TRANSMITTAL:

To: LINDA FINUCANE, CLERK NEWTON BOARD OF ALDERMAN 1000 COMMONWEALTH AVE NEWTON, MA

RE: DOCKET#212-12 1081 WASHINGTON ST

DEAR MS FINUCANE,

PLEASE FIND THE ENCLOSED PETITION (7 PAGES) IN OPPOSITION TO THE ABOVE REFERENCED APPLICATION FOR A SPECIAL PERMIT. PLEASE MAKE THIS PETITION AVAILABLE TO THE MEMBERS OF THE LAND USE COMMITTEE AND ENTER IT INTO THE PUBLIC RECORD.

THANK YOU, TIM TECHLER 40 CROSS ST, W. NEWTON, MA

2012 SEP -7 PM 1: 3

Newton City Clerk

Address Name 51 Parsonst. W. Newton Ernest 57 homos Nancy Carbonaro 57 Parsons St. W. Newton Thurm Kussell 59 Farson St. W. Newton Laul Hahn 59 Parsons St. W. Juston Tel Cerleso 57 Parsons St W. Newton Losell Wake 51 Dand &1-West Newton 51 Pusa st With heuten Cirken Out

- 1- The developer of this site has been working with the Planning Department since last March, yet made no attempt to contact or meet with the neighborhood until the middle of August. At that meeting he presented a plan that had been fully developed without any input from those most directly affected. This left us little to no time either to study the plans or to engage in a dialogue with the aldermen who will be reviewing it on September 11th.
- 2- The proposed plan for the site provides inadequate parking. The parking that is provided is on a rear lot that is not visible from the street. The parking waiver being sought by the applicant does not take into account the current parking issues on Washington and adjacent residential streets. The constrained space for cars entering and exiting the parking lot, combined with the lack of street visibility of the lot, will likely result in its limited use by customer and increased parking on already crowded adjacent streets.

3-The proposed project asks for a side setback waiver that will block virtually alphe natural light of the adjacent residence leaving that residence with a view of a slack concrete wall. This waiver request is tied to an extension of a non-conforming structure as to yard and setback.

We are not anti-development but feel strongly that a more appropriate design with a parking that fully meets zoning requirement on site, would be a much better solution for this site and the neighborhood.

Vewton City Clerk

Name, C 1.1	Address	
William CWY Lamb	1075 Washington Stowner & New	on resident
Joanne Mc Carllet	1075 Washing Cox St Temph + New 1075 WASHINGTON ST.W.N	Tox Besident
Muy Allanda	1075 WAGHINGTON ST.W.N	ENTON)
(was suda no	1075 WAShington & WNowher	02465
Delooxall Pierco, owner	1075 Washington St, When	かっ
Tolly of Cotters	10 75 Was by and St. Newboy	
	27	
·		

- 1- The developer of this site has been working with the Planning Department since last March, yet made no attempt to contact or meet with the neighborhood until the middle of August. At that meeting he presented a plan that had been fully developed without any input from those most directly affected. This left us little to no time either to study the plans or to engage in a dialogue with the aldermen who will be reviewing it on September 11th.
- 2- The proposed plan for the site provides inadequate parking. The parking that is provided is on a rear lot that is not visible from the street. The parking waiver being sought by the applicant does not take into account the current parking issues on Washington and adjacent residential streets. The constrained space for cars entering and exiting the parking lot, combined with the lack of street visibility of the lot, will likely result in its limited use by customer and increased parking on already crowded adjacent streets.
- 3-The proposed project asks for a side setback waiver that will block virtually all the natural light of the adjacent residence leaving that residence with a view of a blank concrete wall. This waiver request is tied to an extension of a non-conforming structure as to yard and setback.

Name	Address
Judy & Ales	43 Cross St
Helen Califile	846 Waterown
Envel Cerlet	846 Watertown St W. News
Bachaia miller	41 Crass St
Part Beson	49 Cross St
ALLUIT)	49 cross st
Bu Paison	49A Cross St.
0	

- 1- The developer of this site has been working with the Planning Department since last March, yet made no attempt to contact or meet with the neighborhood until the middle of August. At that meeting he presented a plan that had been fully developed without any input from those most directly affected. This left us little to no time either to study the plans or to engage in a dialogue with the aldermen who will be reviewing it on September 11^{th} .
- 2- The proposed plan for the site provides inadequate parking. The parking that is provided is on a rear lot that is not visible from the street. The parking waiver being sought by the applicant does not take into account the current parking issues on Washington and adjacent residential streets. The constrained space for cars entering and exiting the parking lot, combined with the lack of street visibility of the lot, will likely result in its limited use by customer and increased parking on already crowded adjacent streets.
- 3-The proposed project asks for a side setback waiver that will block virtually all the natural light of the adjacent residence leaving that residence with a view of a blank concrete wall. This waiver request is tied to an extension of a non-conforming structure as to yard and setback.

Name	Address
Aret West	16 (mas St. 1
from by line.	1089 Washinston IT.
Norch write.	11e Crox St.
	`
•	·
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

- 1- The developer of this site has been working with the Planning Department since last March, yet made no attempt to contact or meet with the neighborhood until the middle of August. At that meeting he presented a plan that had been fully developed without any input from those most directly affected. This left us little to no time either to study the plans or to engage in a dialogue with the aldermen who will be reviewing it on September $11^{\text{th.}}$
- 2- The proposed plan for the site provides inadequate parking. The parking that is provided is on a rear lot that is not visible from the street. The parking waiver being sought by the applicant does not take into account the current parking issues on Washington and adjacent residential streets. The constrained space for cars entering and exiting the parking lot, combined with the lack of street visibility of the lot, will likely result in its limited use by customer and increased parking on already crowded adjacent streets.
- 3-The proposed project asks for a side setback waiver that will block virtually all the natural light of the adjacent residence leaving that residence with a view of a blank concrete wall. This waiver request is tied to an extension of a non-conforming structure as to yard and setback.

Name , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Address
Sarah Argele GARAH	35, CROSS ST, NEWTON MA
AKCESE,	
A. Accese [A. ARCESE]	35 CROSS ST. NEWTON, MA
·	
	*

- 1- The developer of this site has been working with the Planning Department since last March, yet made no attempt to contact or meet with the neighborhood until the middle of August. At that meeting he presented a plan that had been fully developed without any input from those most directly affected. This left us little to no time either to study the plans or to engage in a dialogue with the aldermen who will be reviewing it on September $11^{\text{th.}}$
- 2- The proposed plan for the site provides inadequate parking. The parking that is provided is on a rear lot that is not visible from the street. The parking waiver being sought by the applicant does not take into account the current parking issues on Washington and adjacent residential streets. The constrained space for cars entering and exiting the parking lot, combined with the lack of street visibility of the lot, will likely result in its limited use by customer and increased parking on already crowded adjacent streets.
- 3-The proposed project asks for a side setback waiver that will block virtually all the natural light of the adjacent residence leaving that residence with a view of a blank concrete wall. This waiver request is tied to an extension of a non-conforming structure as to yard and setback.

Name	Address	
Time Time	MILETANIEL AD GROSS ST. NEW	TON, WA.
y machine Mid	helle tables in on. s.	
10		
	·	
	·	

- 1- The developer of this site has been working with the Planning Department since last March, yet made no attempt to contact or meet with the neighborhood until the middle of August. At that meeting he presented a plan that had been fully developed without any input from those most directly affected. This left us little to no time either to study the plans or to engage in a dialogue with the aldermen who will be reviewing it on September $11^{\rm th}$.
- 2- The proposed plan for the site provides inadequate parking. The parking that is provided is on a rear lot that is not visible from the street. The parking waiver being sought by the applicant does not take into account the current parking issues on Washington and adjacent residential streets. The constrained space for cars entering and exiting the parking lot, combined with the lack of street visibility of the lot, will likely result in its limited use by customer and increased parking on already crowded adjacent streets.
- 3-The proposed project asks for a side setback waiver that will block virtually all the natural light of the adjacent residence leaving that residence with a view of a blank concrete wall. This waiver request is tied to an extension of a non-conforming structure as to yard and setback.

Name	Address
BRUNO CEDRONE	26 WISWALL SHREET
	·
	·
	<u>.</u>
,	

- 1- The developer of this site has been working with the Planning Department since last March, yet made no attempt to contact or meet with the neighborhood until the middle of August. At that meeting he presented a plan that had been fully developed without any input from those most directly affected. This left us little to no time either to study the plans or to engage in a dialogue with the aldermen who will be reviewing it on September 11th.
- 2- The proposed plan for the site provides inadequate parking. The parking that is provided is on a rear lot that is not visible from the street. The parking waiver being sought by the applicant does not take into account the current parking issues on Washington and adjacent residential streets. The constrained space for cars entering and exiting the parking lot, combined with the lack of street visibility of the lot, will likely result in its limited use by customer and increased parking on already crowded adjacent streets.
- 3-The proposed project asks for a side setback waiver that will block virtually all the natural light of the adjacent residence leaving that residence with a view of a blank concrete wall. This waiver request is tied to an extension of a non-conforming structure as to yard and setback.

Name	Address
Begret Pollingon	1000 WASHINOTON ST.
60 Osera Roberisa	1077 Washington ST. 1077 Washington ST. 1077 Washington St
Krystal Kothinson	1077 Washington ST.
Raday Fabinson	1077 Washington St
	0
ere de reconstitue que en commencial de la companya	
and the second s	