



Programs & Services Committee Agenda

City of Newton In City Council

Wednesday, December 7, 2022

7:00 PM

The Programs & Services Committee will hold this meeting as a Zoom Meeting on Wednesday, December 7, 2022 at 7pm. To view this meeting using Zoom use this link: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89330327596> or call 1-646-558-8656 and use the Meeting ID: 893 3032 7596.

Items Scheduled for Discussion:

Chair's Note: *The Programs & Services Committee will join Public Safety & Transportation to discuss the following item at: <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87606529998>*

Please refer to the Public Safety & Transportation agenda for relevant backup information.

Referred to Public Safety & Transportation Committee

#67-22

Requesting regular discussion with the Police Department

COUNCILOR DOWNS requesting regular discussion with the Police Department of police data, including crashes, types of calls, numbers and dispositions.

Public Safety & Transportation Held 6-0 on 09/08/22

Referred to Programs & Services and Finance Committees

#528-22

Request for discussion and ordinance to prohibit deceptive advertising by limited-service pregnancy centers

COUNCILORS HUMPHREY, NOEL, BOWMAN, RYAN, NORTON, LEARY, LUCAS, MALAKIE, WRIGHT, AND DANBERG requesting discussion to prohibit limited-service pregnancy centers from making deceptive advertisements concerning pregnancy-related services or the provision of pregnancy-related services, including implementing a penalty for violation of the ordinance.

The location of this meeting is accessible and reasonable accommodations will be provided to persons with disabilities who require assistance. If you need a reasonable accommodation, please contact the City of Newton's ADA Coordinator, Jini Fairley, at least two business days in advance of the meeting: jfairley@newtonma.gov or (617) 796-1253. The city's TTY/TDD direct line is: 617-796-1089. For the Telecommunications Relay Service (TRS), please dial 711.

Chair's Note: *The Chair will entertain a motion of No Action Necessary on the following item.*

#478-22 Request for discussion and ordinance to prohibit deceptive advertising by limited-service pregnancy centers
COUNCILORS HUMPHREY, NOEL, BOWMAN, RYAN, NORTON, LEARY, LUCAS, MALAKIE, WRIGHT, AND DANBERG requesting discussion and to consider an ordinance to prohibit limited-service pregnancy centers from making deceptive advertisements concerning pregnancy-related services or the provision of pregnancy-related services.

#510-22 Reappointment of Marguerite Connolly to the Parks and Recreation Commission
HER HONOR THE MAYOR reappointing Marguerite Connolly, 289 Cherry Street, Newton as the Ward 3 member of the Parks and Recreation Commission for a term of office to expire on December 31, 2025. (60 Days: 01/20/2023)

#511-22 Reappointment of Mark Feldhusen to the Parks and Recreation Commission
HER HONOR THE MAYOR reappointing Mark Feldhusen, 144 Cherry Street, Newton as an alternate member of the Parks and Recreation Commission for a term of office to expire on December 31, 2025. (60 Days: 01/20/2023)

#512-22 Reappointment of Sue Rasala to the Council on Aging
HER HONOR THE MAYOR reappointing Sue Rasala, 285 Tremont Street, Newton as a member of the Council on Aging for a term of office to expire on January 31, 2025. (60 Days: 01/20/2023)

Referred to Programs & Services and Finance Committees

#411-22 Request for Amendment to Chapter 21, Art III Trees to extend tree protection and update permits
COUNCILORS MALAKIE, NORTON, LEARY, RYAN, WRIGHT, LUCAS, HUMPHREY, BOWMAN, MARKIEWICZ, OLIVER, DOWNS, LAREDO, AND GROSSMAN requesting revisions and amendments to Chapter 21, Art. III Trees (sec. 21-60 through 21-89) to extend tree protection to all trees 6 inches DBH (diameter at breast height) and greater within the City (with no exempt lots); to update tree removal permits, fees, and compensation; and to strengthen protection for existing and replacement trees.

Programs & Services Held 7-0 (Councilor Ryan not voting) on 11/9/22

Referred to Programs & Services and Finance Committees

#412-22

Request for Review and Amendments to Chapter 21, Art. III, Division 3

HER HONOR THE MAYOR requesting review and amendments to Chapter 21, Art. III, Division 3, Tree Preservation to increase replacement requirements for larger trees, add protections for smaller trees, limit removal of trees without replacement, enhance procedures for protecting trees, increasing payment required for trees cut without replacement, protect trees on lots adjacent to construction, provide Tree Warden with additional professional input on trees on private properties.

Programs & Services Held 7-0 (Councilor Ryan not voting) on 11/9/22

Respectfully Submitted,

Josh Krintzman, Chair

November 28, 2022

Councilor Kristen Strezo
Somerville, Massachusetts 02143

Honorable Councilors,

My name is Councilor Kristen Strezo, I am an At-Large Councilor proudly representing my beloved Somerville.

I write to you today in support of Newton creating regulations for CPCs either through an Ordinance similar to the deceptive language Ordinance on "Crisis Pregnancy Centers" (or CPCs). I wholeheartedly believe that your constituents will support your efforts to protect their bodily autonomy through CPC regulation and I do believe that your constituents will appreciate and support your efforts to protect their dignity.

In March of 2022, I introduced and modified an Ordinance banning "Crisis Pregnancy Centers" (or CPCs) from distributing deceptive and misleading information in Somerville. The Ordinance was modeled after an Ordinance in Connecticut and withstood legal challenges. I felt municipal regulations on CPCs were necessary to protect my constituents, and I found that a proactive Ordinance as Somerville's was a good start.

Colleagues, Somerville's Ordinance does not ban any CPCs from existing, but it does protect your constituents and their right to receive medically accurate information. It ensures that constituents are presented with unbiased medical information, not information that is curated based on ideologies.

Additionally, I am a Jewish woman, and in Judaism we believe that the individual has a right to decide when and *if* to have a family. We, as Jews, respect the right for *all* to lean on their own religion belief to help guide decisions---however it is not our role as Jews to inflict our beliefs onto others---especially when it comes to reproductive rights or abortion.

But, let's start on what CPCs are and their model of business.

The National Library of Medicine called CPCs a "unique and disconcerting hybrid of anti-choice activism, religious propagandism and pseudo-medical practice." The model of CPCs is oftentimes to mimic actual women's health centers in an attempt to deceive those in one of the most vulnerable times of their lives; a potential unplanned pregnancy. **CPCs are known to withhold information on pregnancy (which could be life-threatening in the cases of ectopic pregnancy, hemorrhaging or for seeking accurate reproductive health information).** CPCs particularly target women of color. They also prey on young, low income or disenfranchised women.

Colleagues, you have several colleges in your region. You are well aware that you have a constituency that includes many younger college students, sometimes new to your area, sometimes new to Massachusetts or even the United States.

If one of your constituents should find themselves pregnant--or afraid she may be pregnant---she may google 'abortion' or pregnancy in the area. Since CPCs outnumber health centers 3 to 1 in Massachusetts, the results will likely pull up nearby CPCs that oftentimes masquerade as an unbiased resource "exploring all options".

She may find herself so panicked that she may be enticed to visit this CPC. And that's exactly what "Crisis Pregnancy Centers" are relying on: CPCs attempt to dissuade pregnant people from patient-centered healthcare.

CPCs statistically staff volunteers with no medical training who oftentimes present themselves in scrubs while performing sonograms. CPC volunteers often parrot bogus medical information such as that those who have abortions are more likely to get breast cancer---or that she may become infertile after an abortion or suffer from serious mental health problems after an abortion---or CPCs are also known to push dangerous unfounded beliefs like, for example, that condoms are most likely to fail because of microscopic holes that then transmit AIDS (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4WaN9ab6vg4>).

What's worse there are numerous reports that have found that CPCs intentionally lie about the accuracy of basic medical services, as the Journal of Ethics has evaluated. (<https://journalofethics.ama-assn.org/article/why-crisis-pregnancy-centers-are-legal-unethical/2018-03>). CPCs promote the unfounded "abortion pill reversal", a practice the American College of Obstetrics & Gynecologists denounced as unethical. I wish to mention that the majority of CPCs are not medical clinics, therefore not bound by HIPAA- so they can track or sell personal information.

CPCs often claim they offer resources like free diapers and baby clothes. What CPCs won't disclose are the hoops new parents have to jump through to receive these items; like only 'earning' diapers or baby clothes by attending bible classes or religion-based counseling classes or abstinence-only sessions. And the items are not continuous; it may be one pack of diapers earned, or one onesie every few months.

Gentle Colleagues, we need your advocacy now more than ever to initiate proactive steps that protect your constituents right to body autonomy. Your constituents deserve accurate medical care and medical information, not misleading religious propaganda based on false information, such as what CPCs distribute.

It is our job as elected officials to act on behalf of the common good. Protecting your constituents from misleading medical information is one way you can stand up to support your city. I urge you to ensure that your constituents are receiving the respect and dignity of accurate and safe medical information through better regulation of CPCs

Please reach out to me with further questions, should you have them. I thank you for serving your community and the Commonwealth.

My Very Best,

Councilor Kristen Strezo
Somerville City Councilor, at-Large

CHAPTER 12. HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

ARTICLE X. DECEPTIVE ADVERTISING PRACTICES OF LIMITED SERVICES PREGNANCY CENTERS

Sec. 12-73. Definitions

The following words shall, unless the context clearly requires otherwise, have the following meanings:

Abortion means the termination of a pregnancy for purposes other than producing a live birth. "Abortion" includes, but is not limited to, a termination of a pregnancy using pharmacological agents.

Client means an individual who is inquiring about or seeking services at a Pregnancy Services Center.

Clinical Laboratory Services means the microbiological, serological, chemical, hematological, biophysical, cytological or pathological examination of materials derived from the human body for the purpose of obtaining information for the diagnosis, prevention or treatment of disease or the assessment of a health condition.

Emergency Contraception means one or more prescription drugs:

- a) used separately or in combination for the purpose of preventing pregnancy;
- b) administered to or self-administered by a patient within a medically recommended amount of time after sexual intercourse;
- c) dispensed for such purpose in accordance with professional standards of practice; and,
- d) determined by the United States Food and Drug Administration to be safe for such purpose.

Health Information means any oral or written information in any form or medium that relates to health insurance or the past, present or future physical or mental health or condition of a Client.

Licensed Health Care Provider means a person licensed under the provisions of federal or state law to provide health care or other medical services.

Limited Services Pregnancy Center means a Pregnancy Services Center that does not directly provide, or provide referrals for, Abortions or Emergency Contraception.

Pregnancy-Related Service means any medical or health counseling service related to pregnancy or pregnancy prevention, including, but not limited to, contraception and contraceptive counseling, pregnancy testing, pregnancy diagnosis, pregnancy options counseling, obstetric ultrasound, obstetric sonogram and Prenatal Care.

Pregnancy Services Center means a facility, including a mobile facility, the primary purpose of which is to provide services to Clients who are or have reason to believe they may be pregnant and that either:

- a) offers Pregnancy-Related Services; or
- b) has the appearance of a medical facility by virtue of having two or more of the following factors present: (i) staff or volunteers who wear medical attire and uniforms; (ii) one or more examination tables; (iii) a private or semiprivate room or area containing medical supplies or medical instruments; (iv) staff or volunteers who collect Health Information from Clients; or (v) the facility is located on the same Premises as a licensed health care facility or Licensed Health Care Provider or shares facility space with a Licensed Health Care Provider.

Premises means land and improvements or appurtenances or any part thereof.

Prenatal Care means services consisting of a physical examination, pelvic examination or Clinical Laboratory services provided to a Client during pregnancy.

Sec. 12-74. Deceptive practices.

No Limited Services Pregnancy Center, with the intent to perform a Pregnancy-Related Service, shall make or disseminate before the public, or cause to be made or disseminated before the public, in any newspaper or other publication, through any advertising device, or in any other manner, including, but not limited to, through use of the internet, any statement concerning any Pregnancy-Related Service or the provision of any Pregnancy-Related Service that is deceptive, whether by statement or omission, and that a Limited Services Pregnancy Center knows or reasonably should know to be deceptive.

Sec. 12-75. Enforcement.

Whoever violates any provision of this section may be penalized by a noncriminal disposition as provided in M.G.L. c. 40 § 21D. Each failure to comply with the requirements set forth in this Article shall be deemed a separate offense. The civil penalty for each such violation is set forth in Section 17-23(b).

CHAPTER 17. LICENSING, PERMITS; & CIVIL FINES GENERALLY

Art. III. Civil Fines/Non-criminal Disposition, §§ 17-21—17-23

Sec. 17-23. Enforcing persons and revised ordinances subject to civil fine.

(b) HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT:

Section 12-73 through 12-75, Deceptive Advertising Practices of Limited Services Pregnancy Centers

() Any offense \$300.00

DRAFT

Sec. 21-80 Findings, intent, and purpose.

The city council has determined that many trees are being lost without replacement incident to demolition of existing buildings in order to construct new buildings and lot clearing in connection with the construction of new buildings on previously undeveloped land. The city council has further determined that trees have been lost, severely damaged or disfigured through excessive or improper pruning or other than natural causes. The result is a net loss of the tree population in the city. The city council has further determined that the city has insufficient legal vehicles to assure that such development adequately preserves, protects and provides for replacement of trees.

The preservation of the private tree canopy and the planting of replacement trees is intended to enhance the quality of life and the environment of the city; to preserve the character of the wooded and natural areas; to reduce energy consumption; to protect air quality; to baffle noise; to preserve and enhance habitat for wildlife; to reduce topsoil erosion and storm water runoff; to protect and increase property values; and to enhance the overall appearance of the city. (Ord. No. A-38, 05-05-14)

Proposed change - difference in versions

Councilor Proposal	City Proposal
<p>The city council has determined that <u>Newton has lost and continues to lose trees at an alarming rate. Tree loss contributes significantly to the climate emergency that the US Centers for Disease Control creates a public health crisis by producing extreme heat; air pollution; increased allergens; diseases carried by mosquitos, ticks, and rodents; flooding; and more.</u> many trees are being lost without replacement incident to demolition of existing buildings in order to construct new buildings and lot clearing in connection with the construction of new buildings on previously undeveloped land. The city council has further determined that trees have been lost, severely damaged or disfigured through excessive or improper pruning or other than natural causes. The result is a net loss of the tree population in the city. The city council has further determined that the city has insufficient legal vehicles to assure that such development adequately preserves, protects and provides for replacement of trees.</p> <p><u>Healthy mature trees provide immense public services that cannot be replaced, even by planting saplings. According to Newton’s tree warden, an AVERAGE mature street tree (17" DBH) removes 2600 lbs of carbon per year, conserves 1300 kWh per year, intercepts 1900 lbs of stormwater per year. Moreover, it takes 90 new trees to sequester as much carbon as one mature tree. The city council has determined that replacement alone is not enough. The city council has further determined that the city has insufficient legal vehicles to assure the adequately preservation and protection of mature</u></p>	<p>No Change Proposed</p>

trees and the provision for mitigating costs to the city of tree loss, both by replacement of trees and by an environmental mitigation payment.

The preservation of ~~Newton's the private~~ tree canopy ~~and the planting of replacement trees~~ is intended to preserve public health, mitigate climate impacts, and thereby enhance the quality of life and the environment of the city; ~~to preserve~~ . A mature healthy tree canopy preserves the character of the wooded and natural areas; ~~to~~ reduces energy consumption; ~~to~~ protects air quality; ~~to~~ baffles noise; ~~to~~ preserves and enhances habitat for wildlife; ~~to~~ reduces topsoil erosion and storm water runoff; ~~to~~ protects and increases property values; and to enhances the overall appearance of the city. (Ord. No. A-38,05-05-14)

Sec. 21-81. Definitions.

The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this article, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

Aggregate diameter : The combined diameter of a multiple trunk tree measured at breast height.

Building : The term "building" shall be as defined in section 30-1.

Certified arborist : An arborist certified by the Massachusetts Arborists Association or International Society of Arboriculture, or any successor organization.

Diameter breast height (DBH) : The diameter of the trunk of a tree 4½ feet above the existing grade at the base of the tree.

Exempt lot: A lot which meets all of the following criteria:

- (1)The lot is occupied and used primarily as a dwelling for up to four families at the time any protected tree(s) are removed.
- (2)The lot owner at the time of protected tree removal has owned the lot continuously for a minimum of ninety (90) days prior to the removal of any protected tree(s).
- (3)The existing structure on the lot remains occupied as a dwelling with a person or persons living in it for eighteen consecutive months from the date any protected tree(s) are removed.
- (4)The lot remains owned by the same person for eighteen consecutive months from the date any protected tree(s) are removed.

Proposed change - same in both versions

~~Exempt lot: -A lot which meets all of the following criteria:~~

- ~~(1)The lot is occupied and used primarily as a dwelling for up to four families at the time any protected tree(s) are removed.~~
- ~~(2)The lot owner at the time of protected tree removal has owned the lot continuously for a minimum of ninety (90) days prior to the removal of any protected tree(s).~~
- ~~(3)The existing structure on the lot remains occupied as a dwelling with a person or persons living in it for eighteen consecutive months from the date any protected tree(s) are removed.~~
- ~~(4)The lot remains owned by the same person for eighteen consecutive months from the date any protected tree(s) are removed.~~

Exterior work permit : A permit or approval which is required in order to perform work on a vacant lot or to the exterior of a building on a lot, including, but not limited to the following: a building permit; a review of an alteration of contour of land if required pursuant to section 30-5(c)(1); curb cut and street opening permits; an order of conditions; certificates of appropriateness, nonapplicability, or hardship; a demolition permit pursuant to section 22-44; site plan approval pursuant to section 30-23; subdivision approval; a special permit pursuant to section 30-24; a comprehensive permit.

Occupied Lot : A lot containing a legally constructed, permanent structure, used primarily as a dwelling that is currently being legally occupied and lived in and used as a residence by a person or persons. The dwelling must have a functioning, legally permitted, permanent water service, permanent sanitary service, and permanent electrical service.

Person : Any person, firm, partnership, association, corporation, company or organization of any kind including, but not limited to, the person removing a protected tree as well as the owner of the real property from which the tree is removed. The definition of "person" shall not include the City of Newton.

Protected tree : Any tree having a diameter of 8" DBH or larger or having an aggregate diameter of fifteen inches (15") DBH or larger and which is located on land subject to the provisions of section 21-82.

Proposed change - same in both versions

Protected tree: Any tree having a diameter of ~~8" DBH or larger or having an aggregate diameter of fifteen inches (15")~~ 6" DBH or larger and which is located on land subject to the provisions of section 21-82.

Pruning standards : Standards for pruning as defined in the City of Newton Tree Management Manual, 1995 and any future amendments or revisions to the same.

Proposed change - same in both versions

Pruning standards: Standards for pruning as defined in the ~~City of Newton Tree Management Manual, 1995 and any future amendments or revisions to the same.~~ most current American National Standard Institute (ANSI) A300 Pruning Standards and as defined by the Tree Warden.

Remove (including removing and removal) : The cutting down of any protected tree and all other acts which cause the actual removal or the effective removal through damaging, poisoning or other direct or indirect actions resulting in the death of a protected tree, including, but not limited to, excessive or improper pruning.

Tree Manual : The City of Newton Tree Management Manual, 1995, and any future amendments and revisions to the same. (Ord. No. V-275, 12-6-99)

Proposed change - same in both versions

~~Tree Manual: The City of Newton Tree Management Manual, 1995, and any future amendments and revisions to the same. (Ord. No. V-275, 12-6-99)~~

Tree Warden : The commissioner of parks, recreation and culture or his designee. (Rev. Ord. 2007, § 20-31; Ord. No. A-38, 05-05-14; Ord. No. B-53, 03-02-20)

Proposed change - new definitions added - same in both versions

Landmark Tree : Any tree having a diameter of 40” DBH to 54” and which is located on land subject to the provisions of section 21-82.

Legacy Tree : Any tree having a diameter of 55” DBH or larger and which is located on land subject to the provisions of section 21-82.

Lot : The real property contained within front, rear and side lot lines, as established by a plan filed in the registry of deeds, which divide the property from adjoining properties.

Risk Tree Evaluation : The process by which a tree is evaluated to determine the level of risk it poses to the existing property and public at the time of inspection. All risk tree evaluations shall be conducted by a qualified Certified Arborist using a systematic process that identifies, analyzes, and evaluates risk. All tree risk evaluations are to follow the most current ANSI A300 Part 9 Tree Risk Assessment protocol. All tree risk assessments are to be done utilizing industry accepted protocols, forms, and other documentation required by the Tree Warden.

Significant Tree : Any tree having a diameter of 25” DBH to 39” DBH and which is located on land subject to the provisions of section 21-82. Or is a replacement tree as described in 21-85 (e).

Tree Plan : A plan showing (1) the location, type, and size of each tree 5” DBH and larger; (2) which tree(s) are to be removed; and (3) the location, type, and size of replacement trees. The tree plan should also show the location, estimated size, and tree type of any trees that were removed from the lot within the prior 24 months leading up to the application date .

Tree Protection Plan : A plan developed by a Certified Arborist, following the most current version of the ANSI A300 standards including Part 5, management standards for site planning and development. This plan shall set out measures for protecting all trees on the lot during construction as well as trees adjacent to the lot that may be impacted by the construction activity on the applicant’s lot.

Tree Save Area : Area within the dripline of a tree or the area within a radius around the tree trunk of 1.5 feet for every inch of DBH, whichever is greater.

Proposed change - difference in versions

Councilor Proposal	City Proposal
<p>Environmental Mitigation Payment: A payment that takes into account damages to community public health (including increased pests), loss of carbon sequestration and other climate mitigation services, costs of increased energy usage, loss of stormwater drainage services, and increased city infrastructure costs by utilizing a cross-sectional area valuation of each tree to be removed: (DBH) 2 x 0.785 x base value, where the base value is not less than [AMOUNT].</p>	<p>not part of City Proposal</p>

Sec. 21-82. Applicability,

(a) Applicability: The terms and provisions of this article shall apply to any protected tree located on land within the city not owned by the city, the commonwealth, or any independent authority of the commonwealth, or by the federal government except protected tree(s) located on an exempt lot pursuant to paragraphs (c) and (d) below.

Proposed change - difference in versions

Councilor Proposal

City Proposal

(a) Applicability: The terms and provisions of this article shall apply to any protected tree located on land within the city ~~not owned by the city~~, the commonwealth, or any independent authority of the commonwealth, or by the federal government ~~except protected tree(s) located on an exempt lot pursuant to paragraphs (c) and (d) below.~~

(a) Applicability: The terms and provisions of this article shall apply to any protected tree located on land within the city not owned by the city, the commonwealth, or any independent authority of the commonwealth, or by the federal government ~~except protected tree(s) located on an exempt lot pursuant to paragraphs (c) and (d) below.~~ **except on single or two family residential occupied lots where no exterior construction is presently underway or planned to take place during the 24 months following the removal of trees, the trees to be removed do not meet the Legacy tree designation, and the owner obtains an exemption permit from the tree warden.**

(b) Permit, certificate of exemption: No person shall remove a protected tree on a non-exempt lot located on land subject to the provisions of this article, or commence legally permitted exterior work on any lot without first obtaining a tree permit or a certificate of exemption from the tree warden. Applications shall be made in writing on forms specified by the tree warden.

Proposed change - same in both versions

(b) Permit, ~~certificate of exemption~~: No person shall remove a protected tree on a ~~non-exempt~~ lot located on land subject to the provisions of this article, or commence legally permitted exterior work on any lot without first obtaining a tree permit ~~or a certificate of exemption~~ from the tree warden. Applications shall be made in writing on forms specified by the tree warden.

(c) Exempt lot, certificate of exemption: The owner of an exempt lot shall not be required to apply for a tree permit, provided however, that an owner of an exempt lot who seeks an exterior work permit must certify to the tree warden on form(s) provided by the tree warden, that as of the date on the form(s) the lot qualifies as an exempt lot and will remain an exempt lot for eighteen months following tree removal. There shall be no fee for filing a certificate of exemption.

(1) The tree warden shall determine whether a property is an occupied lot for the purposes of establishing exempt lot status. The property owner shall, if requested by the tree warden provide proof of ownership as well as a written statement confirming ownership and that a person or persons are living in the property.

(2) If lot ownership changes during the eighteen consecutive months following the removal of any protected tree(s) on an exempt lot, the new owner must apply for a tree permit and shall be required to replace any protected tree(s) that were removed. If, however, a change of ownership occurs on a lot for which an extension of exempt lot status for non-occupancy during construction has been issued within the eighteen months prior to the change in ownership, the person issued such extension shall apply for a tree permit and shall be required to replace any protected tree(s) that were removed.

(d) Extension of exempted lot status: If at any point during the eighteen consecutive months following the removal of any protected tree(s) the property is no longer an occupied lot, the current owner of the lot must apply for a tree permit. If the non-occupancy is due to legally permitted construction, the tree warden may grant an extension of exempt lot status for the duration of the construction, provided:

(1) The owner intends to own the lot for eighteen consecutive months from the date a certificate of occupancy is issued for the construction for which the extension was issued; and

(2) The property remains an occupied lot for eighteen consecutive months from the date a certificate of occupancy is issued for the construction for which the extension was issued.

(3) Upon request of an applicant for exempt lot status extension, the tree warden may also waive the requirement that the lot be continuously owned by the same owner for ninety (90) days prior to protected tree removal provided the owner intends to own the lot for twenty-one months from the date a certificate of occupancy is issued for the construction for which the extension is granted.

(4) If at any time during the applicable eighteen or twenty-one month period the lot ownership changes or the lot is not occupied, the tree warden shall revoke the tree permit and exempt lot status extension. The person issued the extension shall file a new tree permit application and shall replace any protected trees that were removed.

(5) Any person issued an extension of exempt lot status must report to the tree warden any change of ownership and any change of occupancy status within fifteen (15) days of the change if that change takes place during the applicable eighteen (18) month or twenty-one (21) month period following the date the certificate of occupancy issued. (Ord. No. A-38, 05-05-14)

Proposed change - same in both versions

~~(c) Exempt lot, certificate of exemption: The owner of an exempt lot shall not be required to apply for a tree permit, provided however, that an owner of an exempt lot who seeks an exterior work permit must certify to the tree warden on form(s) provided by the tree warden, that as of the date on the form(s) the lot qualifies as an exempt lot and will remain an exempt lot for eighteen months following tree removal. There shall be no fee for filing a certificate of exemption.~~

~~—(1) The tree warden shall determine whether a property is an occupied lot for the purposes of establishing exempt lot status. The property owner shall, if requested by the tree warden provide proof of ownership as well as a written statement confirming ownership and that a person or persons are living in the property.~~

~~—(2) If lot ownership changes during the eighteen consecutive months following the removal of any protected tree(s) on an exempt lot, the new owner must apply for a tree permit and shall be required to replace any protected tree(s) that were removed. If, however, a change of ownership occurs on a lot for which an extension of exempt lot status for non-occupancy during construction has been issued within the eighteen months prior to the change in ownership, the person issued such extension shall apply for a tree permit and shall be required to replace any protected tree(s) that were removed.~~

~~(d) Extension of exempted lot status: If at any point during the eighteen consecutive months following the removal of any protected tree(s) the property is no longer an occupied lot, the current owner of the lot must apply for a tree permit. If the non-occupancy is due to legally permitted construction, the tree warden may grant an extension of exempt lot status for the duration of the construction, provided:~~

~~—(1)The owner intends to own the lot for eighteen consecutive months from the date a certificate of occupancy is issued for the construction for which the extension was issued; and~~
~~—(2)The property remains an occupied lot for eighteen consecutive months from the date a certificate of occupancy is issued for the construction for which the extension was issued.~~
~~—(3)Upon request of an applicant for exempt lot status extension, the tree warden may also waive the requirement that the lot be continuously owned by the same owner for ninety (90) days prior to protected tree removal provided the owner intends to own the lot for twenty one months from the date a certificate of occupancy is issued for the construction for which the extension is granted.~~
~~—(4)If at any time during the applicable eighteen or twenty one month period the lot ownership changes or the lot is not occupied, the tree warden shall revoke the tree permit and exempt lot status extension. The person issued the extension shall file a new tree permit application and shall replace any protected trees that were removed.~~
~~—(5)Any person issued an extension of exempt lot status must report to the tree warden any change of ownership and any change of occupancy status within fifteen (15) days of the change if that change takes place during the applicable eighteen (18) month or twenty one (21) month period following the date the certificate of occupancy issued. (Ord. No. A 38, 05-05-14)~~

<i>Proposed change - difference in versions</i>	
Councilor Proposal	City Proposal
not part of Councilor proposal	(c)In the case where tree(s) were removed from a single or two family residential occupied lot where no exterior construction was planned but construction did take place within the 24 months following the removal of tree(s) the current property owner will be required to file for a tree permit and will be required to comply with the replacement requirements of sec. 21-85

Sec. 21-83. Permit application.

(a) Contents, fee: An application for a tree permit shall be submitted to the tree warden. The application for a tree permit shall be accompanied by a fee in the amount of one hundred fifty dollars (\$150.00) and shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

Proposed change - same in both versions

(a) Contents, **fee**: An application for a tree permit shall be submitted to the tree warden. ~~The application for a tree permit shall be accompanied by a fee in the amount of one hundred fifty dollars (\$150.00)~~ and shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

(1) The shape and dimensions of the parcel of real property to be developed, together with the existing and proposed locations of structures and improvements, if any;

Proposed change - same in both versions

(1) **A plan showing** The shape and dimensions of the parcel of real property, together with the existing and proposed locations of structures and improvements, if any, **and showing the limit of work**;

(2) A tree plan showing the location, type and size of each protected tree indicating which protected tree(s) are to be removed, and the location, type and size of replacement trees;

Proposed change - same in both versions

(2) A tree plan showing the location, type and size of each ~~protected~~ tree 5" in DBH and larger indicating which ~~protected~~ tree(s) are to be removed, and the location, type and size of replacement trees. **The tree plan should also show the location, estimated size, and tree type of any trees that were removed from the lot within the prior 24 months leading up to the application date;**

Proposed change - new definitions added - same in both versions

(new #) Documentation from a Certified Arborist if any trees are dead, diseased, injured, in danger of falling, dangerously close to existing structures, disrupting public utility services, causing drainage or passage problems on rights-of-way, or posing a threat to public safety

(3)The proposed relocation of any existing protected tree with a statement prepared by a certified arborist explaining how each such protected tree is to be relocated and maintained;

(4)The location of existing and proposed underground or overhead utility services, existing and proposed roadways, bikeways, walkways and parking areas;

(5) Any proposed grade changes which might adversely affect or endanger any protected tree with a statement prepared by a certified arborist explaining how each such protected tree shall be protected and maintained;

Proposed change - same in both versions

(5) Any proposed grade changes which might adversely affect or endanger any Protected Tree or is within the Tree Save Area of any protected tree on the applicant's lot or any abutting lot requires a statement prepared by a Certified Arborist explaining how each such protected tree shall be protected and maintained;

(6) The proposed method of protecting the remaining protected trees during the course of the construction.

Proposed change - same in both versions

(6) The proposed method of protecting the remaining protected trees on the applicant's lot and any abutting lots during the course of construction or tree removal shall be prepared by a Certified Arborist;

Proposed change - new definitions added - same in both versions

(7) For any activity requiring Exterior Work Permit or involving tree removal, where Protected Trees are to remain on the lot or where the Tree Save Area of Protected Trees on adjacent lots comes onto the applicant's lot, a Tree Protection Plan must be completed by Certified Arborist (as specified in 21-81).

<i>Proposed change - difference in versions</i>	
Councilor Proposal	City Proposal
<p>(8) Large projects, which require a special permit, must also include a Tree Protection Plan that shall be submitted to the Tree Warden not less than 21 days prior to submission of the application for a special permit. The Tree Warden shall certify that s/he has reviewed it, indicating whether it is complete and complies with the applicable provisions of this Chapter and regulations promulgated thereunder. The Tree Warden shall refer the Tree Protection Plan with his/her certification and recommendations to the Land Use Committee to guide the establishment of any conditions that may be required as a result of findings of the Tree Protection Plan in connection with the issuance of a special permit.</p>	<p>not part of City Proposal</p>

<i>Proposed change - difference in versions</i>	
Councilor Proposal	City Proposal
<p>not part of Councilor proposal</p>	<p>(8?) The Tree Warden may waive the above listed requirements when an applicant is seeking to remove a protected tree but no exterior work is planned. The Tree Warden shall still require a tree permit application be filed and supporting documentation provided.</p>

<i>Proposed change - difference in versions</i>	
Councilor Proposal	City Proposal
<p>(b) Fee: The application for a tree permit shall be accompanied by a fee in the amount(s) listed below.</p> <p>(1) The fee for a tree permit for the removal of a dead or significantly compromised tree(s) that a certified arborist has attested to on forms required by the City shall be \$0</p> <p>(2) The administrative fee for processing a tree permit for all tree removal work or Exterior work with the exception of (b) (1) shall be \$200.</p>	<p>(b) Fee: The application for a tree permit shall be accompanied by a fee in the amount(s) listed below.</p> <p>(1) The fee for an <u>exemption permit or tree permit</u> for the removal of a dead or significantly compromised tree(s) that a certified arborist has attested to on forms required by the City shall be \$0 if there is no pending or planned Exterior Work on <u>the lot</u>.</p> <p>(2) The administrative fee for processing a tree permit for all tree removal work or Exterior work with the exception of (b) (1) shall be \$200.</p>

(b) Review of permit applications: The tree warden shall review applications for tree permits in accordance with the provisions of this article. The tree warden shall date stamp or otherwise record the date of filing of each application for a tree permit. The tree warden shall complete the review of each tree permit application no later than ten (10) business days after the submission of a completed application to the tree warden and shall report to the commissioner of inspectional services within ten (10) business days of a request with respect to any tree permit application submitted in connection with a building permit as to whether said tree permit has been granted or denied. If no such report is received by the commissioner within the above-stated time period, he shall accept an application for a building permit without receipt of such report.

Proposed change - difference in versions

Councilor Proposal

(c b) Review of permit applications: The tree warden shall review applications for tree permits in accordance with the provisions of this article. The tree warden shall date stamp or otherwise record the date of filing of each application for a tree permit. The tree warden shall complete the review of each tree permit application no later than **twenty (20)** business days after the submission of a completed application to the tree warden and shall report to the commissioner of inspectional services within **twenty (20)** business days of a request with respect to any tree permit application submitted in connection with a building permit as to whether said tree permit has been granted or denied. ~~If no such report is received by the commissioner within the above-stated time period, he shall accept an application for a building permit without receipt of such report.~~ **The commissioner of inspectional services shall not accept an application for a building permit without confirmation of an approved tree permit.**

The City shall use the online permitting system to automatically generate notifications of Tree Permit applications to abutters and neighbors within 500 feet of the lot on which the Protected Tree is located.

City Proposal

(c b) Review of permit applications: The tree warden shall review applications for tree permits in accordance with the provisions of this article. The tree warden shall date stamp or otherwise record the date of filing of each application for a tree permit. The tree warden shall complete the review of each tree permit application no later than ten (10) business days after the submission of a completed application to the tree warden and shall report to the commissioner of inspectional services within ten (10) business days of a request with respect to any tree permit application submitted in connection with a building permit as to whether said tree permit has been granted or denied. If no such report is received by the commissioner within the above-stated time period, ~~he the~~ **commissioner** shall accept an application for a building permit without receipt of such report.

not part of City Proposal

(c) Standards for grant or denial: No tree permit shall be issued unless one of the following conditions exists:

Proposed change - difference in versions

Councilor Proposal

City Proposal

(d) Standards for grant or denial: No tree permit shall be issued **unless an Environmental Mitigation Payment is made (as outlined in section 21-86) and** one of the following conditions exists:

(de) Standards for grant or denial: No tree permit shall be issued unless one of the following conditions exists:

(1) The protected tree will be relocated or replaced on site.

Proposed change - same in both versions

(1) The protected tree will be relocated or replaced on site **per section 21-85, Tree Replacement.**

(2) The protected tree will be replaced by payment in lieu of planting replacement trees as outlined in section 21-86.

(3) The protected tree is interfering with existing structures, utilities, streets, sidewalks or other existing improvements

Proposed change - same in both versions

(3) The protected tree is interfering with existing structures, utilities, streets, sidewalks or other existing improvements. **Documentation of the interference and damage being currently caused shall be provided to the Tree Warden as part of the permit application, per section 21-83 (a)(3).**

(4) The protected tree is dead, diseased, injured, in danger of falling, dangerously close to existing structures, is causing disruption of public utility service, is causing drainage or passage problems upon rights-of-way, or poses a threat to pedestrian or vehicular safety.

Proposed change - same in both versions

(4) The protected tree is dead, diseased, injured, in danger of falling, dangerously close to existing structures, is causing disruption of public utility service, is causing drainage or passage problems upon rights-of-way, or poses a threat to pedestrian or vehicular safety. **Documentation shall be provided by a Certified Arborist utilizing industry approved Risk Tree Evaluation method and any additional forms or documentation required by the Tree Warden.**

(5) The removal of the protected tree is necessary and desirable in order to enhance or benefit the health or condition of other trees on the same site as certified to the tree warden by a certified arborist.

(6) No protected tree(s) are to be removed from the site and appropriate tree protection measures will be in place where necessary as determined by the tree warden.

(d) Conditions: Upon the issuance of a tree permit, the tree warden may prescribe in writing such protective measures for existing protected trees as he deems necessary. Before site disturbance may begin, the tree warden may make a determination that the prescribed protective measures have been adequately provided.

Proposed change - difference in versions

Councilor Proposal

City Proposal

(ed) Conditions: Upon the issuance of a tree permit, the tree warden may prescribe in writing **additional parameters and requirements related to the protection of trees to remain on the lot and trees within a reasonable distance from the lot.**

(ed) Conditions: Upon the issuance of a tree permit, the tree warden may prescribe in writing **additional parameters and requirements related to the protection of trees to remain on the lot and trees within a reasonable distance from the lot.**

No Exterior Work, site disturbance, or tree removal work shall take place on a lot until all tree protective measures are approved and in place. The tree Permit holder must provide documentation from a Certified Arborist on the form(s) provided by the Tree Warden that all protective measures are in place. Once the Tree Warden has made a determination that the tree protection measures are in place, the Tree Warden will provide written documentation to the property owner and commissioner of Inspectional Services that Exterior Work may commence

No Exterior Work, site disturbance, or tree removal work shall take place on a lot until all tree protective measures are approved and in place. The tree Permit holder must provide documentation from a Certified Arborist on the form(s) provided by the Tree Warden that all protective measures are in place. Once the Tree Warden has made a determination that the tree protection measures are in place, the Tree Warden will provide written documentation to the property owner that Exterior Work may commence

A copy of the Tree Permit must be displayed on site such that it is visible from the adjacent roadways and must be in place no later than the day after issuance and remain in place for the duration of the permit-related activities

A copy of the Tree Permit must be displayed on site such that it is visible from the adjacent roadways and must be in place no later than the day after issuance and remain in place for the duration of the permit-related activities

(e) Construction: Except as provided in a tree permit, construction activities under the drip line of a protected tree are prohibited. Activities include, but are not limited to, trenching or grading, storage of materials or equipment, passage of heavy equipment within the drip line and spillage of chemicals or other materials, which are damaging to trees.

Proposed change - same in both versions

(fe) Construction : Except as provided in a tree permit, construction activities ~~under~~ **within the drip-line Tree Save Area** of a protected tree, **including those on adjoining lots, are prohibited.** Activities include, but are not limited to, **excavation**, trenching or grading, storage of materials or equipment, passage of heavy equipment within the ~~drip-line~~ **Tree Save Area** and spillage of chemicals or other materials, which are damaging to trees. **Tree Protection plans provided by the Certified Arborist must also include protective measures for protected trees on adjoining lots.**

(f) Suspension or revocation: A tree permit may be suspended or revoked at any time by the tree warden upon written notice to the permit holder that the permit holder has failed to comply with either this article or the conditions of the permit. The written notice shall be sent by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested, or by hand delivery and shall provide an opportunity for the permit holder to correct the noncompliance and apply for a renewal of the tree permit upon compliance, where practicable. The suspension or revocation of a tree permit in accordance with this subsection shall not affect the validity of a building permit issued in reliance upon the issuance (granting) of such tree permit nor shall such suspension or revocation be cause for withholding the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.

Proposed change - difference in versions

Councilor Proposal

City Proposal

(g) Suspension or revocation: A tree permit may be suspended or revoked at any time by the tree warden upon written notice to the permit holder that the permit holder has failed to comply with either this article or the conditions of the permit. The written notice shall be sent by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested, or by hand delivery and shall provide an opportunity for the permit holder to correct the noncompliance and apply for a renewal of the tree permit upon compliance, where practicable. The suspension or revocation of a tree permit in accordance with this subsection shall ~~not~~ affect the validity of a building permit issued in reliance upon the issuance (granting) of such tree permit ~~nor shall such suspension or revocation~~ and shall be cause for the commissioner of inspectional services to issue a stop-work order or to withhold the issuance of a certificate of occupancy until the tree warden confirms that the tree permit suspension or revocation is resolved.

no change in City Proposal

(g) Appeal: Any person aggrieved by a decision of the tree warden may file an appeal with the mayor or his designee. Said appeal must be in writing and must be received by the mayor or his designee within five (5) business days of issuance of the tree warden’s decision. Upon receipt of such appeal, the mayor or his designee shall provide a copy to the clerk of the city council and to each councilor for the ward in which the trees are located. The mayor or his designee shall make a final decision on the matter within thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of the appeal. The mayor or his designee shall include in the decision the rationale therefor. Upon issuance of the final decision, the mayor or his designee shall provide a copy to the clerk of the city council and to each ward councilor for the ward in which the trees are located. There shall be no further appeal of the matter decided by the mayor or his designee. No protected trees shall be removed while an appeal is pending. (Ord. No. V-275, 12-6-99; Ord. No. X-202, 04-03-06; Rev. Ord. 2007, § 20-33; Ord. No. A-38, 05-05-14)

Proposed change - difference in versions

Councilor Proposal

(hg) Appeal: Any person aggrieved by a decision of the tree warden may file an appeal with the mayor (or ~~his~~ **the mayor’s** designee) **and the councilors of the ward in which the protected trees are located.** Said appeal must be in writing and must be received by the mayor **and councilors** within five (5) business days of issuance of the tree warden’s decision. Upon receipt of such appeal, the mayor or ~~his~~ **mayor’s** designee shall provide a copy to the clerk of the city council and to **each abutter of the property on which the trees are located. The mayor and councilors shall be guided by reasonable safety and tree wellbeing provisions in granting approval of the removal of healthy mature trees. The mayor and the councilors shall jointly** make a final decision on the matter within thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of the appeal **(in the case of a tie vote, the tree warden’s decision stands).** The mayor shall include in the decision the rationale thereof. Upon issuance of the final decision, the mayor shall provide a copy to the clerk of the city council **and to each abutter.** There shall be no further appeal of the matter decided by the mayor **and councilors.** No protected trees shall be removed while an appeal is pending. (Ord. No. V-275, 12-6-99; Ord. No. X-202, 04-03-06; Rev. Ord. 2007, § 20-33; Ord. No. A-38, 05-05-14)

City Proposal

(hg) Appeal: Any person aggrieved by a decision of the tree warden may file an appeal with the mayor or ~~his~~ **their** designee. Said appeal must be in writing and must be received by the mayor or ~~his~~ **their** designee within five (5) business days of issuance of the tree warden’s decision. Upon receipt of such appeal, the mayor or ~~his~~ **their** designee shall provide a copy to the clerk of the city council and to each councilor for the ward in which the trees are located. **The mayor shall seek input and consult with the Ward councilor in which the trees are located.** The mayor or their designee shall make a final decision on the matter within thirty (30) days from the date of receipt of the appeal. The mayor or ~~his~~ **the mayor’s** designee shall include in the decision the rationale therefor. Upon issuance of the final decision, the mayor or ~~his~~ **the mayor’s** designee shall provide a copy to the clerk of the city council and to each ward councilor for the ward in which the trees are located. There shall be no further appeal of the matter decided by the mayor or ~~his~~ **the mayor’s** designee. No protected trees shall be removed while an appeal is pending. (Ord. No. V-275, 12-6-99; Ord. No. X-202, 04-03-06; Rev. Ord. 2007, § 20-33; Ord. No. A-38, 05-05-14)

Sec. 21-84. Activities not requiring a permit.

(a) Pruning: A permit is not required for the pruning of protected trees. However, in order to prevent excessive pruning and topping of trees and to prevent pruning that will be hazardous to the health and natural appearance of the tree, compliance with approved pruning standards is required, and failure to meet these standards is a violation of this article. The tree warden shall maintain on file at all times a copy of the current edition the Tree Manual and shall make copies of the Tree Manual available for the cost of reproduction upon request.

Proposed change - same in both versions

(a) Pruning: A permit is not required for the pruning of protected trees. However, in order to prevent excessive pruning and topping of trees and to prevent pruning that will be hazardous to the health and natural appearance of the tree, compliance with **approved ANSI A300** pruning standards is required, and failure to meet these standards is a violation of this article. ~~The tree warden shall maintain on file at all times a copy of the current edition the Tree Manual and shall make copies of the Tree Manual available for the cost of reproduction upon request.~~

(b) Emergencies: If any protected tree shall be determined to be in a hazardous condition so as to immediately endanger the public health, safety or welfare or cause an immediate disruption of public services and require immediate removal without delay, oral authorization may be given by the tree warden to remove such tree, utilizing such professional criteria and technical assistance as he deems necessary, and the protected tree may be removed without obtaining a written permit as otherwise required by this article. The tree warden shall memorialize in writing each such oral authorization to remove a tree and keep a record of the same.

Proposed change - same in both versions

(a) Emergencies: If any protected tree shall be determined to be in a hazardous condition so as to immediately endanger the public health, safety or welfare or cause an immediate disruption of public services and require immediate removal without delay, oral authorization may be given by the tree warden, or designee, to remove such tree, utilizing such professional criteria and technical assistance as ~~he~~ **the tree warden** deems necessary, and the protected tree may be removed without obtaining a written permit as otherwise required by this article. The tree warden shall memorialize in writing each such oral authorization to remove a tree and keep a record of the same.

(c) Waiver: The requirements of this article may be waived by the tree warden during the period of an emergency such as a tornado, windstorm, flood or other act of God. (Ord. No. V-275, 12-6-99; Rev. Ord. 2007, § 20-34; Ord. No. A-38, 05-05-14)

Sec. 21-85. Tree replacement.

(a) Required: A protected tree shall be replaced in the manner provided in subsection (b) hereof in each instance in which a protected tree was removed from land subject to the provisions of section 21-82 without a tree permit.

(b) Standards: A person who has removed a protected tree and is required to replace such tree pursuant to subsection (a) hereof or as a condition of granting a tree permit in accordance with section 21-83, shall replace such tree within eighteen (18) months, or prior to transfer of property ownership whichever comes first from the date the tree permit is issued and in accordance with the following standards:

(1) A replacement tree shall be of the same or similar species or such other species as deemed advisable by the tree warden in accordance with the Tree Manual and shall have the same or equivalent size as measured in DBH inches as that of the protected tree that has been removed.

Proposed change - difference in versions

Councilor Proposal

City Proposal

(1) Replacement tree(s) shall be of the same or similar species or such other species as deemed advisable by the tree warden. ~~in accordance with the Tree Manual and shall have the same or equivalent size as measured in DBH inches as that of the protected tree that has been removed.~~ **Trees planted as hedges shall not count as Replacement Trees.**

(1) Replacement tree(s) shall be of the same or similar species or such other species as deemed advisable by the tree warden. ~~in accordance with the Tree Manual and shall have the same or equivalent size as measured in DBH inches as that of the protected tree that has been removed.~~ **Trees planted as hedges shall not count as replacement trees trees unless otherwise permitted by the Tree Warden.**

(2) In the event that a tree of the same or equivalent size as measured in DBH inches cannot be planted, then multiple smaller replacement trees may be planted provided that, wherever practicable, as determined by the tree warden, the total DBH of the replacement trees shall, when added together, equal the total DBH of the protected tree that has been removed. The tree warden may specify that replacement trees be of a minimum caliper when consistent with current accepted practice as stated in the Tree Manual.

Proposed change - same in both versions

(2) In the event that a tree of the same or equivalent size as measured in DBH inches cannot be planted, then multiple smaller replacement trees may be planted provided that, wherever practicable, as determined by the tree warden, the total DBH of the replacement trees shall, ~~when added together, equal the total DBH of the protected tree that has been removed. The tree warden may specify that replacement trees be of a minimum caliper when consistent with current accepted practice as stated in the Tree Manual.~~ **conform to the following:**

a)For every protected tree removed, that does not qualify as a Significant, Landmark, or Legacy tree, the total DBH of the replacement trees shall, when added together, equal the total DBH of the protected tree that has been removed.

b)For every protected tree removed that also meets the Significant Tree definition, but is not a Landmark or Legacy Tree, The total DBH of the replacement trees shall, when added together, equal 1.5 times the total DBH of the Significant tree that has been removed.

c)For every protected tree removed that also meets the Landmark Tree definition, but is not a Legacy Tree the total DBH of the replacement trees shall, when added together, equal 2 times the total DBH of the Landmark tree that has been removed.

d)For every protected tree removed that also meets the Legacy Tree definition, the total DBH of the replacement trees shall, when added together, equal 3 times the total DBH of the Legacy tree that has been removed.

(3) A replacement tree shall be required to survive for a minimum of eighteen (18) months from the date it is planted. The person planting the tree shall provide documentation as to the date of planting and file the same with the tree warden within fifteen (15) days of the planting of said replacement tree.

Proposed change - same in both versions

(3) A replacement tree shall be **considered a Significant Trees regardless of trunk diameter, health or condition** ~~required to survive for a minimum of eighteen (18) months from the date it is planted~~. The **Tree Permit holder** ~~person~~ shall provide documentation as to the date of planting and file the same with the tree warden within fifteen (15) days of the planting of said replacement tree. **Documentation shall be provided by a Certified Arborist on form(s) provided by the Tree Warden that the trees are in place.**

Proposed change - difference in versions

Councilor Proposal

(4) No Replacement Tree shall be removed without a Tree Permit. Replacement Tree should survive at least ten years. If a Replacement Tree is to be removed during this time, Tree Permit applicants will be required to meet the replacement requirements of a Significant Tree, will not be eligible for any reductions in the new environmental mitigation payment, and must reimburse the replacement reduction for the removed tree(s).

City Proposal

(4) No replacement tree shall be removed without a Tree Permit. Tree Permit applicant will be required to meet the replacement requirements of a Significant Tree any time a replacement tree is to be removed.

(45) A replacement tree shall be planted on the same lot from which the tree was removed.. (Ord. No. V-275, 12-6-99; Rev. Ord. 2007, § 20-35, Ord. No. A-38, 05-05-14)

Sec. 21-86. Tree replacement fund.

(a) Established: There is hereby established a tree replacement fund which shall be held in a separate identifiable account and administered in accordance with applicable provisions of the General Laws. Any payments into the tree replacement fund required by this article shall be deposited in the tree replacement fund and shall be used in accordance with subsection (c) hereof.

Proposed change - difference in versions

Councilor Proposal

(b) Environmental Mitigation Payment: This payment will be required for all Protected Trees removed. The Environmental Mitigation Payment will be waived if Protected Trees are removed because of

City Proposal

ii significant utility infrastructure projects undertaken pursuant to State or Federal regulations or programs,

iii where a tree poses significant negative impact to an adjacent structure,

iv for dead or dangerous trees, or

v where a Lot is of such density with existing trees that the removal of certain Protected Trees is considered beneficial to the health of the tree canopy on the Lot.

The required Environmental Mitigation Payment (DBH2 x 0.785 x base value, where the base value is not less than [AMOUNT]) will be reduced by the cross-sectional valuation of each Replacement Tree.

not part of City Proposal

(b) Payment in lieu of planting replacement tree(s): In lieu of planting a replacement tree as provided in section 21-85, a person who has been granted a tree permit may make a contribution to a tree replacement fund in an amount equal to the cost to replace the tree in accordance with the provisions of section 21-85, which cost shall be determined by the tree warden based on the City’s current cost to purchase and install trees.

Proposed change - difference in versions

Councilor Proposal	City Proposal
<p>(c) Payment in lieu of planting Replacement Tree(s): In lieu of planting Replacement Trees as provided in section 21-85, a person who has been granted a Tree Permit may choose to pay the Environmental Mitigation Payment in full, without taking the allowed Replacement Tree deduction. make a contribution to a tree replacement fund in an amount equal to the cost to replace the tree in accordance with the provisions of section 21-85, which cost shall be determined by the tree warden based on the City’s current cost to purchase and install trees.</p>	<p>(c) Payment in lieu of planting replacement tree(s): In lieu of planting a replacement tree as provided in section 21-85, a person who has been granted a tree permit may make a contribution to a tree replacement fund in an amount equal to the cost to replace the tree in accordance with the provisions of section 21-85, which cost shall be determined by the tree warden based on the City’s current cost to purchase, install , and maintain trees for the first five years. The Tree Warden will update this cost annually.</p>

(c) Maintenance of tree replacement fund: The tree replacement fund shall be maintained in a separate account in accordance with state law. All sums deposited into such fund shall be used solely for the purpose of buying, planting and maintaining trees in the city. (Ord. No. V-275, 12-6-99; Rev. Ord. 2007, § 20-36; Ord. No. A-38, 0505-14)

Sec. 21-87. Rule and regulations.

The tree warden is authorized to promulgate reasonable rules and regulations to implement administration of sections 21-80 through 21-90. (Ord. No. A-38, 05-05-14)

Sec. 21-88. Enforcement.

(a) Notice of violation: Any person who violates any of the provisions of this article shall be notified by the tree warden of the specific violation by certified mail, return receipt requested, or by hand delivery. The notice shall set forth the nature of the violation and a reasonable time period within which compliance must be had. The tree warden shall send notice of violation of section 21-86), which notice shall include the date by which trees were to be replaced or payment was to be made for purposes of computing the "per day" violation fine, as provided in section 21-89.

(b) Stop work order:

(1) Upon notice from the tree warden that work on any protected tree, or lot on which a protected tree is located, is being performed contrary to the provisions of this article, such work shall be immediately stopped. The stop work order shall be in writing and shall be given to the owner of the property involved, or to the owner's agent, or to the person doing the work; and shall state the conditions under which work will be permitted to resume.

Proposed change - same in both versions

(1) Upon notice from the tree warden that work on any protected tree, or lot, **or abutting lot**, on which a protected tree is located, is being performed contrary to the provisions of this article, such work shall be immediately stopped. The stop work order shall be in writing and shall be given to the owner of the property involved, or to the owner's agent, or to the person doing the work; and shall state the conditions under which work will be permitted to resume.

(2) The tree warden is also authorized to request the agency which has granted an exterior work permit to order, to the extent permissible by law, that the owner cease any activity pursuant to the exterior work permit that might affect such protected tree while a stop work order is pending.

Proposed change - difference in versions

Councilor Proposal

City Proposal

(2) The tree warden is also authorized to **direct** ~~request~~ the agency which has granted an exterior work permit to order, to the extent permissible by law, that the owner cease any activity pursuant to the exterior work permit that might affect such protected tree while a stop work order is pending.

no change in City Proposal

(3) Any person who shall continue any work in or about the protected tree or lot on which a protected tree is located after having been served with a stop work order, except such work as that person is directed to perform to remove a violation or unsafe condition, shall be liable to a fine of not more than three hundred dollars (\$300.00) for each such violation. Each day during which a violation exists shall constitute a separate offense.

Proposed change - same in both versions

(3) Any person who shall continue any work in or about the protected tree or lot on which a protected tree is located, **or an abutting lot**, after having been served with a stop work order, except such work as that person is directed to perform to remove a violation or unsafe condition, shall be liable to a fine of not more than three hundred dollars (\$300.00) for each such violation. Each day during which a violation exists shall constitute a separate offense.

(c) Injunctive relief:

(1) Whenever there exists reasonable cause to believe that a person is violating this article or any standards adopted pursuant to this article or any term, condition or provision of an approved tree permit, the city may, either before or after the institution of any other action or proceeding authorized by this article, institute a civil action in the name of the city for a mandatory or prohibitory injunction and an order of abatement demanding the defendant to correct the unlawful condition upon or cease the unlawful use of the property.

(2) Upon determination of a court that an alleged violation is occurring, it shall enter such order or judgment as is necessary to abate the violation. The institution of an action for injunctive relief under this subsection shall not relieve any party to such proceedings from any civil penalty prescribed for violation of this article. (Ord. No. V-275, 12-6-99; Rev. Ord. 2007, § 20-37; Ord. No. A-38, 05-05-14)

Sec. 21-89. Penalties.

(a) Removal without a permit: Each instance in which a protected tree is removed without a permit shall constitute a violation of this article which shall be subject to a fine in the amount of three hundred dollars (\$300.00).

Proposed change - difference in versions

Councilor Proposal

City Proposal

(a) Removal without a permit: Each instance in which a protected tree is removed without a permit shall constitute a violation of this article which shall be subject to a fine in the amount of three hundred dollars (\$300.00). **Each day until a Permit Application is filed shall constitute a separate offense.**

no change in City Proposal

(b) Failure to replace trees or make payment: Each failure to replace a tree or make a payment into the tree replacement fund shall constitute a separate violation of this article which shall be subject to a fine in the amount of three hundred dollars (\$300.00). Each day such violation continues shall constitute a separate offense.

Proposed change - difference in versions

Councilor Proposal

City Proposal

(b) Failure to replace trees or make payment: Each failure to replace a tree or make an **Environmental Mitigation** payment into the tree replacement fund shall constitute a separate violation of this article which shall be subject to a fine in the amount of three hundred dollars (\$300.00). Each day such violation continues shall constitute a separate offense.

no change in City Proposal

(c) Failure to comply with a condition contained in a tree permit or stop work order: Each instance where there is a failure to comply with a condition contained in a tree permit or stop work order shall constitute a violation of this article which shall be subject to a fine in the amount of three hundred dollars (\$300.00). Each day such violation continues shall constitute a separate offense.

(d) City trees: Nothing herein shall be construed to require the city to make a payment into the tree replacement fund for any tree(s) which it removes. (Ord. No. V-275, 12-6-99; Rev. Ord. 2007, § 20-38; Ord. No. A-38, 05-0514)

Sec. 21-90. Severability, effect on other laws.

(a) Severability: The provisions of this article are severable. If any section, provision, or portion of this article is determined to be invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, then the remaining provisions of this article shall continue to be valid.

(a) Conflict of laws: This article shall not apply to any public shade tree as that term is defined by the General Laws, Chapter 87 or any amendments thereto. Nothing herein is intended to conflict with the General Laws, Chapter 87 and to the extent that any provision hereof conflicts with said Chapter 87, such provision shall not be valid. Nothing herein is intended to conflict with existing special permit procedures as provided in section 30-24 and to the extent that any provision hereof conflicts with said special permit procedures, such provision shall not be valid. (Ord. No. V-275, 12-6-99; Rev. Ord. 2007, § 20-39; Ord, No. A-38, 05-05-14)

Proposed change - difference in versions

Councilor Proposal

City Proposal

(b) Conflict of laws: ~~This article shall not apply to any public shade tree as that term is defined by the General Laws, Chapter 87 or any amendments thereto.~~ Nothing herein is intended to conflict with the General Laws, Chapter 87 and to the extent that any provision hereof conflicts with said Chapter 87, such provision shall not be valid. This ordinance shall guide requirements for special permits (Chapter 30, Zoning), with regard to tree removal and replacement. ~~Nothing herein is intended to conflict with existing special permit procedures as provided in section 30-24 and to the extent that any provision hereof conflicts with said special permit procedures, such provision shall not be valid.~~ (Ord. No. V-275, 12-6-99; Rev. Ord. 2007, § 20-39; Ord, No. A-38, 05-05-14)

no change in City Proposal



Ruthanne Fuller
Mayor

City of Newton, Massachusetts
Office of the Mayor

RECEIVED
2022 NOV 14 PM 4:03

#510-22

Telephone
(617) 796-1100
Fax
(617) 796-1113
TDD/TTY
(617) 796-1089
Email
rfuller@newtonma.gov

CITY CLERK
NEWTON, MA. 02459

November 14, 2022

Honorable City Council
Newton City Hall
1000 Commonwealth Avenue
Newton, MA 02459

To the Honorable City Councilors:

I am pleased to reappoint Marguerite Connolly of 289 Cherry Street, Newton 02465 as the Ward 3 member of the Parks and Recreation Commission. Her term of office shall expire on December 31, 2025 and her appointment is subject to your confirmation.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Warmly,

Ruthanne Fuller
Mayor

Application Form

Profile

Marguerite (Midge) Connolly
First Name Middle Initial Last Name

[Redacted]
Email Address

289 Cherry Street
Home Address Suite or Apt

Newton MA 02465
City State Postal Code

What Ward do you live in?

[X] Ward 3

[Redacted] [Redacted]
Primary Phone Alternate Phone

Weston Public Schools Director of Student Services
Employer Job Title

Which Boards would you like to apply for?

Parks and Recreation Commission: Submitted

Interests & Experiences

Please tell us about yourself and why you want to serve.

Why are you interested in serving on a board or commission?

I am a lifelong resident of Newton, and have been involved with the City of Newton Parks and Recreation Department since I was in high school in a number of varied ways. Myself and my family have benefited from the Parks and Recreation Department in countless ways over the last few decades, and I would like to be a part of this critical work going forward. I want to assist in the continuance of allowing access, opportunity, and sustainability of our recreational spaces.

Recreation Commission Resume.docx

Upload a Resume

Marguerite F. Connolly Ph.D.

289 Cherry Street
West Newton, MA 02465



Objective

To obtain a position on the City of Newton Parks and Recreation Commission.

Key Qualifications

Lifelong Newton resident. Coached girls' lacrosse, basketball, soccer and, serving as president of Newton Girl's Lacrosse for five years. Worked for Newton Parks and Recreation for fifteen plus years. School building administrative experience K-12. Taught at both undergraduate and graduate levels as well as in private, urban, and suburban elementary and middle school settings. Worked in the development, implementation and assessment of federal and state grants with universities, public schools and non-profit agencies.

Massachusetts Certifications: Superintendent/Assistant Superintendent all levels, Special Education Administrator all levels, Principal/Assistant Principal Prek-6, Principal/Assistant Principal 5-8, Principal/Assistant Principal 9-12, SEI Administrator Endorsed.

Education

- Doctor of Philosophy, Boston College Lynch School of Education, Chestnut Hill, MA, Curriculum and Instruction, 2001.
- Masters of Education, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, Administration, Planning and Public Policy, 1996.
- Masters of Education, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA, Severe Special Education with a concentration in emotionally and behaviorally involved students, 1991.
- Bachelors of Arts, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, MA, Elementary & Moderate Special Needs Education, 1988.

Relevant Professional Experience

- 2015- Present **Director of Student Services**, Weston Public Schools, Weston MA. District-Wide administrator overseeing the continued development, implementation and evaluation of special education programming and services for students in preschool through age 22. Facilitate meetings and professional development in collaboration with other district leaders, school-based administrators and outside experts to ensure state and federal regulation compliance. Worked in partnership with the office of Assistant Superintendent for Finance and Operations to prepare and secure annual grant funding as well as support programmatic changes and fill personnel needs. Collaborated with PAC to support both educational programming for families and faculty as well as providing additional avenues for communication between the district and families.
- 2014-2015 **Principal**, Central High School, Newton Public Schools, Newton, MA. Oversee and evaluate teaching and clinical faculty at a substantially separate therapeutic educational program for students with emotional disabilities. Responsible for the communication between the school, the district and outside agencies that services students. Manage the annual re-approval submitted to the Massachusetts Department of Secondary and Elementary Education.

- 2012-2015 **Vice Principal**, Newton North High School, Newton Public Schools, Newton, MA. Worked directly with the School Principal overseeing all aspects of the administration of a comprehensive high school with over 2,000 students and 400 faculty and staff. Worked closely with special education and Department Heads implementing new Common Core and federal and state initiatives. Directed all aspects of the MCAS and PARCC at every grade level. Member of the citywide Evaluation Team reviewed and modified state evaluation program. Responsible for oversight of operations of the building, working with the custodial staff and Central Administration to ensure ongoing upkeep, maintenance and building usage.
- 2009 – 2012 **Principal**, Williams Elementary School, Newton Public Schools, Newton, MA. Responsible for all aspects of school administration: hiring, releasing, supervision and evaluation of teachers, assistants, budget and plant management, special education and school council. Supervised the creation and implementation of The School Improvement Plan. Provided educational leadership by introducing faculty and staff to Professional Learning Communities as well as through formal and informal observations. Collaborated with the Parent Teacher Organization to raise the most funds in school history which significantly increased the technology in the school. Participated in the Elementary Joint Oversight committee, The District Data Team and the Student Placement Task Force serving the larger Newton School Community.
- 2007-2009 **Program Director, Curriculum, Assessment and Placement**, Dearborn Middle School, Boston public Schools, Boston, MA. Responsible for managing MCAS preparation, implementation, data analysis and subsequent educational interventions. Used data to guide academic goals in preparation of the development of the Whole School Improvement document. Conducted formal and informal evaluations on teachers and staff in all content areas. Maintained the safety and security of the students through the use of Cooperative Discipline. Provided on-going, consistent support to teachers in all areas of practice by collaborating and critically discussing pedagogy in practice. Developed and presented professional development seminars that align with the needs of the students and teachers in the school as well as district and state mandates.
- 2005-2007 **Assistant Principal**, O'Donnell Middle School, Stoughton Public Schools, Stoughton, MA. Oversaw the development, implementation and assessment of curriculum in the content areas of English language arts, mathematics and foreign language. Responsible for obtaining and analyzing formative and summative data to guide curricular decisions and impact student learning, including MCAS, SRI, GRADE. Coordinated scheduling of 1,000 students grade 6-8. Observed and provided written evaluations to both professional and nonprofessional status teachers. Co-director of system-wide mentor program. Worked in coordination with school administration team in the overall running of all aspects of a dynamic middle school.
- 1992-1995 **Teacher** fifth grade. Cunniff Elementary School, Watertown, MA is a socioeconomic and culturally diverse public school system. Developed, implemented and evaluated curriculum in the areas of social studies, language arts, reading, science and social skills.
- 1993-1995 **Curriculum Coordinator** for the Cunniff Elementary School in Watertown, MA. Responsible for reviewing new and currently utilized curriculum throughout the school. Liaison between faculty and Administrative Staff. Performed observations and written evaluations of substitute teachers.
- 1990-1992 **Administrator/Head Teacher** Lower School. The Gifford School, Weston, MA is a Department of Elementary and Secondary Education approved private school that services children who display behavioral, emotional and learning disabilities. Head teacher was responsible for managing instructional budget for Lower School; Administrating meetings: Directly supervising, training and evaluating staff members; Served as liaison between caregivers and collaborative agencies; Writing and presenting

of individual educational plans; Development and implementation of educational curriculum and behavioral modification programs for students.

1989-1990 **Teacher.** Boston College Campus School, Chestnut Hill, MA. Teacher of severely cognitively and physically involved students in a self-contained classroom. Responsible for writing and implementing daily lessons using one-to-one and small group instructional settings.

1988-1989 **Teacher.** Roosevelt Elementary School, Compton, CA. Special Needs teacher of children ranging in age from 5-12 years in a self-contained learning and behavioral class in an urban public school setting. Writing and implementation of individual educational plans for twelve children. Responsible for instruction in all curriculum areas.

Other Professional Experiences

Summer 2014 **Adjunct Professor,** Lesley University, taught *Contemporary Issues in Special Education*, graduate level introductory, overview to special education course.

2003- 2005 **Senior Research Associate,** Boston College Teachers for a New Era (TNE). TNE is an initiative to improve the quality of teacher education programs. In my role as Senior Research Associate I was responsible for assisting in the coordination of meetings, work initiatives, program evaluations and the research conducted on the project. This required working closely with the various constituencies involved in Boston College TNE including university-based faculty in the Lynch School of Education and the School of Arts & Sciences as well as with our public school partnership schools and districts.

2004- 2005 **National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) Coordinator,** Boston College is an NCATE certified institution. Responsibilities included the dissemination of newly initiated NCATE requirements for accreditation to faculty and staff.

2003-2004 **Educational Consultant,** Title II, Boston College, Garfield Elementary School, Boston Public Schools, Boston, MA. Work with administrators, school-based professionals and students to develop behavioral and academic interventions to meet individual classroom and students needs.

2001-2004 **Educational Consultant,** Urban Ecology Institute. Responsible for the development of curriculum following Universal Design for Learning. Provide seminar as well as on-going professional development in curriculum design, pedagogy and issues related to special education to UEI staff and participating educational professionals.

1999-2001 **Professional Development Planning Coordinator,** Project OPEN, a professional development district partnership between Boston College, Harvard University, Lesley University and the Watertown Public Schools. Maintained communication between institutions, managed budget, planned program activities including learning institutes and monthly meetings.

1997-2000 **Adjunct Professor,** Boston College Lynch School of Education. Taught core courses on the undergraduate and graduate level.

1996-1998 **Research Assistant,** Boston College Lynch School of Education,

Dr. Marilyn Cochran-Smith. Responsibilities included data collection and analysis, literature searches, and editing of journal articles and book chapters.

- 1996-2002 **Clinical Supervisor** of graduate and undergraduate interns. Responsible for observing, instructing and evaluating interns following the current standards of the Massachusetts Department of Education.
- 1995-1996 **Research Assistant**, Harvard University, formative evaluation for the Center for Applied Technologies (C.A.S.T.). Responsible for collecting and analyzing data on the Equal Access to Learning Project in the Boston Public Schools. The project utilizes technology and professional technological training to assist in the inclusion of children with disabilities into a general education setting.
- 1994-1995 **Parental outreach** program, organized a research group of six teachers and developed a program for parents which focused on the development of study and organizational skills to assist in the area of homework.

Scholarship: Presentations/Reviewer:

Dissertation; A Successful Failure: A Case Study Of The Experiences Of School-based Professionals in the Inclusion of Children with Emotional and Behavioral Disorders, May, 2001. UMI Dissertation Services, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

New England Educational Research Organization, North Hampton, MA
April, 2002
Symposium: "The birth of a dissertation research group"

American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, LA
March, 2002
Symposium: "Qualitative classroom and school research: similarities and differences"

New England Educational Research Organization, Portsmouth, NH
April, 2001
Symposium: "Four qualitative dissertations: So what's your point?"

Reviewer, *Journal of teacher education*, American Association of Colleges for teacher Education, 2000-2002

Reviewer, New England Educational Research Organization, Annual Conference, December, 2003.

References furnished upon request.



Ruthanne Fuller
Mayor

City of Newton, Massachusetts
Office of the Mayor

RECEIVED
2022 NOV 14 PM 4:03

#511-22

Telephone
(617) 796-1100
Fax
(617) 796-1113
TDD/TTY
(617) 796-1089
Email

rfuller@newtonma.gov

CITY CLERK
NEWTON, MA. 02459

November 14, 2022

Honorable City Council
Newton City Hall
1000 Commonwealth Avenue
Newton, MA 02459

To the Honorable City Councilors:

I am pleased to reappoint Mark Feldhusen of 144- Cherry Street, Newton 02465 as an Alternate member of the Parks and Recreation Commission. His term of office shall expire on December 31, 2025 and his appointment is subject to your confirmation.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Warmly,

Ruthanne Fuller
Mayor

Application Form

Profile

Mark T Feldhusen
First Name Middle Initial Last Name

[Redacted]
Email Address

144 Cherry Street
Home Address Suite or Apt

West Newton MA 02465
City State Postal Code

What Ward do you live in?

[X] Ward 3

[Redacted] [Redacted]
Primary Phone Alternate Phone

Employer Job Title

Which Boards would you like to apply for?

Parks and Recreation Commission: Submitted

Interests & Experiences

Please tell us about yourself and why you want to serve.

Why are you interested in serving on a board or commission?

Since 1989 I have been a Newton resident. Our daughter attended school here and throughout our time we have been impressed with the level of city services, quality of schools and availability of open space and parks. As time permitted I served on the Franklin School Council, the board of Newton Schools Foundation, and volunteered my piano skills at Day Middle School as well as Newton North HS. A few years back I started volunteering at Wellington Park here in West Newton. And since leaving full time work in the business world, have spent many hours at that park. One of proudest accomplishments to date was the creation of a butterfly bed at Wellington which I dug last year and planted this year. it attracted many interested neighbors as well as an unprecedented number of monarchs. As much as I always enjoyed our city's parks and open spaces, I have developed a keener appreciation of them since working at Wellington. And, with my more flexible schedule, I want to give back to the city where I have lived much of my adult life and where we raised our daughter. I see young families moving into the neighborhood and I want them to have the same great experiences that I've enjoyed. I am attaching one resume from my business days - where I spent most of my time in operations and was well known for helping people to build consensus and work together. I believe that my operations background combined with my love of outdoor space and fun will make a valuable contribution to the Park & Recreation Commissions.

Mark T Feldhusen

SKILLS

Operational Management
Process Improvement
Training

OBJECTIVE

Secure a position where I can contribute my organizational, process improvement, and management skills.

EXPERIENCE

Director, Business Services | Commonwealth Financial Network | September, 2000 - February, 2018

Responsible for a team of 16 people in two offices who imaged all incoming correspondence for archival and work flow purposes. Provided technical training on and wrote business rules for a company-wide CRM system. Collaborated with business owners to develop traditional and online forms for data collection/distribution. Responsible for Office Services and Facilities for five years.

Account Manager | Pitney Bowes Management Services | September, 1988 - August, 2000

Responsible for daily operations of outsourced on-site contract teams providing mail/copy/fax services to legal and insurance firms. Managed and renewed multi-year customer contracts with annual revenues of up to \$3.5 million.

EDUCATION

AB Education with Honors | 1976 | The University of Michigan

CONTACT

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

144 Cherry Street
West Newton, MA
02465-1239

VOLUNTEER EXPERIENCE

Annual shoe collection (Rerun Shoes)

Read-to-a Child

Community Servings

Choral singing outreach



Ruthanne Fuller
Mayor

City of Newton, Massachusetts
Office of the Mayor

RECEIVED

2022 NOV 14 PM 4:03
rrfuller@newtonma.gov

#512-22

Telephone
(617) 796-1100

Fax
(617) 796-1113

TDD/TTY
(617) 796-1089

Email

CITY CLERK
NEWTON, MA. 02459

November 14, 2022

Honorable City Council
Newton City Hall
1000 Commonwealth Avenue
Newton, MA 02459

To the Honorable City Councilors:

I am pleased to reappoint Sue Rasala of 285 Tremont Street, Newton 02458 as a member of the Council on Aging. Her term of office shall expire on January 31, 2025 and her appointment is subject to your confirmation.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Warmly,

Ruthanne Fuller
Mayor

Application Form

Profile

Sue _____ M _____ Rasala _____
 First Name Middle Initial Last Name

 Email Address

285 Tremont St _____
 Home Address Suite or Apt

Newton _____ MA _____ 02458 _____
 City State Postal Code

What Ward do you live in?

Ward 1

 Primary Phone Alternate Phone

retired _____ Elementary Teacher _____
 Employer Job Title

Which Boards would you like to apply for?

Council on Aging: Submitted

Interests & Experiences

Please tell us about yourself and why you want to serve.

Why are you interested in serving on a board or commission?

Since 2018 I have been a member of the NewCAL Working Group. For 5 years I've used the Senior Center 5 days a week and have attended COA meetings for 4 years. I am strongly interested in Newton having an updated, larger, and safer center for senior services. As a member of both the NewCAL Working Group and the COA I will work to channel information in both directions. Nov 4, 2022 An all NEW Senior Center has been launched. I want to continue using the Senior activities (at the Hyde and other temporal spots). I also want to great members and continue to help the building of the WONDER senior center.

[2019_resume_for_COA.pdf](#) _____
 Upload a Resume

Sue Rasala
Resume

Taught 20 years in Newton Elementary Schools (Davis School and Lincoln Eliot)

EDC: Team member that developed *Math and More*, an elementary mathematics curriculum with integrated computer programs. Funded by IBM. 1994-1998

I also was a team member of several teacher training programs for mathematics, at EDC, EDCO, CoMAP. My focus was on introducing up-to-date mathematics curriculums that were preparing students for the influx of technology. I did many presentations and workshops.

Volunteer activities:

Education volunteer at Arnold Arboretum, 5 years

Education committee at Newton Community Farm, 5 years

ESL volunteer at Newton Free Library, 8 years

Now my volunteer energy is mostly directed to the NewCAL Working Group and its outreach.