

Orchard Ave Don't want to see Need for Support 3.5-6 story buildings Will ability to Traffic Need West Newton dense housing What type of additional Concerned with limited disproportionately maintain park % in village impacts need housing will be built density, and about impact meeting parking. Concerned impacted by VC3 as center to - rental vs. space be to be about displacement limits on scale on businesses compared to Waban ownership, number support impacted? considered spots of existing and Highlands and footprint. of bedrooms vibrancy. businesses. Davis Av All all and a second Why isn't Don't see a lot Density is south side un reasonable. of green Development is spaces added of pike Columbus Pi concentrated north of the pike. included? Waterfown St VC2 VC2 Concerned about VC2 shadows and VC1 Concerned limited open space about impacts VC3 VC2 and additional VC₁ VC3 on schools traffic impacting VC3 neighboring residents. Need 3D VC2 models. Washington St VC3 18 VC1 Need additional Support VC3 housing to bring proposed down market rates VC3 VC3 density. Want and include affordable housing to allow more VC3 people to live car-lite. 1-90 Support additional density, but VC3 will allow buildings that Not enough Concerned Important to have Support 5 story New are too tall and bulky. Want to better Opposed housing in dense housing with about having buildings on section which will impact Excited about more understand build Newton and a rental small units in better transit of Washington to VC3 village square and potential for more out capacity and lot of demand efficient buildings without anything on existing small units are businesses, what that means for for these for climate change height other side. businesses. Would restaurants, etc. taxes, infrastructure, expensive rather see VC3 outside types of units Concerned about 30 ft etc. canyon effect. B.

to a collection of the collect SI Historical Transit Current traffic flow Why not Districts seem Need more housing New housing does not support oriented Support replacing include MBTA square Mandate alternative descriptive and more housing additional cars, older buildings with is not bringing development vehicle parking lot choice for young pedestrians and instead of more sustainable was 3-5 down housing accommodations like this is families and for bikes. Consider one and Rose new buildings prescriptive people to age in costs way streets and stories necessary for Street? place traffic circles. the climate Furbush B Davis Ave attols **Should require** Want to wide State is working on provide Washington Street investing in new sidewalks and housing but corridor and near commuter rail room for keep commuter rail is stations which will suburban feel where density improve transit seating and should be located access bike lanes VC2 VC2 VC2 VC1 VC3 VC2 VC1 How will this Concerned VC3 impact about impacts VC3 congestion in on sewer and VC2 the square? water systems Washington St VC3 VC1 ark VC3 VC3 VC3 What VC3 prevents developers from asking for more? If Davis Street is Why are part of Washington houses on neighborhood **Street Vision** destroyed by the **Davis Street** Washington St pike additional kept center included in consideration Why match lower in should be give to VC3? zoning across height this area the street 500 ft Fairview Ter