the inhabitants and the city of Newton's residences and businesses, as I have detailed in the follow.

<u>http://newton.wickedlocal.com/news/20160729/developer-to-address-low-level-</u> <u>contamination-at-newtonvilles-orr-block</u>

The article says "...Reportable levels of oil, lead and other hazardous compounds were <u>discovered</u> in soil at Newtonville's Orr block, the <u>proposed site</u> of a 171-unit mixed-use housing development, according to records filed with the state..."

A recent report from Planning and Development Department, dated 9 September 2016, to LUC, regarding PETITION #179-16 & #180-16 NW corner of Washington and Walnut Streets, see pages 17 & 18, which is a memorandum from Mark Investment, LLC (Client), Sanborn, Head & Associates, Inc. (Sanborn Head) outlines Korff's opinion of the current environmental conditions for the proposed re-development at Washington Place in Newtonville. I find this memorandum lacking clarity and accuracy for it to be considered reliable. As I hope to show in the following information that I have provided.

http://www.newtonma.gov/civicax/filebank/documents/77617

The following table as filed for this site, see references MassDEP-Site 3-0033577_10May2016:

<u>http://public.dep.state.ma.us/SearchableSites2/Site_Info.aspx?textfield_RTN=3-</u> 0033577&searchType=ALL&CurrentPage=1

| Chemicals | | | | |
|------------------------|--|-------|---|---|
| Chemical | Amount Present accd. to MassDEP Report | Units | RCS-1 Reportable Level [mg/kg] | SOIL relative to RCS-1 Limit [%] |
| BENZO[A]PYRENE | 12 | MG/KG | 2 | 600 % |
| BENZO[B]FLUORANTHENE | 19 | MG/KG | 7 | 271 % |
| BENZ[A]ANTHRACENE | 16 | MG/KG | 7 | 229 % |
| DIBENZ[A,H]ANTHRACENE | 2 | MG/KG | 0.7 | 286 % |
| INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE | 8.6 | MG/KG | 7 | 123 % |
| LEAD | 220 | MG/KG | 200 | 110 % |
| PHENANTHRENE | 26 | MG/KG | 10 | 260 % |
| ТРН | 1020 | MG/KG | 1000 | 102 % |

Table 1:

Response-Local Tab Article_Orrblock-Contamination_Ver10jan2017.Docx

From the Mass DEP site for reportable contaminate concentration levels (see the following link), I have added these reportable RCS-1 levels and percentiles over the allowable level to the two columns on the right.

<u>http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/cleanup/regulations/reportable-</u> <u>concentrations-in-groundwater-and-soil.html</u>

Please note in the memorandum by Sanborn, Head & Associates, Inc. (Sanborn Head) are issues identified with contamination levels, and this needs to reviewed with Table 1, Lead and TPH are claimed to be note worthy – These two are not excessively over the maximum limit. But there is no mention of how excessively high the other contaminates and carcinogens are over the maximum. Also, I find it hard to believe that no MassDEP reporting was given for 875 Washington Streets [Sunoco Service Station], since it is also known that this lot is contaminated, as referenced to in the same memorandum. I believe we need more openness with the reporting and data. The reporting needs to include where all the test points are for every lot in the Orr Block Development, and what each set of readings were. Other supporting documentation needs to be provided to verify the veracity of the data: method of testing, certification of instrumentation, etc.

The MassDEP report identifies only two lots in the project. But these lots require truck loads to be removed or remediated, classified as RCS-1. Since these lots are the more accessible lots for testing. I suspect the other lots may have similar readings once the land becomes exposed and could be MassDEP classified as down gradient properties. The Orr Block Property abutters may also be classified as down gradient properties.

From the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) website:

http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/toxsubstance.asp?toxid=25

...following amounts (in air) of individual PAHs is <u>not likely</u> to cause any harmful health effects

Table 2:

| Airborne exposure concentration (mg/m3) relative to human body weight (per kilogram) over long term exposureAirborne Exp - Safe Limit (based on 11 human) | | xposure mit * 110 lbs m) |
|---|------|-----------------------------------|
| 0.03 mg of pyrene | 0.24 | mg |
| 0.04 mg of fluoranthene | 0.38 | mg |
| 0.3 mg of anthracene | 0.32 | mg |
| 0.06 mg of acenaphthene | TBD | mg |
| 0.04 mg of fluorene | TBD | mg |

PETITION #179-16 & #180-16

Response-Local Tab Article_Orrblock-Contamination_Ver10jan2017.Docx

The EPA data is usually mg/kg of contaminant. Where safe exposure levels tend to be a very small amount [thousands of a mg] over a some period of time. Also to be considered is the variable method of human contact - skin contact, oral/ingestion contact, air/lung contact. The human exposure numbers are probability calculations based on controlled tests. Not an exact scientific method. So later in this report I have identified some Hazardous Substance Fact Sheet Classification summary of these contaminates. I have also referenced many web links to resources regarding these contaminates and the end of this paper.

These land concentrations of contaminates in the MassDEP Report appear to be far in excess of any safe limits, as identified in ATSDR website. (The concentration percentages in the soil are in some cases are more than an order of magnitude greater than designated safe levels). Note that the ATSDR did not clearly state that the ATSDR low mg/kg listed in the excerpt table are truly known safe levels.

Here in the Table 3 below are the same contaminates listed at the Orr Block Project site with a tabulation of hazard classifications [As referenced from the Hazardous Substance Fact Sheets published by NJ Department of Health, see the referenced web-sites for each chemical attached].

| Chemical | Human Carcinogen | Air Exposure | Skin Exposure | Ingestion Exposure | General Notes |
|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| BENZO[A]PYRENE | Yes | EA-1,EA-2 | ES-1 | ES-1 | EG-1 |
| BENZO[B]FLUORANTHENE | Yes | EA-1,EA-2 | ES-1 | ES-1 | EG-1 |
| BENZ[A]ANTHRACENE | Yes | EA-1 | ES-1 | ES-1 | EG-1 |
| DIBENZ[A,H]ANTHRACENE | Yes | EA-1 | ES-1 | ES-1 | EG-1 |
| INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE | Yes | | ES-1 | ES-1 | EG-1 |
| LEAD | Yes | EA-3 | | EI-1 | EG-1 |
| PHENANTHRENE | uncertain | EA-1 | ES-1 | ES-1 | EG-1 |
| ТРН | Yes | EA-1,EA-2 | ES-1 | ES-1 | EG-1 |

Table 3: PAH, Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons, exposure hazards to humans

| Table 3N - Notes: PAH, Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons, hazard | ous to humans |
|---|---------------|
|---|---------------|

| Note | Description |
|------|--|
| EG-1 | There may be no safe level of exposure to a carcinogen. |
| EA-1 | OSHA limit is 0.2 mg/m3 average over 8 hours / NIOSH limit is 0.1 mg/m3 average over |
| | 10 hours |
| EA-2 | Exposure to 80 mg/m3 is immediately dangerous to life and health. |
| EA-3 | OSHA limit is 0.05 mg/m3 average over 8 hours / NIOSH limit is 0.05 mg/m3 average |
| | over 10 hours |
| ES-1 | When skin contact occurs, most likely over-exposed. |
| EI-1 | Consider to be Teratogen in humans. |

These Orr Block site levels are so high that I believe that we need to proceed with extreme caution and need to use correct excavation and removal procedures so as not to expose the entire neighborhood and city to these hazardous substances. The concentrations could pose significant risks to all the neighbors, abutters, local businesses, Newton North High School, churches and the general populations that frequent this village area. Once these contaminants are exposed they can easily become airborne and also can be carried into local establishments and our homes from clothing and shoes.

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR),

http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/substances/toxsubstance.asp?toxid=25

These are among the worst contaminant that we can have. The list of concerns is so long that I strongly recommend that everyone in Newton review this ATSDR site to see what they are.

<u>Residents should review these important Questions and Answers at this ATSDR site; there are</u> other related questions there that also should be reviewed

- 1.1 What are PAHs?
- 1.2 What happens to PAHs when they enter the environment?
- 1.3 How might I be exposed to PAHs?
- 1.4 How can PAHs enter and leave my body?
- 1.5 How can PAHs affect my health?
- 1.6 Is there a medical test to determine whether I have been exposed to PAHs?
- 1.7 What recommendations has the federal government made to protect human health?

In my opinion, I believe that this site represents a significant environmental challenge and risks for our Newtonville community and our city. This significant cleanup activity will be occurring in the heart of our Newtonville village. Personally, I would prefer not to allow any of these substances to be exposed. The impact on local business will be significant if there are any issues with this contamination. And the possible impact on many personal lives could also be significant – some of these substances can be lethal. Also noted in the memorandum by Sanborn, Head & Associates, Inc. (Sanborn Head) are deed issue restrictions at 875 Washington Streets [Sunoco Service Station], I believe the removal of these restrictions should not be granted – The restrictions were placed there to protect the Newtonville inhabitances and it's visitors, and these deed restrictions do not to allow any residential buildings at that location.

Due to this contamination, I believe there should be no zoning change and that residential housing should be prohibited at this site to protect the inhabitants and the city of Newton's residences and businesses. And I recommend prohibiting this development to have underground parking since it would possibly release excessive contaminates into our Newtonville village community while under construction. Thank you for hearing my concerns. James Pacheco Retired Consultant Engineer

48 Circuit Ave.Newton Highlands, MA 02461-1603 @ Work-Home: 617-244-8029 @ Cell: 617-953-0653 E-mail: James @ Pacheco.net

Post Note: In another letter, please look forward to reviewing my concerns, comments and recommendations regarding the abutters to the contamination on the Orr Block Project property.

Other related sites:

Reportable Concentrations in Groundwater and Soil

http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/cleanup/regulations/reportableconcentrations-in-groundwater-and-soil.html

MassDEP Background Levels of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Metals in Soil http://www.mass.gov/eea/docs/dep/cleanup/laws/backtu.pdf

Benzo(a) pyrene

http://apps.sepa.org.uk/spripa/Pages/SubstanceInformation.aspx?pid=22 http://nj.gov/health/eoh/rtkweb/documents/fs/0207.pdf

BENZO[B]FLUORANTHENE

http://nj.gov/health/eoh/rtkweb/documents/fs/0208.pdf

BENZ[A]ANTHRACENE

http://nj.gov/health/eoh/rtkweb/documents/fs/0193.pdf http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/ipcsneng/neng0385.html

DIBENZ[A,H]ANTHRACENE

https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Dibenz_a_h_anthracene#section=Top https://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/csem/csem.asp?csem=13&po=8

INDENO(1,2,3-CD)PYRENE

http://nj.gov/health/eoh/rtkweb/documents/fs/3052.pdf

LEAD

No amount of lead is safe. Eliminating all lead exposure in our environment is our best course of action.

https://www.niehs.nih.gov/health/topics/agents/lead/

PHENANTHRENE

http://nj.gov/health/eoh/rtkweb/documents/fs/3004.pdf

THP

https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-screening-levels-frequent-questions-may-2016

Soil lead levels less than 400 mg/kg are generally **safe** for residential use <u>https://www.epa.gov/risk/regional-screening-levels-frequent-questions-may-2016#FQ1</u>

Toxic Substances Portal - Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxfaqs/tf.asp?id=121&tid=25

Toxicological Profile for Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/TP.asp?id=122&tid=25

HEALTH EFFECTS - Overall Perspective of the Toxicology of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp69-c2.pdf

POTENTIAL FOR HUMAN EXPOSURE - Discussion of the Environmental Fate and Potential for Human Exposure to 17 PAHs

http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp69-c5.pdf

Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in the Soil Sources, Health Effects, Clean-Up Techniques and PAHs at Alameda Point

http://www.stmarys-ca.edu/sites/default/files/attachments/files/PAHgroupproject.doc

Lead Exposure

https://www.epa.gov/children/childrens-environmental-health-facts

Half a million kids in the U.S. have elevated levels of lead in their blood – impairing brain development, contributing to learning and behavioral problems and lowering their IQ's. This is a problem that needs to be solved.

Childhood Cancer

https://www.epa.gov/children/childrens-environmental-health-facts

• The causes of childhood cancer are poorly understood, though different forms of cancer have different causes. A number of studies suggest that environmental contaminants, including radiation, secondhand smoke, pesticides and solvents, may play a role in the development of childhood cancers.

Summary of the Clean Air Act

- PDF of CAA, from U.S. Senate (465 pp, 1.1MB, About PDF)
- The official text of the CAA is available in <u>the United States Code on FDSys</u>, from the US Government Printing Office 42 U.S.C. §7401 et seq. (1970) <u>https://www.epa.gov/laws-regulations/summary-clean-air-act</u>

Summary of the Clean Water Act

<u>PDF of CWA, from U.S. Senate</u> (234 pp, 571K, <u>About PDF</u>) The official text of the CWA is available in <u>the *United States Code*on FDSys</u>, from the US

Government Printing Office 33 U.S.C. §1251 et seq. (1972)

PETITION #179-16 & #180-16

EXPERTS CITE TAINTED SOIL, CALL FOR LEGAL PROTECTIONS

http://www.nytimes.com/1986/12/28/weekinreview/experts-cite-tainted-soil-call-for-legal-protections.html

Mass DEP Site Cleanup Policies & Guidance

http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/cleanup/regulations/site-cleanup-policiesguidance.html

MCP Numerical Standards – MassDEP

http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/massdep/cleanup/regulations/mcp-numerical-standards.html

Sunoco Service Station - Contamination

Sunoco-

Aug1995_MassDEP_BWSC104_BWSC113_BWSC_114_GESreport_180pages.aspx http://public.dep.state.ma.us/fileviewer/DefaultScanned.aspx?documentid=84970